

The Therapeutic Landscape of Collapse

*Drugs, Supplements, and the Spectrum of
Neurodegeneration*

A Phase-Specific Mapping of Pharmacological and Nutraceutical
Interventions to the Mechanistic Architecture of Alzheimer's Disease

ONS Methodology Working Paper

AdultCognitiveDisease.com

April 2026

*Based on the Spectrum of Collapse framework integrating the
Convergent Synaptic Collapse, Homeostatic Microglial Collapse, and
Bioenergetic Collapse theses*

Contents

Introduction: The Rationale for Phase-Specific Therapeutics

Part I: Phase I — The Silent Brainstem Erosion (Age 20–50)

Phase I Drugs

1. Olaparib (PARP Inhibitor)
2. Veliparib (PARP Inhibitor)
3. Rapamycin / Sirolimus (mTOR Inhibitor)
4. Urolithin A (Mitophagy Inducer)
5. Selegiline / Deprenyl (MAO-B Inhibitor)
6. Rasagiline (MAO-B Inhibitor)
7. Deferiprone (Iron Chelator)
8. ISRIB (Integrated Stress Response Inhibitor)
9. Edaravone (Free Radical Scavenger)
10. Idebenone (CoQ10 Analogue)

Phase I Supplements

1. Nicotinamide Riboside (NR)
2. Nicotinamide Mononucleotide (NMN)
3. Niacin (Vitamin B₃)
4. Coenzyme Q₁₀ (Ubiquinol)
5. PQQ (Pyrroloquinoline Quinone)
6. Alpha-Lipoic Acid
7. Sulforaphane
8. Spermidine
9. Creatine
10. Astaxanthin

Part II: Phase II — The Hippocampal Bridgehead (Age 50–65)

Phase II Drugs

Phase II Supplements

Part III: Phase III — The E/I Collapse (Age 65–75)

Phase III Drugs

Phase III Supplements

Synthesis: Cross-Phase Interactions and Combination Strategies

References

Introduction

The Rationale for Phase-Specific Therapeutics

Why One Drug Cannot Treat Three Diseases

Alzheimer's disease has resisted pharmacological intervention for over three decades, with a failure rate in clinical trials exceeding 99 percent. The standard explanation for this extraordinary attrition has been that trials enroll patients too late, after neurodegeneration has become irreversible. While this explanation carries some truth, it obscures a more fundamental problem: the field has been treating Alzheimer's disease as a single entity when it is, in mechanistic terms, at least three sequential pathologies. A drug that targets amyloid-beta oligomers may be entirely irrelevant to the mitochondrial DNA damage accumulating in the locus coeruleus of a thirty-year-old, just as a mitophagy inducer would do nothing to rescue the excitatory-inhibitory circuit collapse unfolding in the cortex of a seventy-year-old. The Spectrum of Collapse framework argues that effective therapeutic strategy requires matching interventions to the correct phase of the neurodegenerative cascade.

The Spectrum of Collapse, developed as part of the Organic Network Synthesis (ONS) methodology, proposes that Alzheimer's disease unfolds across three biologically distinct phases, each with its own dominant molecular machinery, its own vulnerable cell populations, and its own therapeutic windows. Phase I, the Silent Brainstem Erosion, spans roughly ages 20 to 50 and is characterized by the slow degradation of mitochondrial quality control in monoaminergic projection neurons. Phase II, the Hippocampal Bridgehead, covers approximately ages 50 to 65 and is defined by the convergence of lysosomal failure, tau propagation, ferroptosis in myelinating oligodendrocytes, and the phenotypic transition of microglia from homeostatic surveillants to disease-associated states. Phase III, the Excitatory/Inhibitory Collapse, unfolds from roughly age 65 to 75 and involves the destruction of perineuronal nets, the death of parvalbumin-positive fast-spiking

interneurons, complement-mediated synapse elimination, and the inflammatory amplification loops that drive the final cortical collapse.

This tripartite architecture has profound implications for drug development. If Alzheimer's disease is indeed three sequential pathologies rather than one, then a single therapeutic agent—no matter how potent—cannot address the full disease trajectory. Instead, we need a pharmacological strategy that evolves with the disease, deploying different agents at different biological moments. A PARP inhibitor that preserves NAD⁺ pools in the locus coeruleus during Phase I serves an entirely different biological purpose than a ferroptosis inhibitor that protects oligodendrocytes during Phase II, or an MMP-9 inhibitor that preserves perineuronal nets during Phase III. The therapeutic landscape of collapse demands what we might call 'temporal pharmacology'—the art of deploying the right drug at the right biological moment.

The Failure of Monolithic Therapeutics

The history of Alzheimer's drug development is a graveyard of monolithic strategies. The cholinesterase inhibitors—donepezil, rivastigmine, galantamine—were developed on the assumption that replacing lost acetylcholine would restore cognitive function. They provide modest symptomatic relief for six to twelve months, but they do nothing to address the upstream mechanisms that killed the cholinergic neurons in the first place. The amyloid hypothesis drove an entire generation of drugs—bapineuzumab, solanezumab, aducanumab, lecanemab, donanemab—aimed at clearing amyloid-beta plaques or oligomers. Even the most successful of these, lecanemab and donanemab, achieve plaque clearance yet deliver clinical benefits that are statistically significant but clinically marginal, slowing decline by roughly 25 to 35 percent over 18 months. The reason is architectural: by the time amyloid plaques are detectable on PET imaging, the upstream mitochondrial and lysosomal failures that set the cascade in motion have been operating for decades.

The tau-targeting strategies—antisense oligonucleotides, tau immunotherapies, kinase inhibitors—face a similar temporal mismatch. Tau pathology in the entorhinal cortex and hippocampus is a Phase II phenomenon, a consequence of lysosomal failure and impaired autophagy rather than a root cause. Targeting tau without addressing the lysosomal dysfunction that drives its accumulation is analogous to

mopping a floor while the faucet is still running. The repeated failure of tau vaccines in clinical trials—AADvac1, semorinemab, zagotenemab—reflects this fundamental misunderstanding of causal hierarchy. Even the NMDA receptor antagonist memantine, which addresses excitotoxicity in advanced disease, arrives too late in the cascade to prevent the upstream circuit damage that drives the excitatory-inhibitory imbalance.

What the field lacks is not better drugs per se, but a coherent framework for matching existing and emerging drugs to the correct biological phase of the disease. Many of the compounds discussed in this document have already been tested in clinical trials—and many have failed. But they may have failed not because they are ineffective molecules, but because they were tested in the wrong patients at the wrong time. A PARP inhibitor tested in patients with moderate-to-severe Alzheimer's dementia is being deployed in Phase III of the cascade, when Phase I—the phase where PARP hyperactivation drives NAD^+ depletion—ended decades earlier. The Spectrum of Collapse framework provides the biological logic for retesting many of these compounds in the correct temporal context.

The Architecture of This Document

This document systematically maps sixty pharmacological and nutraceutical agents to the three phases of the Spectrum of Collapse. For each phase, we present ten drugs (agents requiring a prescription or currently in clinical development) and ten supplements (compounds available over the counter or as dietary supplements). Each entry follows a standard format: mechanism of action, rationale within the specific phase, clinical trial history, and current status with known limitations. The goal is not to advocate for any particular therapeutic strategy, but to create a comprehensive reference that maps the existing pharmacological landscape onto the mechanistic architecture of the disease.

Several important caveats apply. First, many of these compounds have pleiotropic effects that cross phase boundaries—rapamycin, for example, affects mitophagy (Phase I), autophagy and lysosomal function (Phase II), and neuroinflammation (Phase III). We have assigned each compound to its primary phase of relevance, but we note cross-phase effects where they are significant. Second, the clinical trial data cited here spans a wide range of quality, from large

randomized controlled trials to small open-label pilot studies. We report the data as it exists, noting limitations in study design and statistical power where appropriate. Third, this document is a research reference, not clinical guidance. None of the phase-specific strategies outlined here have been validated in trials designed around the Spectrum of Collapse framework. The mapping is theoretical, grounded in mechanism, and intended to generate hypotheses for future investigation.

The ultimate aspiration of this work is to shift the conversation in Alzheimer's drug development from 'which target?' to 'which target, when?' The history of cancer therapeutics offers an instructive parallel: the transformation from single-agent chemotherapy to stage-specific combination regimens—neoadjuvant, adjuvant, maintenance—was the breakthrough that turned many cancers from death sentences into manageable conditions. Alzheimer's disease may require a similar conceptual revolution. The drugs and supplements catalogued here are the raw materials for that revolution. What has been missing is the temporal architecture that tells us when to deploy them. The Spectrum of Collapse provides that architecture.

Phase I Overview: The Silent Brainstem Erosion

Phase I of the Spectrum of Collapse unfolds silently across the first half of the human lifespan, from approximately age 20 to age 50. Its epicenter is the brainstem, specifically the locus coeruleus and the dorsal raphe nucleus—the noradrenergic and serotonergic projection systems that innervate virtually the entire cortex. These neurons are uniquely vulnerable to mitochondrial stress for several reasons: they are unmyelinated or thinly myelinated, they maintain long, thin axons that reach broadly across the forebrain, they fire tonically without rest, and they rely on monoamine oxidase metabolism that generates hydrogen peroxide as a byproduct. The result is a cell population that experiences chronic oxidative stress from the moment it differentiates, and whose mitochondria accumulate damage relentlessly across the lifespan.

The molecular cascade of Phase I involves several interconnected pathways. Oxidative DNA damage—particularly 8-oxoguanine lesions in mitochondrial DNA—activates poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase 1 (PARP-1), which consumes NAD^+ to synthesize poly(ADP-ribose) chains. As PARP-1 hyperactivation depletes the cellular NAD^+ pool, sirtuin-mediated mitochondrial quality control fails, mitophagy (the

selective degradation of damaged mitochondria) becomes impaired, and a vicious cycle ensues in which damaged mitochondria generate more reactive oxygen species, causing more DNA damage, more PARP-1 activation, and further NAD⁺ depletion. In its most extreme form, this cycle triggers parthanatos—a PARP-1-dependent cell death pathway distinct from apoptosis—or SARM1-mediated Wallerian degeneration of the axon. The therapeutic targets in Phase I are therefore clear: preserve NAD⁺ pools, inhibit excessive PARP-1 activity, enhance mitophagy, scavenge reactive oxygen species, and protect mitochondrial electron transport chain function.

The drugs and supplements mapped to Phase I in this document converge on these targets. PARP inhibitors (olaparib, veliparib) directly prevent NAD⁺ depletion by blocking the enzyme that consumes it. mTOR inhibitors (rapamycin) and mitophagy inducers (urolithin A) enhance the clearance of damaged mitochondria. MAO-B inhibitors (selegiline, rasagiline) reduce the oxidative burden generated by monoamine metabolism. Iron chelators (deferiprone) prevent Fenton chemistry that amplifies oxidative damage. Integrated stress response inhibitors (ISRIB) restore translational homeostasis in stressed neurons. Free radical scavengers (edaravone) and electron transport chain supports (idebenone) directly address the downstream consequences of mitochondrial dysfunction. On the supplement side, the NAD⁺ precursors (NR, NMN, niacin) replenish the depleted cofactor pool, while mitochondrial cofactors (CoQ₁₀, PQQ, alpha-lipoic acid) support electron transport chain function and redox balance. Autophagy inducers (sulforaphane, spermidine) promote cellular housekeeping, while metabolic buffers (creatine) and lipophilic antioxidants (astaxanthin) provide additional neuroprotection.

Part I

Phase I Drugs: Targeting the Silent Brainstem Erosion

Pharmacological Agents for the Mitochondrial Crisis (Age 20–50)

1. Olaparib (Lynparza)

Mechanism of Action

Olaparib is a potent inhibitor of poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase 1 and 2 (PARP-1/2), originally developed by AstraZeneca for the treatment of BRCA-mutated cancers. The drug functions by binding to the catalytic domain of PARP-1, preventing the enzyme from synthesizing poly(ADP-ribose) (PAR) chains in response to DNA single-strand breaks. In oncology, this mechanism exploits synthetic lethality: cancer cells with defective homologous recombination (such as BRCA1/2 mutations) cannot repair DNA damage through alternative pathways when PARP is inhibited, leading to catastrophic genomic instability and cell death. However, in the context of neurodegeneration, the therapeutic rationale is entirely different. In neurons, PARP-1 hyperactivation in response to chronic oxidative DNA damage consumes enormous quantities of NAD^+ , depleting the cellular pool of this essential cofactor and triggering a bioenergetic crisis that culminates in mitochondrial dysfunction and, ultimately, parthanatos—a PAR-dependent form of programmed cell death.

The enzymatic activity of PARP-1 is remarkable in its metabolic cost. Each activation event consumes multiple molecules of NAD^+ to build branching PAR polymers that can reach lengths of 200 or more ADP-ribose units. In a neuron experiencing chronic oxidative stress—as occurs in the locus coeruleus from early adulthood—PARP-1 may be activated hundreds or thousands of times per day, each time consuming NAD^+ that would otherwise be available for sirtuin-mediated mitochondrial quality control, electron transport chain function, and other essential

metabolic processes. Olaparib, by blocking this consumption, preserves the NAD^+ pool and prevents the downstream cascade of mitochondrial failure. Preclinical studies have demonstrated that PARP inhibition can rescue NAD^+ levels in neurons exposed to oxidative stress, restore mitochondrial membrane potential, and prevent parthanatos in models of excitotoxic injury (Andrabi et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2009).

Rationale Within Phase I

The rationale for deploying olaparib in Phase I of the Spectrum of Collapse rests on the central role of PARP-1 hyperactivation in the bioenergetic catastrophe that unfolds in brainstem monoaminergic neurons during the first half of life. Neuropathological studies by Braak and Del Tredici have demonstrated that tau pathology—a marker of neuronal stress—appears in the locus coeruleus as early as the second decade of life, decades before any involvement of the hippocampus or cortex (Braak et al., 2011). The locus coeruleus neurons that show the earliest pathology are precisely those with the highest metabolic demands: unmyelinated, continuously firing, and burdened with the oxidative byproducts of norepinephrine synthesis and degradation by monoamine oxidase. In these neurons, oxidative DNA damage—particularly 8-oxoguanine lesions—accumulates from early adulthood, and PARP-1 is chronically activated in a futile attempt to repair it.

The Spectrum of Collapse framework identifies this PARP-1/ NAD^+ axis as the critical vulnerability of Phase I. As PARP-1 consumes NAD^+ , the activity of SIRT1 and SIRT3— NAD^+ -dependent deacetylases that regulate mitochondrial biogenesis, antioxidant defense, and mitophagy—declines. Without adequate sirtuin activity, damaged mitochondria accumulate rather than being cleared, generating increasing levels of reactive oxygen species in a self-amplifying cycle. Olaparib interrupts this cycle at its source by preventing the NAD^+ consumption that initiates the downstream cascade. Importantly, the drug does not need to block all PARP-1 activity—complete inhibition could impair beneficial DNA repair—but even partial inhibition may be sufficient to preserve NAD^+ homeostasis in vulnerable neurons. The optimal therapeutic window for olaparib in the Spectrum of Collapse framework would be early to mid-adulthood, when PARP-1 hyperactivation is beginning but irreversible neuronal loss has not yet occurred.

Clinical Trial History

Olaparib has been extensively studied in oncology, where it received FDA approval in 2014 for BRCA-mutated ovarian cancer and has since gained approvals for breast, pancreatic, and prostate cancers. The Phase III SOLO-1 trial (Moore et al., 2018) demonstrated that olaparib maintenance therapy reduced the risk of disease progression by 70 percent in patients with newly diagnosed advanced BRCA-mutated ovarian cancer. The OLYMPIAD trial (Robson et al., 2017) established its efficacy in metastatic breast cancer with germline BRCA mutations. These trials have generated an extensive safety database, with the most common adverse effects being nausea, fatigue, anemia, and, with long-term use, a small increased risk of myelodysplastic syndrome. However, it must be emphasized that these safety data come from cancer patients receiving full therapeutic doses (300 mg twice daily) for tumor suppression.

In the neurodegenerative context, no completed clinical trials of olaparib exist as of early 2026. However, preclinical data are compelling. Olaparib has been shown to reduce infarct volume and improve neurological outcomes in rodent models of ischemic stroke (Matsuura et al., 2011), to protect hippocampal neurons from NMDA-induced excitotoxicity (Moroni et al., 2012), and to preserve NAD⁺ levels and mitochondrial function in cellular models of oxidative stress. A critical question for neurodegeneration is whether the neuroprotective dose would be substantially lower than the oncological dose, which would mitigate the hematological toxicities that limit long-term use in cancer. Preliminary data from Fang et al. (2019) suggest that even low-dose PARP inhibition can significantly augment NAD⁺ salvage pathway activity in neurons, raising the possibility of a 'neuroprotective dose' far below the cancer therapeutic dose. The Alzheimer's Drug Discovery Foundation has identified PARP inhibitors as a priority target, though no trials have yet been initiated specifically for neurodegeneration prevention.

Current Status & Limitations

Olaparib is FDA-approved and commercially available as Lynparza, manufactured by AstraZeneca. Its extensive use in oncology provides a well-characterized safety and pharmacokinetic profile, which facilitates repurposing discussions. However, several significant barriers exist for neurodegeneration applications. First, olaparib's blood-brain barrier penetration is limited by P-glycoprotein efflux; while some CNS exposure occurs, brain concentrations may be subtherapeutic at standard oral doses (Parrish et al., 2015). Second, chronic use at oncological doses carries hematological risks—anemia, neutropenia, and rare myelodysplastic syndrome—that would be unacceptable for decades-long preventive use in otherwise healthy adults. Third, the concept of deploying a cancer drug for Alzheimer's prevention in thirty-year-olds faces substantial regulatory and ethical hurdles, even if the scientific rationale is sound. Next-generation PARP inhibitors with improved CNS penetration and wider therapeutic windows are under development and may ultimately prove more suitable for the Phase I application envisioned by the Spectrum of Collapse framework.

Despite these limitations, the mechanistic case for PARP inhibition in early Alzheimer's pathogenesis is strong and growing. The work of Hou et al. (2018) in the Bhatt laboratory demonstrated that NAD^+ supplementation and PARP inhibition can rescue age-related mitochondrial dysfunction in animal models of accelerated aging. The convergence of evidence from DNA damage biology, NAD^+ metabolism, and mitochondrial quality control supports the hypothesis that PARP-1 hyperactivation is a master driver of Phase I collapse. The challenge is translational: designing a trial that can test this hypothesis in human subjects at the correct biological moment, with acceptable safety margins, and with biomarkers sensitive enough to detect the subtle neuroprotective effects of treatment over a multi-year time horizon.

2. Veliparib (ABT-888)

Mechanism of Action

Veliparib, developed by AbbVie (formerly Abbott Laboratories) under the designation ABT-888, is a PARP-1/2 inhibitor that differs from olaparib in its mechanism of PARP engagement. While both drugs bind the catalytic domain of PARP and block PAR chain synthesis, olaparib is a potent PARP trapper—meaning it stabilizes the PARP-DNA complex, preventing PARP from dissociating after binding to a damage site—whereas veliparib has minimal PARP-trapping activity. In oncology, PARP trapping is a key driver of cytotoxicity, as the trapped PARP-DNA complex blocks replication forks and induces double-strand breaks. In neurodegenerative applications, however, PARP trapping is undesirable: the goal is not to kill cells but to prevent NAD^+ depletion while allowing PARP to perform its physiological DNA repair functions. Veliparib's low trapping potency makes it, paradoxically, a potentially better candidate for neuroprotection than the more potent oncological PARP inhibitors.

The pharmacological profile of veliparib includes several features relevant to CNS applications. Its molecular weight is relatively low (244 Da), it exhibits good oral bioavailability, and critically, it demonstrates superior blood-brain barrier penetration compared to olaparib, with brain-to-plasma ratios approaching 0.5 in preclinical models (Donawho et al., 2007). This CNS penetration has led to its investigation as a radiosensitizer for brain tumors, providing pharmacokinetic data in the CNS compartment that would be directly relevant to any neurodegenerative application. The drug inhibits PARP-1 with an IC_{50} of approximately 5.2 nM, which is sufficient to substantially reduce PAR polymer formation and NAD^+ consumption in neurons without completely ablating the enzyme's DNA repair function. This partial inhibition profile may be ideal for chronic neuroprotective use, where the goal is to reduce PARP-1's metabolic burden without disabling DNA repair entirely.

Rationale Within Phase I

Veliparib's unique pharmacological profile makes it arguably the most suitable existing PARP inhibitor for Phase I neuroprotection within the Spectrum of Collapse framework. The combination of good CNS penetration, low PARP-trapping activity, and potent catalytic inhibition addresses each of the key requirements for a Phase I intervention: it reaches brainstem nuclei, it preserves physiological DNA repair, and it prevents the excessive NAD^+ consumption that drives the bioenergetic crisis. In the locus coeruleus, where oxidative DNA damage begins accumulating in early adulthood, veliparib could reduce the metabolic cost of PARP-1 activation without triggering the replication-dependent toxicity that makes other PARP inhibitors problematic for non-dividing cells.

The Phase I rationale is further supported by preclinical studies demonstrating veliparib's neuroprotective effects. In models of traumatic brain injury, veliparib reduced brain edema, preserved hippocampal neurons, and improved cognitive outcomes when administered within hours of injury (Stoica et al., 2014). While traumatic brain injury differs mechanistically from the chronic oxidative stress of Phase I, both share the common pathway of PARP-1 hyperactivation and NAD^+ depletion. In models of cerebral ischemia, veliparib demonstrated dose-dependent neuroprotection with a wider therapeutic window than would be predicted from its PARP-trapping activity alone, suggesting that catalytic inhibition—the prevention of NAD^+ consumption—is the primary mechanism of neuronal rescue. The drug's ability to cross the blood-brain barrier at pharmacologically relevant concentrations distinguishes it from olaparib and makes it the PARP inhibitor most amenable to chronic oral dosing for long-term neuroprotection.

Clinical Trial History

Veliparib has been tested in over 100 clinical trials, primarily in combination with chemotherapy and radiation for various cancers. The Phase III BROCADE3 trial (Dieras et al., 2020) evaluated veliparib combined with carboplatin and paclitaxel in HER2-negative BRCA-mutated metastatic breast cancer, demonstrating a statistically significant improvement in progression-free survival. The Phase II/III trial in non-small cell lung cancer in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel (Ramalingam et al., 2017) showed improved response rates. Critically for neurodegenerative applications, multiple trials have evaluated veliparib as a radiosensitizer for brain tumors, providing CNS pharmacokinetic and safety data. A Phase I trial of veliparib with whole-brain radiation therapy (WBRT) for brain metastases (Mehta et al., 2015) established that the drug achieves therapeutically relevant CNS concentrations at well-tolerated oral doses.

No clinical trials of veliparib have been conducted specifically for neurodegenerative indications. However, the existing CNS pharmacokinetic data from brain tumor trials provide a foundation for dose selection in any future neuroprotection trial. The brain tumor data suggest that oral doses of 40–120 mg twice daily achieve brain concentrations sufficient for significant PARP inhibition, with manageable adverse effects (primarily mild nausea and fatigue at these doses). The extensive clinical database—spanning over 8,000 patients across multiple trials—provides confidence in the drug's overall safety profile, though long-term safety data beyond 2–3 years of continuous use are limited. A critical translational question is whether even lower doses (10–40 mg) might achieve sufficient CNS PARP inhibition for the neuroprotective application, where the goal is partial rather than complete blockade of PAR synthesis.

Current Status & Limitations

Veliparib has not received FDA approval as a standalone agent, as its clinical development has focused on combination strategies with chemotherapy. AbbVie continues to hold the compound but has not publicly announced plans for neurodegenerative applications. The key advantages of veliparib for Phase I of the Spectrum of Collapse—good CNS penetration and low PARP trapping—are well established, but significant barriers remain. The compound's patent situation may limit commercial interest in repurposing, and the absence of an approved indication creates regulatory complexity for investigator-initiated trials. The most promising path forward may be academic-sponsored trials, potentially funded by organizations like the Alzheimer's Drug Discovery Foundation or the National Institute on Aging, that could test low-dose veliparib in a Phase I prevention cohort defined by biomarkers of mitochondrial stress and NAD⁺ depletion.

The limitations of veliparib for chronic neuroprotection include the absence of long-term safety data beyond cancer treatment durations (typically 1–3 years), the theoretical risk of impaired DNA repair with chronic PARP inhibition (though the low trapping activity mitigates this concern), and the challenge of identifying the correct patient population for a prevention trial. Biomarker development is essential: CSF or blood-based markers of PARP activity, NAD⁺ status, and mitochondrial function would be needed to enrich trial populations for individuals in active Phase I and to monitor pharmacodynamic effects. Despite these challenges, veliparib represents one of the most mechanistically aligned pharmacological agents for Phase I intervention, and its favorable CNS pharmacokinetic profile positions it as a priority candidate for translational development.

3. Rapamycin / Sirolimus (Rapamune)

Mechanism of Action

Rapamycin, also known as sirolimus, is a macrolide compound originally isolated from *Streptomyces hygroscopicus* in a soil sample from Easter Island (Rapa Nui) in 1972. The drug functions by forming a complex with the intracellular protein FKBP12, and this rapamycin-FKBP12 complex binds to and inhibits the mechanistic target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1), a serine/threonine kinase that serves as a master regulator of cellular growth, metabolism, and autophagy. mTORC1 integrates signals from nutrients (amino acids, glucose), growth factors (insulin, IGF-1), and cellular energy status (AMP/ATP ratio) to coordinate anabolic processes including protein synthesis, lipid synthesis, and ribosome biogenesis. When nutrients and growth factors are abundant, mTORC1 is active and suppresses autophagy; when mTORC1 is inhibited by rapamycin, the cell shifts from growth mode to maintenance mode, activating autophagy and mitophagy to clear damaged organelles and recycle cellular components.

The importance of rapamycin for neurodegeneration lies in its potent induction of autophagy and, specifically, mitophagy—the selective autophagic clearance of damaged mitochondria. Mitophagy is regulated by the PINK1/Parkin pathway, in which PINK1 accumulates on the outer membrane of depolarized mitochondria and recruits the E3 ubiquitin ligase Parkin to tag the damaged organelle for autophagic engulfment. mTORC1 suppresses this process at multiple points: it phosphorylates and inhibits ULK1 (a kinase essential for autophagy initiation), it blocks TFEB (the master transcription factor for lysosomal biogenesis), and it promotes the proteasomal degradation of BNIP3L/NIX, a mitophagy receptor. By inhibiting mTORC1, rapamycin releases all of these brakes simultaneously, producing a coordinated upregulation of mitophagic capacity. In neurons with chronically damaged mitochondria—such as the locus coeruleus neurons of Phase I—this enhanced clearance could prevent the accumulation of dysfunctional mitochondria that drives the bioenergetic crisis.

Rationale Within Phase I

The Phase I rationale for rapamycin centers on the mitophagy failure that lies at the heart of the Silent Brainstem Erosion. As locus coeruleus neurons age, their mitochondria accumulate oxidative damage to both DNA and membrane lipids. In a healthy cell, damaged mitochondria are identified by the PINK1/Parkin quality control system and cleared through mitophagy, to be replaced by freshly biogenic organelles. But several factors conspire to overwhelm this system during Phase I: the sheer volume of mitochondrial damage generated by chronic monoamine oxidase activity, the NAD⁺ depletion caused by PARP-1 hyperactivation (which impairs sirtuin-mediated mitochondrial quality sensing), and the age-related decline in PINK1 expression that has been documented in human brainstem tissue (Poewe et al., 2017). The result is a progressive accumulation of damaged mitochondria that overwhelms cellular buffering capacity.

Rapamycin addresses this failure by enhancing the cell's capacity to clear damaged mitochondria through autophagy and mitophagy. The drug's effects extend beyond simple mitophagy induction: by activating TFEB, rapamycin also upregulates lysosomal biogenesis, ensuring that the cell has sufficient lysosomal capacity to process the increased autophagic flux. This is particularly important because lysosomal insufficiency—which becomes the dominant pathology in Phase II—may already be developing during late Phase I. Rapamycin's ability to simultaneously enhance both autophagy initiation and lysosomal capacity makes it one of the most comprehensive mitochondrial quality control agents available. Additionally, rapamycin has been shown to reduce oxidative stress by upregulating antioxidant gene expression through Nrf2 activation, providing a complementary mechanism of neuroprotection independent of its autophagy-related effects.

Clinical Trial History

Rapamycin has been in clinical use since 1999, when it received FDA approval as an immunosuppressant for kidney transplant recipients (trade name Rapamune, Pfizer). Its clinical history spans over 25 years, providing an exceptionally well-characterized safety profile. The drug has been tested in numerous indications beyond transplantation, including tuberous sclerosis complex (where it received FDA approval as Afinitor/everolimus, an mTOR inhibitor analogue), lymphangioleiomyomatosis, and various cancers. The TAME (Targeting Aging with Metformin) trial paradigm has inspired similar interest in rapamycin-based aging interventions, and the Dog Aging Project has initiated a large-scale trial of intermittent rapamycin in companion dogs—a study that may provide translational data relevant to human neurodegeneration.

The most relevant clinical data for neurodegeneration come from the studies of rapamycin in aging. The landmark study by Mannick et al. (2014) demonstrated that the mTOR inhibitor everolimus (a rapamycin analogue) improved immune function in elderly subjects at doses far below those used for immunosuppression, establishing the principle of low-dose mTOR inhibition for age-related pathology. Kraig et al. (2018) conducted an 8-week randomized controlled trial of rapamycin (1 mg/day) in healthy elderly subjects, finding that the drug was well tolerated with improvements in some cognitive measures and no significant immunosuppression. A Phase II trial at the University of Texas Health Science Center (NCT04629495) is evaluating rapamycin for Alzheimer's disease, though results have not yet been reported. The AgelessRx PEARL trial (Participatory Evaluation of Aging with Rapamycin for Longevity) is an ongoing decentralized trial testing intermittent rapamycin in healthy adults, with cognitive endpoints among its secondary outcomes.

Current Status & Limitations

Rapamycin is FDA-approved, generic, and inexpensive—all significant advantages for repurposing. However, several limitations must be addressed for Phase I deployment. The drug's immunosuppressive effects, while dose-dependent, raise concerns about chronic use in healthy adults, particularly regarding susceptibility to infections and impaired vaccine responses. Intermittent dosing schedules (such as weekly or biweekly administration) may mitigate immunosuppression while preserving autophagy-inducing effects, as the kinetics of mTORC1 inhibition and immune suppression differ. Metabolic effects, including insulin resistance and hyperlipidemia, have been observed with chronic rapamycin use at immunosuppressive doses, though these effects appear to be less pronounced at the lower doses being explored for aging interventions. Blood-brain barrier penetration is adequate, with brain-to-plasma ratios of approximately 0.1–0.3 in preclinical models, though optimization through dosing strategy or formulation may be needed.

The most significant challenge for rapamycin in Phase I is the duration of treatment. The Silent Brainstem Erosion unfolds over three decades, and no existing safety data cover continuous or intermittent rapamycin use over such a timeframe. The transplant population provides the longest exposure data—some patients have been on rapamycin for over 20 years—but these patients are also receiving other immunosuppressants and have a fundamentally different risk-benefit calculus. Despite these uncertainties, rapamycin remains one of the most promising candidates for Phase I intervention, and the growing body of clinical data from aging studies is steadily building the evidence base needed for rational deployment in early neurodegeneration prevention.

4. Urolithin A (Mitopure)

Mechanism of Action

Urolithin A is a natural metabolite produced by gut microbiota through the biotransformation of ellagitannins and ellagic acid, polyphenolic compounds found abundantly in pomegranates, walnuts, berries, and other plant foods. The compound was identified as a potent mitophagy inducer by the laboratory of Johan Auwerx at the Ecole Polytechnique Federale de Lausanne (EPFL) in 2016, in a landmark study published in *Nature Medicine* that demonstrated urolithin A's ability to extend lifespan in *C. elegans* and improve muscle function in aged rodents (Ryu et al., 2016). The mechanism of action involves activation of both the PINK1/Parkin pathway and the BNIP3L/NIX pathway of mitophagy, thereby enhancing the selective clearance of damaged mitochondria. Unlike rapamycin, which induces mitophagy indirectly through mTORC1 inhibition, urolithin A appears to act directly on the mitophagy machinery, upregulating the expression of key mitophagy receptors and enhancing the recognition of depolarized mitochondria by the autophagic apparatus.

The molecular pharmacology of urolithin A extends beyond simple mitophagy induction. The compound has been shown to activate AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK), the cellular energy sensor that promotes catabolic pathways when ATP levels are low. AMPK activation by urolithin A further enhances autophagy and mitophagy through ULK1 phosphorylation and mTORC1 suppression, creating a complementary pathway to rapamycin's effects. Additionally, urolithin A inhibits the NLRP3 inflammasome, a critical mediator of neuroinflammation that becomes pathologically activated in later phases of the Spectrum of Collapse. The compound also exhibits anti-inflammatory properties through inhibition of NF- κ B signaling and reduction of pro-inflammatory cytokine production. This multi-target profile—mitophagy induction, AMPK activation, anti-inflammatory signaling—makes urolithin A a particularly attractive candidate for the complex biology of Phase I neurodegeneration.

Rationale Within Phase I

The rationale for urolithin A in Phase I rests on its direct targeting of the mitophagy failure that drives mitochondrial accumulation in brainstem neurons. While PARP inhibitors address the upstream cause of NAD⁺ depletion and rapamycin broadly enhances autophagy through mTOR inhibition, urolithin A provides a more specific boost to the mitophagy pathway itself. This specificity is valuable because mitophagy is the rate-limiting step in mitochondrial quality control: even if NAD⁺ levels are preserved and autophagic signaling is intact, damaged mitochondria will accumulate if the molecular machinery for recognizing and engulfing them is insufficient. In aging neurons, the expression of PINK1 and Parkin declines with age, and urolithin A's ability to upregulate these pathways may compensate for this age-related decline.

An additional advantage of urolithin A for Phase I deployment is its safety profile. As a natural metabolite that is produced endogenously by gut bacteria in individuals with the appropriate microbiome composition, urolithin A has a long evolutionary history of human exposure. Supplemental urolithin A (marketed as Mitopure by Timeline SA, the company founded by Auwerx's group) has demonstrated an excellent safety profile in clinical studies, with no dose-limiting toxicities observed at doses up to 2,000 mg/day for four weeks (Andreux et al., 2019). This safety margin is critical for Phase I, where any therapeutic agent would need to be tolerated over decades of preventive use. The compound's dual role as both a mitophagy inducer and an anti-inflammatory agent positions it as a bridge between Phase I and the inflammatory processes that become dominant in later phases.

Clinical Trial History

The clinical development of urolithin A has progressed rapidly since the foundational preclinical work by Ryu et al. (2016). A first-in-human Phase I study by Andreux et al. (2019) in 60 healthy elderly subjects demonstrated that oral urolithin A at doses of 250 mg, 500 mg, 1,000 mg, and 2,000 mg was safe and well tolerated, with dose-dependent increases in plasma urolithin A levels and upregulation of mitophagy biomarkers in skeletal muscle biopsies. A subsequent randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled Phase II trial (ATLAS trial, Singh et al., 2022) in 88 older adults demonstrated that 1,000 mg/day of urolithin A for four months improved muscle endurance and reduced plasma markers of mitochondrial dysfunction, including acylcarnitines and ceramides. The study also showed improvements in the 6-minute walk test, a functional measure of physical performance.

While these trials have focused on skeletal muscle aging rather than neurodegeneration, the biological endpoints—mitophagy induction, mitochondrial biomarker improvement—are directly relevant to the Phase I neurodegenerative cascade. Preclinical studies in neurological models are more limited but encouraging: urolithin A has been shown to reduce neuroinflammation and improve cognitive function in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease (Gong et al., 2019), to protect dopaminergic neurons in a Parkinson's disease model through mitophagy enhancement (Liu et al., 2022), and to cross the blood-brain barrier at pharmacologically relevant concentrations after oral dosing. No clinical trials of urolithin A specifically for neurodegenerative disease prevention have been initiated as of 2026, though the compound's regulatory status as a dietary supplement (it received GRAS designation from the FDA in 2018) lowers the barrier to clinical investigation.

Current Status & Limitations

Urolithin A is commercially available as a dietary supplement (Mitopure, Timeline SA) and has received FDA GRAS (Generally Recognized as Safe) designation. This regulatory status facilitates widespread use but also means that the compound is not subject to the same rigorous quality controls as pharmaceutical drugs. The primary limitations for Phase I deployment include the limited CNS pharmacokinetic data in humans, the absence of clinical trials specifically targeting neurodegenerative endpoints, and the challenge of demonstrating clinical benefit in a preventive context where the target pathology unfolds over decades. The interindividual variability in gut microbiome composition adds another layer of complexity, as approximately 40 percent of the population lacks the bacterial species needed to produce urolithin A endogenously from dietary ellagitannins, making direct supplementation the more reliable delivery strategy.

Despite these limitations, urolithin A represents one of the most accessible and tolerable agents for Phase I mitophagy enhancement. Its natural origin, established safety profile, and commercial availability make it a practical candidate for inclusion in Phase I combination strategies alongside NAD⁺ precursors and other mitochondrial support agents. The ongoing ATLAS II trial and additional studies in aging populations will further characterize its efficacy, and dedicated neurodegeneration trials—measuring CSF mitophagy biomarkers, PET-based mitochondrial imaging, and cognitive endpoints—are needed to establish its role in the Spectrum of Collapse therapeutic framework.

5. Selegiline / Deprenyl (Eldepryl, Emsam)

Mechanism of Action

Selegiline, also known as L-deprenyl, is an irreversible selective inhibitor of monoamine oxidase B (MAO-B), the enzyme responsible for the oxidative deamination of dopamine, phenylethylamine, and, at higher concentrations, serotonin and norepinephrine. The drug was first synthesized by Jozsef Knoll at Semmelweis University in Budapest in the 1960s and has been in clinical use since the 1980s, primarily for Parkinson's disease and major depressive disorder. MAO-B catalyzes the reaction: $\text{monoamine} + \text{O}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{aldehyde} + \text{NH}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2$. The hydrogen peroxide generated as a byproduct of this reaction is a potent oxidant that, in the presence of iron via the Fenton reaction, generates hydroxyl radicals capable of damaging mitochondrial DNA, membrane lipids, and electron transport chain components. By inhibiting MAO-B, selegiline reduces this endogenous source of oxidative stress at its enzymatic origin.

Beyond MAO-B inhibition, selegiline has several pharmacological properties relevant to neuroprotection that are independent of its monoamine oxidase inhibitory activity. The drug upregulates the expression of neurotrophic factors including BDNF (brain-derived neurotrophic factor) and GDNF (glial-derived neurotrophic factor), enhances the expression of superoxide dismutase and catalase (key antioxidant enzymes), and stabilizes mitochondrial membrane potential through a mechanism that may involve direct interaction with mitochondrial membrane proteins. These neuroprotective effects occur at doses lower than those required for complete MAO-B inhibition, suggesting that selegiline may have multiple mechanisms of action relevant to Phase I of the Spectrum of Collapse. The drug is metabolized to desmethylselegiline, L-methamphetamine, and L-amphetamine—metabolites that contribute mild stimulant and mood-elevating effects but also raise questions about the contribution of these metabolites to the drug's overall neuroprotective profile.

Rationale Within Phase I

The rationale for selegiline in Phase I derives directly from the role of monoamine oxidase in generating oxidative stress within the very neurons that are most vulnerable to the Silent Brainstem Erosion. The locus coeruleus and dorsal raphe nucleus—the primary targets of Phase I—are monoaminergic projection systems whose neurotransmitters (norepinephrine and serotonin, respectively) are metabolized by monoamine oxidase. MAO-B expression increases with age in the human brain, rising by approximately 30 percent between the third and seventh decades of life (Fowler et al., 1997). This age-related increase in MAO-B activity means that the oxidative burden on brainstem neurons grows progressively heavier throughout Phase I, compounding the mitochondrial damage that drives the PARP-1/NAD⁺ depletion cycle.

By inhibiting MAO-B during Phase I, selegiline would reduce the rate of oxidative DNA damage in monoaminergic neurons, thereby slowing the activation of PARP-1 and preserving NAD⁺ pools. This upstream intervention is complementary to PARP inhibition (which blocks NAD⁺ consumption after DNA damage has occurred) and NAD⁺ supplementation (which replenishes the depleted pool). The Spectrum of Collapse framework views selegiline as an 'oxidative source reduction' strategy—reducing the production of reactive oxygen species rather than scavenging them after they have been generated. This approach is thermodynamically more efficient than antioxidant scavenging and may be more effective in the specific cellular compartment (the mitochondrial matrix and outer membrane) where MAO-B resides and where oxidative damage to mitochondrial DNA occurs.

Clinical Trial History

Selegiline has one of the longest clinical track records of any neuroprotective candidate. The landmark DATATOP trial (Parkinson Study Group, 1989) randomized 800 patients with early Parkinson's disease to selegiline, tocopherol (vitamin E), both, or placebo. Selegiline delayed the need for levodopa therapy by approximately 9 months, though debate persisted about whether this reflected true neuroprotection or merely a symptomatic dopaminergic effect. The subsequent DATATOP follow-up (Parkinson Study Group, 1993) and the SINDEPAR trial showed continued but diminishing benefit, consistent with a modest neuroprotective effect superimposed on a symptomatic benefit. The Alzheimer's Disease Cooperative Study (ADCS) conducted a large trial of selegiline in Alzheimer's disease (Sano et al., 1997), finding that selegiline (10 mg/day) delayed functional decline by approximately 7 months compared to placebo in patients with moderate Alzheimer's disease.

More recent studies have explored selegiline at lower doses and with different formulations. The transdermal selegiline patch (Emsam), approved for major depressive disorder in 2006, delivers the drug systemically while bypassing first-pass metabolism, reducing the production of amphetamine metabolites and lowering the dietary tyramine restrictions that complicate oral MAO inhibitor therapy. A meta-analysis by Birks and Flicker (2003, updated 2016) of selegiline trials in Alzheimer's disease concluded that the drug produced modest improvements in cognition and behavior, but the evidence was insufficient to recommend routine clinical use. Critically, none of these trials enrolled patients in the Phase I age range (20–50); all studied patients with established Alzheimer's dementia, corresponding to Phase III of the Spectrum of Collapse. The drug's effects in the correct biological phase remain untested.

Current Status & Limitations

Selegiline is FDA-approved, generic, and inexpensive, with over 40 years of clinical experience. It is available in oral form (Eldepryl, Zelapar) and as a transdermal patch (Emsam). The drug is well tolerated at therapeutic doses (5–10 mg/day orally), with the primary concerns being insomnia, orthostatic hypotension at higher doses, and the rare but serious risk of serotonin syndrome when combined with serotonergic agents. The amphetamine metabolites produced by oral selegiline metabolism have been cited as a theoretical concern for long-term use, though decades of clinical experience have not revealed significant adverse effects attributable to these metabolites at therapeutic doses.

The primary limitation of selegiline for Phase I deployment is the absence of prevention trial data. All existing Alzheimer's trials enrolled patients with established dementia, a population in which MAO-B inhibition addresses downstream consequences rather than the upstream oxidative stress that drives Phase I. A prevention trial testing selegiline (or its transdermal formulation) in cognitively normal adults aged 30–50 with biomarker evidence of mitochondrial stress would be the ideal test of the Phase I hypothesis, but such a trial faces the practical challenges of long duration, large sample size, and the difficulty of measuring subtle neuroprotective effects over a multi-year timeframe. Despite these challenges, selegiline's established safety, low cost, and mechanistic alignment with Phase I biology make it one of the most immediately deployable agents in the Spectrum of Collapse framework.

6. Rasagiline (Azilect)

Mechanism of Action

Rasagiline is a second-generation irreversible selective MAO-B inhibitor developed by Teva Pharmaceutical Industries and the Technion—Israel Institute of Technology. Like selegiline, rasagiline inhibits MAO-B by forming a covalent bond with the flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD) cofactor at the enzyme's active site, permanently inactivating the enzyme molecule. However, rasagiline differs from selegiline in a critical pharmacological respect: it is not metabolized to amphetamine or methamphetamine derivatives. Instead, rasagiline is metabolized by CYP1A2 to 1-(R)-aminoindan, a compound that has been shown to possess neuroprotective properties of its own, including anti-apoptotic effects and modulation of amyloid precursor protein processing. This 'clean' metabolic profile eliminates the stimulant effects associated with selegiline and makes rasagiline more suitable for long-term preventive use in healthy individuals.

The neuroprotective mechanisms of rasagiline extend well beyond MAO-B inhibition. Extensive work by Moussa Youdim and colleagues at the Technion has demonstrated that rasagiline activates anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 family proteins, stabilizes mitochondrial membrane potential through interaction with the mitochondrial permeability transition pore (mPTP), upregulates the expression of neurotrophic factors (BDNF, GDNF, NGF), and modulates the processing of amyloid precursor protein (APP) toward the non-amyloidogenic alpha-secretase pathway (Youdim et al., 2005). These diverse neuroprotective mechanisms appear to be mediated, at least in part, by the propargylamine moiety that is common to both rasagiline and selegiline, suggesting a pharmacophore-level mechanism independent of MAO-B inhibition. At neuroprotective doses (which may be lower than the MAO-B inhibitory dose), rasagiline's dominant effect may be mitochondrial stabilization and anti-apoptotic signaling rather than monoamine preservation.

Rationale Within Phase I

Rasagiline's rationale in Phase I overlaps with that of selegiline—reduction of MAO-B-derived oxidative stress in brainstem monoaminergic neurons—but with important advantages for the long-term preventive application envisioned by the Spectrum of Collapse framework. The absence of amphetamine metabolites eliminates the theoretical concern about chronic stimulant exposure over decades of use. The neuroprotective metabolite 1-(R)-aminoindan provides an additional mechanism of action that may enhance the drug's ability to protect mitochondria and prevent apoptosis in stressed neurons. The drug's direct effects on mitochondrial membrane potential and the mPTP are particularly relevant to Phase I, where mitochondrial dysfunction is the central pathological event.

Within the Spectrum of Collapse framework, rasagiline offers a 'two-for-one' mechanism: it reduces the oxidative input to the PARP-1/NAD⁺ depletion cycle (by inhibiting MAO-B-mediated H₂O₂ production) while simultaneously stabilizing mitochondrial membrane integrity (through mPTP modulation and Bcl-2 upregulation). This dual action addresses both the cause and the consequence of Phase I mitochondrial stress. The drug's effects on APP processing—shifting toward the non-amyloidogenic pathway—may also provide secondary benefits by reducing the production of the amyloid-beta peptide that contributes to mitochondrial toxicity through direct interaction with the electron transport chain. In combination with NAD⁺ precursors and mitophagy enhancers, rasagiline could form part of a comprehensive Phase I strategy that addresses oxidative stress, mitochondrial quality control, and bioenergetic homeostasis simultaneously.

Clinical Trial History

The clinical development of rasagiline has focused primarily on Parkinson's disease, where it received FDA approval in 2006 based on the TEMPO and PRESTO trials. The TEMPO trial (Parkinson Study Group, 2002) was a delayed-start design that randomized 404 patients with early Parkinson's disease to rasagiline 1 mg/day, 2 mg/day, or placebo for 26 weeks, followed by rasagiline for all groups. Early-start patients maintained a significant advantage over delayed-start patients at 52 weeks, consistent with (though not definitive proof of) a disease-modifying effect. The larger ADAGIO trial (Olanow et al., 2009), a randomized delayed-start trial in 1,176 early Parkinson's disease patients, showed that rasagiline 1 mg/day (but not 2 mg/day) met all three primary endpoints of the delayed-start design, providing the strongest clinical evidence to date for a possible disease-modifying effect of any drug in a neurodegenerative disease.

For Alzheimer's disease specifically, a Phase II trial of rasagiline (1 mg/day) as adjunct therapy to cholinesterase inhibitors (NCT02359552) in patients with mild-to-moderate Alzheimer's disease was completed in 2018. The study found that rasagiline was well tolerated and showed trends toward cognitive and functional improvements, though the study was not powered to detect statistically significant differences. A Phase II trial of the related compound ladostigil (a combined MAO-B/cholinesterase inhibitor developed by the same group) in mild cognitive impairment (Schneider et al., 2019) did not meet its primary endpoint but showed trends toward reduced brain atrophy in the hippocampus. As with selegiline, all existing Alzheimer's trials have enrolled patients far beyond Phase I of the Spectrum of Collapse, testing the drug in a biological context where its primary mechanism of action (reducing oxidative stress from monoamine metabolism) is no longer the dominant pathology.

Current Status & Limitations

Rasagiline is FDA-approved, available in generic form, and has a well-characterized safety profile. At the approved dose of 1 mg/day, it is well tolerated with minimal adverse effects (headache, arthralgia, and dyspepsia are the most common). The drug does not require dietary tyramine restrictions at the 1 mg dose, unlike non-selective MAO inhibitors, and has a low risk of serotonin syndrome when used as monotherapy. These properties make it suitable for long-term preventive use in the Phase I population. The primary limitation, as with selegiline, is the absence of prevention trial data in cognitively normal middle-aged adults. The ADAGIO trial's delayed-start design in Parkinson's disease provides a methodological template that could be adapted for an Alzheimer's prevention trial, but the duration (years rather than months) and sample size requirements for a primary prevention trial remain formidable challenges.

Rasagiline's advantages over selegiline for Phase I deployment—cleaner metabolic profile, neuroprotective metabolite, direct mitochondrial stabilization—make it the preferred MAO-B inhibitor within the Spectrum of Collapse framework. The drug's potential as a 'background' neuroprotective agent that could be combined safely with NAD⁺ precursors, mitophagy enhancers, and other Phase I interventions is supported by its favorable drug interaction profile and decades of clinical experience. Future trials should focus on the Phase I population (cognitively normal adults aged 30–55) with biomarker evidence of early mitochondrial dysfunction, using imaging (PET-based mitochondrial complex I tracers) and fluid biomarkers (CSF 8-oxoguanine, blood NAD⁺ metabolomics) to detect treatment effects.

7. Deferiprone (Ferriprox)

Mechanism of Action

Deferiprone is an orally bioavailable iron chelator belonging to the hydroxypyridinone class, originally developed for the treatment of iron overload in transfusion-dependent thalassemia. The drug chelates ferric iron (Fe^{3+}) in a 3:1 stoichiometry, forming a neutral, water-soluble complex that is excreted renally. Unlike the larger chelator deferoxamine, deferiprone has a relatively low molecular weight (139 Da) and crosses the blood-brain barrier effectively, achieving brain-to-plasma ratios of approximately 0.6–0.8 in preclinical models (Fredenburg et al., 1996). This CNS penetration is critical for neurodegenerative applications, where the pathological iron accumulation occurs within the brain parenchyma rather than in peripheral tissues. Deferiprone selectively chelates labile (non-transferrin-bound) iron—the redox-active pool that catalyzes Fenton chemistry—while having minimal effect on iron bound to physiological carriers such as transferrin and ferritin, making it a targeted chelator of the specifically toxic iron species.

The Fenton reaction, which deferiprone disrupts, is the primary mechanism by which iron amplifies oxidative damage in neurons: $\text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{OH}^\bullet + \text{OH}^-$. The hydroxyl radical ($\text{OH}^\bullet$) generated by this reaction is the most reactive of all biological oxidants, capable of damaging DNA, proteins, and lipids within nanometers of its generation site. In monoaminergic neurons, where MAO-B activity generates H_2O_2 as a metabolic byproduct, the availability of labile iron determines whether this H_2O_2 is detoxified harmlessly by catalase and glutathione peroxidase or converted to the devastating hydroxyl radical. Brain iron content increases with age across all regions, but the locus coeruleus and substantia nigra—both monoaminergic nuclei and both primary targets of Phase I—show particularly high iron accumulation, creating a biochemical environment where Fenton chemistry is maximally operative.

Rationale Within Phase I

Iron chelation by deferiprone addresses a critical amplifier of Phase I oxidative stress. The PARP-1/ NAD^+ depletion cycle is driven by oxidative DNA damage, and the rate of this damage is directly proportional to the availability of labile iron for Fenton chemistry. By removing the catalytic iron that converts H_2O_2 (a relatively mild oxidant that can be enzymatically detoxified) into hydroxyl radicals (which cause irreversible damage at the site of generation), deferiprone reduces the rate of mitochondrial DNA damage and, consequently, the rate of PARP-1 activation. This mechanism is synergistic with MAO-B inhibition (which reduces H_2O_2 production) and PARP inhibition (which prevents NAD^+ depletion after DNA damage has occurred), forming a three-layered defense against the oxidative cascade of Phase I.

The Spectrum of Collapse framework assigns particular importance to iron chelation because of the progressive nature of brain iron accumulation. Unlike many other risk factors for neurodegeneration, which fluctuate with metabolic state and activity, brain iron content increases monotonically with age, creating an ever-worsening biochemical environment in vulnerable nuclei. MRI-based studies using quantitative susceptibility mapping (QSM) have shown that iron accumulation in the locus coeruleus begins in early adulthood and accelerates in middle age (Langley et al., 2020), precisely tracking the Phase I timeline. Early iron chelation could flatten this accumulation curve, extending the window before Fenton chemistry overwhelms the cell's antioxidant defenses. Deferiprone's selectivity for labile iron means it would not disturb the essential iron-dependent functions of electron transport chain complexes I, II, and III, cytochrome c oxidase, or iron-sulfur cluster proteins, while specifically removing the redox-active iron that drives pathological oxidative damage.

Clinical Trial History

Deferiprone has been in clinical use since the 1980s for thalassemia and received FDA approval in 2011 for treatment of transfusional iron overload when current chelation therapy is inadequate. The drug has been studied in two major neurodegenerative applications: Parkinson's disease and Friedreich's ataxia. The FAIR-PARK-I trial (Devos et al., 2014), a pilot study of deferiprone (30 mg/kg/day) in 40 early Parkinson's disease patients, demonstrated significant reduction in substantia nigra iron content by MRI R2* and trends toward motor improvement. The larger FAIR-PARK-II trial (Devos et al., 2022), a Phase III randomized controlled trial in 372 early Parkinson's disease patients, found that deferiprone (600 mg twice daily) reduced brain iron content but, unexpectedly, was associated with slightly worse motor outcomes compared to placebo, raising concerns about over-chelation of functional iron.

For Friedreich's ataxia, an iron-overload cardiomyopathy, deferiprone trials have shown reduction in cardiac iron loading and improved cardiac function (Boddaert et al., 2007; Velasco-Sanchez et al., 2011). In Alzheimer's disease, the 3D trial (NCT03234686) is a Phase II study evaluating deferiprone (15 mg/kg twice daily) in patients with prodromal to mild Alzheimer's disease, with brain iron reduction by QSM as the primary outcome. Preliminary results suggest brain iron reduction without significant adverse cognitive effects, but full results have not been published as of 2026. The FAIR-ALS trial is evaluating deferiprone in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, another neurodegenerative condition where iron accumulation plays a role. Collectively, these trials demonstrate that deferiprone can reduce brain iron in humans, but the optimal dose—sufficient to remove toxic labile iron without depleting functional iron—remains to be established.

Current Status & Limitations

Deferiprone is FDA-approved (Ferriprox, Chiesi Farmaceutici) and has an extensive clinical safety database from thalassemia use. The most significant adverse effect is agranulocytosis, which occurs in approximately 1–2 percent of patients and requires regular monitoring of neutrophil counts (weekly complete blood counts are recommended). This monitoring requirement is a significant barrier to decades-long preventive use in otherwise healthy adults. Other adverse effects include gastrointestinal symptoms (nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain), arthralgia, and zinc deficiency with chronic use. The FAIR-PARK-II results, which showed motor worsening despite iron reduction, highlight the delicate balance between removing toxic iron and preserving the iron needed for essential neuronal functions, including mitochondrial electron transport and neurotransmitter synthesis.

The key challenge for deferiprone in Phase I is dose optimization. The thalassemia dose (75–100 mg/kg/day) is far higher than what would be appropriate for neuroprotection, and even the Parkinson's disease dose (30 mg/kg/day) may be excessive for Phase I, where the goal is to prevent iron accumulation rather than to reverse established overload. Ultra-low-dose deferiprone regimens (5–15 mg/kg/day), administered intermittently (e.g., three days per week), may achieve selective chelation of labile iron with minimal impact on functional iron pools and reduced risk of agranulocytosis. Such a dosing strategy would require validation through pharmacodynamic studies using QSM-MRI to confirm brain iron reduction at these lower doses. Deferiprone's role in Phase I may ultimately be as a targeted intervention for individuals with documented above-average brain iron accumulation, identified through MRI screening, rather than as a universal preventive agent.

8. ISRIB (Integrated Stress Response Inhibitor)

Mechanism of Action

ISRIB (Integrated Stress Response Inhibitor) is a small molecule that targets the integrated stress response (ISR) by acting as an activator of eukaryotic initiation factor 2B (eIF2B), the guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF) that recycles eIF2 from its inactive GDP-bound state to its active GTP-bound state. The ISR is a conserved translational control pathway activated by four kinases—HRI, PKR, PERK, and GCN2—each of which responds to a different stress signal (heme deficiency, viral RNA, endoplasmic reticulum stress, and amino acid deprivation, respectively). When activated, these kinases phosphorylate eIF2- α at serine 51, which converts eIF2 from a substrate of eIF2B into a competitive inhibitor of eIF2B, reducing global protein synthesis while selectively upregulating stress-responsive transcription factors such as ATF4. ISRIB enhances the decameric assembly of eIF2B, making it resistant to inhibition by phosphorylated eIF2- α , thereby maintaining translational output even under stress conditions (Sidrauski et al., 2015; Tsai et al., 2018).

The discovery of ISRIB by Peter Walter's laboratory at UCSF represented a paradigm shift in our understanding of translational control in neurodegeneration. Prior to ISRIB, the ISR was viewed primarily as a protective response that reduced protein synthesis to conserve resources during stress. However, Walter's group demonstrated that chronic ISR activation in the brain—as occurs in aging, neurodegeneration, and traumatic brain injury—produces a maladaptive state in which synaptic plasticity, learning, and memory are severely impaired. ISRIB reverses this maladaptive state by restoring normal translational capacity without fully blocking the protective stress-responsive gene expression program. The drug has poor aqueous solubility, which has limited its clinical development, but several groups are developing ISRIB analogues with improved pharmaceutical properties. The compound has demonstrated remarkable efficacy in preclinical models of cognitive impairment, traumatic brain injury, and age-related memory decline.

Rationale Within Phase I

The ISR is chronically activated in Phase I of the Spectrum of Collapse as a downstream consequence of mitochondrial dysfunction. When mitochondria are damaged and electron transport chain function is impaired, the resulting ATP depletion and increased production of reactive oxygen species trigger the kinase HRI (through heme deficiency signaling) and PERK (through ER stress caused by impaired protein folding), leading to sustained eIF2- α phosphorylation and chronic translational suppression. In brainstem neurons with high constitutive metabolic demands, this translational suppression impairs the synthesis of synaptic proteins, neurotransmitter synthetic enzymes, and the mitochondrial quality control proteins needed to clear damaged organelles, creating a feed-forward loop in which the ISR worsens the very mitochondrial dysfunction that activated it.

ISRIB interrupts this feed-forward loop by restoring translational capacity in neurons experiencing chronic mitochondrial stress. Preclinical data strongly support this rationale: ISRIB has been shown to rejuvenate cognitive function in aged mice (Krukowski et al., 2020), to restore long-term potentiation and memory formation in traumatic brain injury models (Chou et al., 2017), and to reverse age-related impairments in hippocampal protein synthesis. In the context of Phase I, ISRIB's restoration of translational capacity would support the continued synthesis of mitophagy machinery (PINK1, Parkin, BNIP3L), antioxidant enzymes (SOD2, catalase, glutathione peroxidase), and NAD⁺-related enzymes (NAMPT, NMNAT) that are suppressed by chronic ISR activation. By maintaining these protective pathways, ISRIB could slow the progression from mitochondrial stress to irreversible neuronal compromise during the decades of Phase I.

Clinical Trial History

As of 2026, ISRIB itself has not entered clinical trials due to its poor solubility and limited oral bioavailability. However, the compound has generated extraordinary interest based on its preclinical efficacy. The Walter laboratory's demonstration that a single dose of ISRIB could reverse age-related cognitive decline in aged mice—restoring performance to the level of young animals in spatial memory, working memory, and fear conditioning tasks (Krukowski et al., 2020)—was widely covered in the scientific and popular press and catalyzed extensive pharmaceutical interest. Several companies, including Calico (an Alphabet/Google longevity company) and Praxis Precision Medicine, have developed ISRIB analogues with improved drug-like properties, though clinical development timelines have not been publicly disclosed.

The closest clinical analogue is the related ISR pathway modulator ABBV-CLS-7262 (developed by AbbVie), which entered Phase I clinical trials for ALS in 2022 (NCT05155137). This compound targets the same eIF2B pathway but with a different chemical scaffold optimized for oral bioavailability and CNS penetration. Phase I data demonstrated acceptable safety and pharmacokinetics, and Phase II trials in ALS and potentially other neurodegenerative conditions are anticipated. Additionally, the repurposed drug trazodone, originally an antidepressant, has been shown to partially inhibit the ISR through a mechanism independent of eIF2B, and a clinical trial of trazodone in frontotemporal dementia (NCT02062099) has been conducted based on this rationale. The rapid development of ISRIB analogues suggests that clinically viable ISR inhibitors may be available for neurodegenerative trials within the next 3–5 years.

Current Status & Limitations

ISRIB remains a preclinical tool compound with transformative potential but significant pharmaceutical challenges. Its poor solubility (requiring formulation in DMSO/PEG for preclinical studies) and limited oral bioavailability prevent direct clinical use. The next-generation analogues under development by Calico, Praxis, and AbbVie may overcome these limitations, but none have yet reached late-stage clinical trials. A conceptual limitation is the concern that blocking the ISR could impair necessary stress adaptation: the ISR evolved to protect cells during acute stress, and chronic inhibition might leave neurons vulnerable to insults that would normally trigger protective translational reprogramming. However, preclinical data suggest that ISRIB provides a partial rather than complete block of the ISR, maintaining some stress-responsive gene expression while restoring the bulk translational capacity needed for normal neuronal function.

For Phase I of the Spectrum of Collapse, the ideal ISR-targeting agent would combine good oral bioavailability, CNS penetration, and a partial inhibition profile that restores translational capacity without fully disabling stress-responsive gene expression. The ongoing development of ISRIB analogues is likely to produce such a compound within the next several years, at which point it could be tested in combination with PARP inhibitors, NAD⁺ precursors, and mitophagy enhancers as part of a comprehensive Phase I intervention strategy. The pre-clinical data consistently showing cognitive restoration in aged animals provide strong justification for prioritizing ISR pathway inhibitors in the neurodegenerative drug development pipeline.

9. Edaravone (Radicava)

Mechanism of Action

Edaravone (3-methyl-1-phenyl-2-pyrazolin-5-one) is a low-molecular-weight free radical scavenger originally developed by Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma for the treatment of acute ischemic stroke in Japan (approved 2001) and subsequently for amyotrophic lateral sclerosis in both Japan (2015) and the United States (2017, under the trade name Radicava). The drug acts as a potent scavenger of hydroxyl radicals ($\text{OH}^\bullet$), peroxy radicals ($\text{ROO}^\bullet$), and peroxynitrite (ONOO^-), donating electrons from its pyrazolone ring to neutralize these highly reactive oxidants. Unlike enzymatic antioxidants that operate within specific subcellular compartments, edaravone distributes broadly across aqueous and lipid phases, providing radical scavenging in the cytoplasm, mitochondrial matrix, and cell membranes simultaneously. The drug's small molecular weight (174 Da) and moderate lipophilicity enable it to cross the blood-brain barrier, with brain-to-plasma ratios of approximately 0.3–0.5 in rodent models.

The antioxidant mechanism of edaravone is distinct from that of classical antioxidants like vitamin E or vitamin C. Rather than chain-breaking lipid peroxidation (vitamin E) or recycling other antioxidants (vitamin C), edaravone directly quenches the most reactive free radical species at the point of generation. Its pyrazolone ring system can donate two electrons sequentially, forming first a radical intermediate and then an oxidized product (2-oxo-3-(phenylhydrazono)-butanoic acid) that is excreted renally. This stoichiometric scavenging mechanism means that edaravone's antioxidant capacity is concentration-dependent and dose-limited, unlike catalytic antioxidants (such as SOD mimetics) that can turnover repeatedly. However, edaravone's advantage lies in its ability to reach and neutralize radicals in subcellular compartments—particularly the mitochondrial matrix—that are inaccessible to larger enzymatic antioxidants. Additional mechanisms include inhibition of lipid peroxidation, reduction of 4-hydroxynonenal (4-HNE) formation, and suppression of inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) expression.

Rationale Within Phase I

Edaravone's rationale in Phase I centers on its ability to scavenge the hydroxyl radicals generated by Fenton chemistry in iron-rich monoaminergic nuclei. While iron chelation (deferiprone) addresses the catalytic source of Fenton chemistry and MAO-B inhibition (selegiline, rasagiline) reduces the H_2O_2 substrate, edaravone provides a direct defense against the hydroxyl radical product. In the Spectrum of Collapse framework, these three approaches represent successive barriers against oxidative DNA damage: source reduction (MAO-B inhibition), catalyst removal (iron chelation), and product scavenging (edaravone). The redundancy is deliberate, reflecting the extraordinary vulnerability of locus coeruleus neurons to oxidative stress and the understanding that no single antioxidant strategy can fully protect against the continuous oxidative burden generated by three decades of monoamine metabolism.

The Phase I application of edaravone also addresses a specific limitation of the intracellular antioxidant system that becomes apparent during chronic mitochondrial stress. As NAD^+ depletion impairs the activity of NAD^+ -dependent enzymes including glutathione reductase (which requires NADPH, generated from NAD^+ via the pentose phosphate pathway), the cell's endogenous antioxidant capacity declines in parallel with the increasing oxidative burden. Edaravone, as an exogenous scavenger that does not require cellular metabolic cofactors for its activity, can partially compensate for this loss of endogenous antioxidant capacity. The drug's ability to cross into the mitochondrial compartment is particularly valuable, as mitochondrial DNA—the primary target of oxidative damage in Phase I—is more vulnerable to radical attack than nuclear DNA due to its proximity to the electron transport chain and the absence of protective histone packaging.

Clinical Trial History

Edaravone's clinical history is most extensive in stroke and ALS. In Japan, the drug has been used for acute ischemic stroke since 2001, based on the EAIS (Edaravone Acute Infarction Study) trial that demonstrated reduced disability at 3 months in patients treated within 72 hours of stroke onset (Edaravone Acute Infarction Study Group, 2003). For ALS, the pivotal Phase III trial in Japan (Writing Group on behalf of the Edaravone ALS 19 Study Group, 2017) demonstrated that edaravone slowed functional decline by 33 percent over 24 weeks in a selected subgroup of early-stage ALS patients. This trial led to FDA approval of edaravone for ALS in 2017 (intravenous formulation) and the subsequent approval of an oral formulation (Radicava ORS) in 2022. The oral formulation is particularly relevant for chronic neuroprotective use, as the original intravenous formulation required repeated infusion cycles that were impractical for long-term prevention.

For Alzheimer's disease, edaravone has been studied primarily in preclinical models, where it has demonstrated protection against amyloid-beta-induced oxidative stress and neuronal death in vitro, reduction of oxidative markers and improvement in cognitive function in transgenic Alzheimer's mouse models, and preservation of mitochondrial membrane potential in neurons exposed to hydrogen peroxide. A small open-label pilot study of edaravone in Alzheimer's patients in Japan (Sato et al., 2018) reported stabilization of cognitive scores over 12 months, but the study lacked a control group and enrolled only 20 patients. No large randomized controlled trials of edaravone for Alzheimer's disease have been conducted. The drug's recent availability in oral formulation and its established safety profile make it a candidate for repurposing studies in early neurodegeneration.

Current Status & Limitations

Edaravone is FDA-approved for ALS (Radicava, Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma) and is available in both intravenous and oral formulations. The oral formulation (Radicava ORS) has made chronic administration feasible, removing the logistical barrier of repeated infusion cycles. However, the drug is expensive (\$1,000+ per month for the ALS indication), and insurance coverage for off-label use in Alzheimer's prevention would be unlikely without trial data. The drug's stoichiometric scavenging mechanism means that continuous dosing is required to maintain antioxidant activity, and the optimal dose for chronic neuroprotection (as opposed to acute stroke treatment) has not been established.

A fundamental limitation of edaravone—and of all stoichiometric free radical scavengers—is that it addresses downstream damage rather than the upstream processes that generate the radicals. Within the Spectrum of Collapse framework, edaravone is most appropriately viewed as a complementary agent that provides additional protection when source reduction (MAO-B inhibition) and catalyst removal (iron chelation) are insufficient to prevent oxidative DNA damage. Its role in a Phase I combination strategy would be defensive rather than primary, providing a safety net against the residual radical burden that persists despite upstream interventions. The development of catalytic antioxidants—SOD/catalase mimetics that can scavenge radicals repeatedly without being consumed—may eventually supersede edaravone's stoichiometric approach.

10. Idebenone (Raxone / Catena)

Mechanism of Action

Idebenone is a synthetic short-chain analogue of coenzyme Q₁₀ (ubiquinone) developed by Takeda Pharmaceutical in the 1980s. The compound retains the benzoquinone head group of CoQ₁₀—the redox-active moiety that accepts and donates electrons in the mitochondrial electron transport chain—but replaces the long isoprenoid tail (10 isoprene units in CoQ₁₀) with a short 10-carbon hydroxydecyl chain. This structural modification dramatically improves bioavailability: while CoQ₁₀ is a large, lipophilic molecule with poor absorption and limited tissue distribution, idebenone is well absorbed orally and distributes readily to the brain and other organs. The compound functions as an electron carrier within the mitochondrial inner membrane, accepting electrons from complex I (NADH:ubiquinone oxidoreductase) and complex II (succinate:ubiquinone oxidoreductase) and transferring them to complex III (ubiquinol:cytochrome c oxidoreductase). In cells with impaired complex I function—a common feature of mitochondrial dysfunction—idebenone can bypass the defective complex by shuttling electrons directly from cytoplasmic NADH (via a mitochondrial outer membrane NADH dehydrogenase) to complex III, maintaining electron flow and ATP production.

Beyond its role as an electron carrier, idebenone is a potent lipid-phase antioxidant. The reduced form (idebenol) donates electrons to lipid peroxyl radicals, terminating the chain reaction of lipid peroxidation in mitochondrial and cellular membranes. This antioxidant activity is mechanistically distinct from that of water-soluble scavengers like edaravone: idebenone protects membrane lipids specifically, while edaravone targets aqueous-phase radicals. The two agents are therefore complementary rather than redundant. Idebenone also inhibits lipid peroxidation-derived toxic aldehydes, particularly 4-hydroxynonenal (4-HNE) and malondialdehyde (MDA), which are potent modifiers of mitochondrial proteins and DNA. Additionally, idebenone has been shown to stimulate nerve growth factor (NGF) production in astrocytes and to protect against glutamate excitotoxicity in neuronal cultures, suggesting neuroprotective mechanisms beyond its mitochondrial effects.

Rationale Within Phase I

Idebenone addresses the downstream consequence of Phase I mitochondrial dysfunction: impaired electron transport chain function and reduced ATP production. As mitochondrial DNA accumulates oxidative lesions during Phase I, the proteins encoded by the mitochondrial genome—including critical subunits of complexes I, III, IV, and V—become dysfunctional, reducing the efficiency of oxidative phosphorylation and increasing electron leak to molecular oxygen (generating superoxide). Idebenone's ability to bypass complex I dysfunction is particularly relevant because complex I is the largest and most mutationally vulnerable component of the electron transport chain, containing 7 of the 13 proteins encoded by mitochondrial DNA. In neurons with partial complex I deficiency, idebenone can maintain ATP production at levels sufficient for survival while reducing the electron leak that generates superoxide and perpetuates the oxidative damage cycle.

Within the Spectrum of Collapse framework, idebenone functions as a bioenergetic buffer that extends the functional lifespan of damaged mitochondria. While mitophagy inducers (rapamycin, urolithin A) promote the clearance of dysfunctional mitochondria, and PARP inhibitors preserve the NAD^+ needed for mitochondrial quality control, idebenone supports the residual function of mitochondria that have not yet been cleared. This is important because mitophagy is not instantaneous—the turnover time for mitochondria in neurons is estimated at 2–4 weeks—and during this interval, damaged mitochondria must continue to produce ATP while awaiting clearance. Idebenone ensures that these partially dysfunctional organelles can maintain sufficient electron transport to prevent bioenergetic crisis, buying time for the quality control machinery to complete the clearance process. The drug's membrane-localized antioxidant activity provides additional protection against the lipid peroxidation that damages mitochondrial membranes and accelerates organelle dysfunction.

Clinical Trial History

Idebenone has a complex clinical history that illustrates the challenge of testing mitochondrial agents in the wrong patient population. The drug was initially developed for Alzheimer's disease in the 1990s, and several clinical trials were conducted in patients with mild-to-moderate dementia. The pivotal European trials (Weyer et al., 1997; Gutzmann and Hadler, 1998) enrolled over 500 patients and showed modest improvements in cognitive function at doses of 270–360 mg/day, with the higher dose showing benefit on the ADAS-Cog scale. However, a large US Phase III trial failed to confirm these results, and idebenone was abandoned for Alzheimer's disease by 2003. The Spectrum of Collapse framework suggests that these trials failed because they enrolled patients in Phase III of the neurodegenerative cascade, when mitochondrial dysfunction was no longer the primary driver of cognitive decline—circuit-level collapse was.

Idebenone has been more successfully developed for primary mitochondrial diseases, where electron transport chain dysfunction is the direct cause of pathology. The drug received EU approval (under the name Raxone, Santhera Pharmaceuticals) for Leber's hereditary optic neuropathy (LHON) in 2015, based on the RHODOS trial (Klopstock et al., 2011) that demonstrated significant preservation of visual acuity in patients with recent-onset LHON. For Friedreich's ataxia, the MINOS trial (Lynch et al., 2010) and the NICOSIA extension study showed cardiac function improvements at high doses (up to 2,250 mg/day). The Duchenne muscular dystrophy program (DELOS trial, Buyse et al., 2015) showed that idebenone slowed the loss of respiratory function by 30 percent. These results in primary mitochondrial disease validate the compound's mechanism of action and support its potential utility in Phase I of the Spectrum of Collapse, where mitochondrial dysfunction is the central pathological event.

Current Status & Limitations

Idebenone is approved in the EU for LHON (Raxone) and is available as a dietary supplement in many countries (Catena). The drug is well tolerated, with the most common adverse effects being mild gastrointestinal symptoms (nausea, diarrhea) and potential interference with warfarin metabolism. The primary limitation for Phase I use is the historical failure in Alzheimer's trials, which may discourage investigators and funders from revisiting the compound, even though the Spectrum of Collapse framework provides a clear mechanistic explanation for why the original trials failed (wrong phase of the disease). Additionally, the drug's oral bioavailability, while superior to CoQ₁₀, is still limited by first-pass metabolism, and brain concentrations may require high oral doses to achieve therapeutic levels.

The most promising path for idebenone in Phase I may be as a component of a combination regimen rather than as a standalone therapy. In combination with NAD⁺ precursors (to restore the cofactor needed for complex I activity), PARP inhibitors (to prevent NAD⁺ depletion), and mitophagy enhancers (to clear irreparably damaged mitochondria), idebenone could serve as the bioenergetic bridge that maintains neuronal function while the quality control machinery operates. A re-trial of idebenone in a Phase I prevention cohort—cognitively normal adults aged 30–50 with biomarker evidence of mitochondrial dysfunction—would represent a fundamentally different test of the compound than the 1990s dementia trials, and the Spectrum of Collapse framework provides the scientific rationale for such a study.

Phase I Supplements: Nutraceutical Support for the Mitochondrial Crisis

Over-the-Counter Agents for NAD⁺ Repletion, Mitophagy, and Redox Balance

1. Nicotinamide Riboside (NR)

Mechanism of Action

Nicotinamide riboside (NR) is a form of vitamin B₃ and a naturally occurring precursor to nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD⁺). NR is converted to NAD⁺ through a two-step salvage pathway: first, nicotinamide riboside kinases (NRK1 and NRK2) phosphorylate NR to produce nicotinamide mononucleotide (NMN), and then NMN adenylyltransferases (NMNATs) convert NMN to NAD⁺. This salvage pathway is distinct from the de novo synthesis pathway (from tryptophan) and the Preiss-Handler pathway (from nicotinic acid), and the NRK enzymes are upregulated in tissues under metabolic stress, making NR a particularly efficient NAD⁺ precursor in precisely the conditions where NAD⁺ is most depleted. The discovery of NR as an NAD⁺ precursor by Charles Brenner's laboratory at the University of Iowa (Bieganowski and Brenner, 2004) launched the modern field of NAD⁺ metabolism in aging and neurodegeneration.

NR's pharmacological advantage over other NAD⁺ precursors lies in its ability to raise intracellular NAD⁺ without activating the GPR109A receptor (which causes the flushing associated with niacin) and without the dose-limiting hepatic toxicity concerns of nicotinamide at high doses. Oral NR has been shown to increase blood NAD⁺ levels by 40–100 percent at doses of 250–1,000 mg/day in human studies (Trammell et al., 2016; Airhart et al., 2017). The compound is absorbed in the small intestine, where it is partially converted to nicotinamide by brush border enzymes

before entering the portal circulation. Tissue distribution studies in rodents have confirmed that NR supplementation increases NAD⁺ in brain, liver, muscle, and adipose tissue, with brain NAD⁺ increases of approximately 20–40 percent at pharmacological doses (Gong et al., 2013). The compound also activates SIRT1 and SIRT3 downstream of NAD⁺ elevation, restoring the sirtuin-mediated mitochondrial quality control pathways that are impaired by NAD⁺ depletion.

Rationale Within Phase I

NR is the most direct pharmacological answer to the NAD⁺ depletion that defines Phase I of the Spectrum of Collapse. As PARP-1 hyperactivation consumes NAD⁺ in response to chronic oxidative DNA damage in brainstem neurons, the cellular pool of this essential cofactor falls below the threshold needed for normal mitochondrial function, sirtuin activity, and metabolic homeostasis. NR replenishes this pool from the outside, bypassing the metabolic bottleneck created by PARP-1 consumption. The therapeutic logic is simple: if the disease drains the pool faster than normal metabolism can refill it, increase the inflow. NR is complementary to PARP inhibition (which reduces the outflow) and creates a two-pronged strategy for maintaining NAD⁺ homeostasis during Phase I.

The Spectrum of Collapse framework identifies NR as particularly valuable because of its effects on sirtuin-mediated mitochondrial quality control. SIRT1, the most studied NAD⁺-dependent deacetylase, regulates the activity of PGC-1-alpha (the master regulator of mitochondrial biogenesis), FOXO3 (which controls antioxidant gene expression), and autophagy-related proteins (Atg5, Atg7, Beclin-1). SIRT3, localized to the mitochondrial matrix, deacetylates and activates SOD2 (the primary mitochondrial antioxidant enzyme), isocitrate dehydrogenase 2 (which generates NADPH for glutathione reduction), and long-chain acyl-CoA dehydrogenase (essential for fatty acid oxidation). When NAD⁺ levels fall during Phase I, both SIRT1 and SIRT3 become inactive, producing a coordinated failure of mitochondrial biogenesis, antioxidant defense, and mitophagy. NR supplementation restores these pathways, addressing not just the cofactor deficit but the entire downstream cascade of quality control failure.

Clinical Trial History

NR has been extensively studied in human clinical trials over the past decade. The first pharmacokinetic study by Trammell et al. (2016) demonstrated dose-dependent increases in blood NAD⁺ metabolites following single oral doses of 100–1,000 mg, with peak NAD⁺ levels achieved at 8 hours post-dose. The first chronic dosing study by Airhart et al. (2017) in 8 healthy volunteers receiving 1,000 mg/day for 8 weeks showed a sustained 40 percent increase in blood NAD⁺ with no adverse effects. The CHROMAVID trial (Dollerup et al., 2018) randomized 40 obese men to NR (1,000 mg/day) or placebo for 12 weeks, demonstrating increased blood NAD⁺ and trends toward improved insulin sensitivity, though the primary metabolic endpoints did not reach statistical significance.

For neurodegenerative applications, the most important clinical data come from the NR-SAFE trial (Brakedal et al., 2022), a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of NR (1,000 mg/day) in 30 newly diagnosed Parkinson's disease patients. The study demonstrated that NR was well tolerated, increased brain NAD⁺ (measured by ³¹P MRS), and was associated with mild improvements in clinical scores, though the study was not powered for clinical efficacy. A larger Phase II NR trial in Alzheimer's disease (NCT05617508) is underway, with NAD⁺ metabolomics and cognitive endpoints as primary outcomes. The Hevener laboratory at UCLA has conducted a trial of NR in individuals with mild cognitive impairment, though results are pending publication. Collectively, the clinical data support NR's ability to raise NAD⁺ in the human brain and its excellent safety profile, but definitive evidence of clinical neuroprotection requires larger and longer trials.

Current Status & Limitations

NR is commercially available as a dietary supplement from multiple manufacturers (Niagen by ChromaDex is the most extensively studied formulation). It has received FDA GRAS designation and is well tolerated at doses up to 2,000 mg/day in clinical studies. The primary limitation is cost (approximately \$40–80 per month for 500–1,000 mg/day) and the uncertainty about whether peripheral NAD⁺ elevation translates to sufficient brain NAD⁺ repletion. The compound's oral bioavailability is complicated by significant first-pass hepatic metabolism, with much of the ingested NR being converted to nicotinamide before reaching the systemic circulation. Whether NR or its metabolite nicotinamide is the primary species that crosses the blood-brain barrier to elevate brain NAD⁺ remains debated.

Despite these limitations, NR represents the most clinically advanced NAD⁺ precursor for Phase I of the Spectrum of Collapse. Its established safety, widespread availability, and growing clinical evidence base make it a practical cornerstone of any Phase I supplement strategy. The key unanswered question is whether NR supplementation alone can restore NAD⁺ homeostasis in the face of ongoing PARP-1-mediated consumption, or whether combination with PARP inhibition is necessary to tip the balance. The NR + low-dose PARP inhibitor combination represents one of the most mechanistically coherent treatment strategies for Phase I and deserves priority attention in clinical trial design.

2. Nicotinamide Mononucleotide (NMN)

Mechanism of Action

Nicotinamide mononucleotide (NMN) is the phosphorylated form of nicotinamide riboside and the immediate precursor to NAD^+ in the salvage pathway. NMN is produced endogenously by the enzyme nicotinamide phosphoribosyltransferase (NAMPT), which converts nicotinamide and phosphoribosyl pyrophosphate to NMN. NAMPT is the rate-limiting enzyme in the NAD^+ salvage pathway, and its expression declines with age in multiple tissues including the brain (Revollo et al., 2007; Yoshino et al., 2011). Exogenous NMN supplementation bypasses this rate-limiting step, providing substrate directly to NMN adenylyltransferases (NMNATs) for conversion to NAD^+ . The compound has been championed by David Sinclair's laboratory at Harvard Medical School as a strategy for restoring NAD^+ levels in aging and age-related disease, with extensive preclinical data demonstrating its efficacy in rodent models.

A significant question in NMN pharmacology has been whether exogenous NMN can be transported intact into cells or must first be dephosphorylated to NR (by the ectoenzyme CD73) before cellular uptake. The discovery of a dedicated NMN transporter, Slc12a8, by Grozio et al. (2019) in the Imai laboratory demonstrated that at least some tissues can directly import NMN, though the expression and activity of Slc12a8 varies across organs and species. In the brain, NMN uptake may occur through both direct transport and conversion to NR, with the relative contribution of each pathway depending on regional expression of transporters and ectoenzymes. Regardless of the uptake mechanism, oral NMN has been shown to increase NAD^+ in blood, liver, muscle, and brain tissue in rodent studies at doses of 100–500 mg/kg/day, with improvements in mitochondrial function, insulin sensitivity, cognitive function, and exercise capacity in aged animals (Mills et al., 2016; Yoshino et al., 2018).

Rationale Within Phase I

NMN shares the fundamental Phase I rationale of NR—replenishing the NAD^+ pool that is depleted by PARP-1 hyperactivation—but with a potentially different pharmacokinetic and tissue distribution profile. Some researchers argue that NMN, being one step closer to NAD^+ in the salvage pathway (NMN requires only one enzymatic step versus two for NR), may be a more efficient precursor, particularly in tissues with high NMNAT expression. Brain tissue expresses NMNAT2 at high levels, particularly in axons, where it plays a critical role in axonal maintenance and protection against Wallerian degeneration. This is directly relevant to Phase I, where SARM1-mediated axonal degeneration is a key pathological mechanism: NMNAT2 loss-of-function activates SARM1, which cleaves NAD^+ and triggers axon destruction. NMN supplementation could support NMNAT2 activity by providing excess substrate, potentially raising the threshold for SARM1 activation and protecting the vulnerable axons of locus coeruleus neurons.

The NMNAT2-SARM1 axis adds a dimension to NMN's Phase I rationale that goes beyond simple NAD^+ repletion. NMNAT2 is constitutively synthesized in the neuronal cell body and transported to the axon, where it must be continuously replenished to maintain axonal integrity. Any interruption of axonal transport—whether by mitochondrial dysfunction, cytoskeletal disruption, or tau pathology—depletes axonal NMNAT2, which in turn activates SARM1 and initiates programmed axon destruction. By maintaining high cellular NMN levels, supplementation could buffer against transient drops in NMNAT2 supply, extending the axon's survival window during periods of impaired transport. This is particularly relevant for the long, thin, unmyelinated axons of locus coeruleus neurons, which are exceptionally dependent on continuous NMNAT2 replenishment and correspondingly vulnerable to SARM1 activation.

Clinical Trial History

NMN has been studied in multiple human clinical trials since 2020. The first published human trial by Irie et al. (2020) administered single oral doses of 100, 250, and 500 mg to 10 healthy men in Japan, demonstrating safety and dose-dependent increases in plasma NMN metabolites without adverse effects. The first chronic dosing trial by Yoshino et al. (2021) randomized 25 postmenopausal women with prediabetes to NMN (250 mg/day) or placebo for 10 weeks, demonstrating increased skeletal muscle insulin sensitivity, increased muscle NAD⁺ metabolites, and improved muscle remodeling gene expression. The Yi et al. (2023) trial in 80 middle-aged healthy adults receiving 300 mg, 600 mg, or 900 mg NMN daily for 60 days showed dose-dependent increases in blood NAD⁺ and improvements in walking speed and grip strength.

Neurodegenerative applications of NMN in clinical trials are nascent. A Phase I trial of NMN in Alzheimer's patients (NCT05590468) is underway but results have not yet been reported. The most relevant preclinical data come from studies showing that NMN supplementation (500 mg/kg/day) in aged mice restored hippocampal NAD⁺, improved cognitive function in the Morris water maze, reduced neuroinflammation, and restored cerebrovascular function (Kiss et al., 2020; Tarantini et al., 2019). Wang et al. (2016) demonstrated that NMN administration rescued mitochondrial function and reduced amyloid-beta production in a transgenic Alzheimer's mouse model. These preclinical results are consistent with the Phase I hypothesis that NAD⁺ repletion can address the fundamental bioenergetic deficit of the early neurodegenerative cascade.

Current Status & Limitations

NMN is widely available as a dietary supplement from numerous manufacturers, with pricing ranging from \$30 to \$100 per month depending on dose and formulation. In November 2022, the FDA issued a letter stating that NMN may not qualify as a dietary supplement because it was under investigation as a new drug (by Metro International Biotech, a company co-founded by David Sinclair), creating regulatory uncertainty in the US market. However, NMN remains widely sold and the regulatory situation is evolving. The compound is approved as a food ingredient in Japan and is available without restriction in most countries. Quality control is a concern in the supplement market, as NMN purity and stability vary significantly among manufacturers.

The primary scientific limitation of NMN for Phase I is the same as for NR: uncertainty about the dose required to meaningfully raise brain NAD⁺ in humans. While rodent studies use doses of 100–500 mg/kg (equivalent to 7–35 grams/day in a 70 kg human), human studies have used 250–900 mg/day—doses that reliably raise blood NAD⁺ but whose effect on brain NAD⁺ has not been directly measured. ³¹P MRS (magnetic resonance spectroscopy) can measure brain NAD⁺ non-invasively, and future trials should incorporate this outcome to establish the dose-response relationship between oral NMN and brain NAD⁺ repletion. The NMN versus NR debate—which precursor is superior for brain NAD⁺ elevation—remains unresolved and will likely require head-to-head clinical comparisons with brain NAD⁺ as the primary endpoint.

3. Niacin (Vitamin B₃, Nicotinic Acid)

Mechanism of Action

Niacin (nicotinic acid) is the oldest known NAD⁺ precursor, identified as the anti-pellagra factor by Conrad Elvehjem in 1937. The compound is converted to NAD⁺ through the Preiss-Handler pathway: nicotinic acid is first converted to nicotinic acid mononucleotide (NAMN) by nicotinic acid phosphoribosyltransferase (NAPRT), then to nicotinic acid adenine dinucleotide (NAAD) by NMNATs, and finally to NAD⁺ by NAD⁺ synthetase (NADSYN1). This three-step pathway is energetically costlier than the NR or NMN salvage routes but is robustly expressed in most tissues and does not decline as dramatically with age as the NAMPT-dependent salvage pathway. Niacin has been used clinically for over 70 years, primarily for dyslipidemia (it raises HDL and lowers triglycerides through activation of the GPR109A receptor on adipocytes) and, at nutritional doses, for prevention of pellagra.

The pharmacology of niacin is complicated by its activation of GPR109A (also known as HCAR2 or HM74A), a G-protein-coupled receptor expressed on adipocytes, immune cells, and microglia. Activation of GPR109A on dermal Langerhans cells triggers prostaglandin D₂ and E₂ release, causing the characteristic flushing response (vasodilation, warmth, and redness of the skin) that limits patient compliance at therapeutic doses. Extended-release formulations (Niaspan) reduce but do not eliminate flushing. However, GPR109A activation on microglia may have neuroprotective anti-inflammatory effects, potentially providing a dual mechanism of action in the brain: NAD⁺ repletion through the Preiss-Handler pathway and microglial modulation through GPR109A signaling. This dual action distinguishes niacin from NR and NMN, which do not activate GPR109A, and positions niacin as a unique NAD⁺ precursor with inherent anti-inflammatory properties.

Rationale Within Phase I

Niacin's rationale in Phase I combines NAD⁺ repletion with a potential anti-inflammatory benefit that may be particularly relevant during the late Phase I transition into Phase II. While NR and NMN provide cleaner NAD⁺ precursor activity without the flushing side effect, niacin's activation of microglial GPR109A may provide an additional protective mechanism by maintaining microglia in a homeostatic surveillance state rather than allowing premature transition to the disease-associated phenotype. This is speculative but supported by preclinical data showing that niacin supplementation in Alzheimer's mouse models reduces neuroinflammation and improves cognitive outcomes through a GPR109A-dependent mechanism (Giri et al., 2019).

From a practical standpoint, niacin has several advantages for Phase I deployment: it is the least expensive NAD⁺ precursor (pennies per day at nutritional doses), has the longest clinical track record (over 70 years), and raises NAD⁺ through a pathway (Preiss-Handler) that is independent of the NAMPT-dependent salvage pathway used by NR and NMN. This pathway independence means that niacin could be combined with NR or NMN to maximize NAD⁺ repletion through parallel metabolic routes, a strategy that the Spectrum of Collapse framework terms 'multi-pathway NAD⁺ loading.' The dose required for NAD⁺ repletion (100–500 mg/day) is lower than the dyslipidemia dose (1,000–3,000 mg/day), potentially reducing flushing severity while still providing meaningful NAD⁺ elevation. Extended-release niacin at 500 mg/day represents a practical Phase I supplement that is affordable, widely available, and supported by decades of safety data.

Clinical Trial History

Niacin's clinical trial history is among the most extensive of any pharmacological agent, though the vast majority of trials have focused on cardiovascular endpoints. The Coronary Drug Project (1975) was one of the first large randomized trials to demonstrate that niacin reduced recurrent myocardial infarction and, in a 15-year follow-up, total mortality. The AIM-HIGH trial (2011) and HPS2-THRIVE trial (2014) tested niacin added to statin therapy and found no additional cardiovascular benefit, largely ending the cardiovascular use case. For neurodegeneration, the most relevant data come from the epidemiological study by Morris et al. (2004) in the Chicago Health and Aging Project, which found that higher dietary niacin intake was associated with a slower rate of cognitive decline and reduced risk of Alzheimer's disease in a cohort of 6,158 older adults followed for 6 years.

The clinical trial of high-dose niacin (sustained-release, 1,500 mg/day titrated over 12 weeks) in Parkinson's disease by Chong et al. (2021) demonstrated improvements in quality of life scores and reductions in inflammatory markers, though the study enrolled only 47 patients and was not powered for disease-modifying endpoints. Wakade et al. (2021) showed that niacin supplementation reduced the expression of GPR109A on macrophages in Parkinson's patients, consistent with receptor downregulation from chronic agonist exposure, and was associated with increased anti-inflammatory macrophage markers. No large randomized controlled trials of niacin specifically for Alzheimer's prevention have been conducted, representing a significant gap given the epidemiological evidence and the strong mechanistic rationale for NAD⁺ repletion in early neurodegeneration.

Current Status & Limitations

Niacin is available over the counter in immediate-release, extended-release (Niaspan), and sustained-release formulations. The immediate-release form causes the most intense flushing; extended-release formulations reduce flushing but have been associated with hepatotoxicity at high doses. Sustained-release formulations carry the highest hepatotoxicity risk and should be used with caution. For Phase I NAD⁺ repletion, extended-release niacin at 250–500 mg/day represents the best balance of efficacy, tolerability, and safety. Flushing typically diminishes with continued use (tachyphylaxis) and can be further reduced by taking niacin with food or aspirin. The cost is negligible compared to NR and NMN, making niacin the most accessible NAD⁺ precursor for global deployment.

The primary limitation of niacin for Phase I is the flushing response, which limits compliance despite being pharmacologically harmless. The hepatotoxicity risk at high doses (primarily with sustained-release formulations) necessitates monitoring of liver function tests during chronic use, adding a burden that may be unacceptable for a preventive supplement in healthy adults. Additionally, the relative efficiency of niacin versus NR versus NMN for brain NAD⁺ elevation has not been directly compared in clinical studies, leaving the choice of NAD⁺ precursor as a matter of theoretical reasoning rather than empirical evidence. The Spectrum of Collapse framework suggests that niacin's unique GPR109A-mediated anti-inflammatory effects may justify its inclusion alongside NR or NMN in a multi-precursor Phase I strategy, rather than viewing the three compounds as interchangeable.

4. Coenzyme Q₁₀ (Ubiquinol)

Mechanism of Action

Coenzyme Q₁₀ (CoQ₁₀), also known as ubiquinone (oxidized form) or ubiquinol (reduced form), is an essential lipid-soluble component of the mitochondrial electron transport chain. CoQ₁₀ serves as the mobile electron carrier that shuttles electrons from complexes I and II to complex III in the inner mitochondrial membrane. Without adequate CoQ₁₀, electron flow through the respiratory chain is impaired, ATP production decreases, and electron leak to molecular oxygen increases, generating superoxide radical. CoQ₁₀ is synthesized endogenously through a complex biosynthetic pathway that shares early steps with cholesterol synthesis (via the mevalonate pathway), and its production declines with age—particularly in the heart and brain, where mitochondrial density and metabolic demand are highest. Statins, which inhibit HMG-CoA reductase in the mevalonate pathway, further reduce CoQ₁₀ synthesis and may accelerate the age-related decline.

Beyond its electron transport function, CoQ₁₀ is the only endogenously synthesized lipid-soluble antioxidant in mitochondrial membranes. In its reduced form (ubiquinol, QH₂), it donates electrons to lipid peroxyl radicals, terminating lipid peroxidation chain reactions and protecting membrane integrity. It also regenerates alpha-tocopherol (vitamin E) from its oxidized tocopheroxyl radical form, creating a cooperative antioxidant network within the membrane. In the mitochondrial inner membrane, where the electron transport chain generates the highest local concentrations of reactive oxygen species, CoQ₁₀'s dual role as electron carrier and antioxidant is essential for maintaining organelle integrity. The ubiquinol form (reduced CoQ₁₀) is the more bioactive supplement form, as it does not require cellular reduction before it can function as an antioxidant, and it is absorbed approximately 3–5 times more efficiently than the oxidized ubiquinone form.

Rationale Within Phase I

CoQ₁₀ supplementation addresses two converging deficiencies in Phase I: the age-related decline in endogenous CoQ₁₀ synthesis and the increased demand for electron transport chain support as mitochondria accumulate damage. In locus coeruleus neurons, where mitochondria are under chronic oxidative stress from monoamine metabolism, the progressive loss of CoQ₁₀ from the inner membrane reduces electron transport efficiency and increases superoxide production—both of which accelerate the Phase I cascade. Supplemental CoQ₁₀, by replenishing the mitochondrial pool, can maintain electron flow efficiency and reduce the oxidative burden that drives PARP-1 activation and NAD⁺ depletion.

Within the Spectrum of Collapse framework, CoQ₁₀ complements idebenone's function but operates through a different pharmacokinetic route. While idebenone has superior oral bioavailability due to its shorter side chain, endogenous CoQ₁₀ has a higher affinity for the physiological binding sites on complexes I, II, and III, and may integrate more efficiently into the normal electron transport chain architecture. The two compounds are not interchangeable but rather operate in complementary roles: CoQ₁₀ supplements the endogenous pool to support normal electron transport, while idebenone provides a pharmacological bypass when complex I is severely impaired. For early Phase I, when mitochondrial damage is still modest, CoQ₁₀ supplementation may be sufficient to maintain electron transport efficiency; idebenone would become more relevant in late Phase I as complex I dysfunction progresses.

Clinical Trial History

CoQ₁₀ has been tested in multiple neurodegenerative disease trials. The landmark QE3 trial (Beal et al., 2014) was a Phase III, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of CoQ₁₀ (2,400 mg/day) in 600 patients with early Parkinson's disease. The trial was halted for futility at a pre-specified interim analysis, finding no benefit of CoQ₁₀ over placebo on the UPDRS total score. Similarly, a large trial in Huntington's disease (2CARE study, McGarry et al., 2017) found no benefit of CoQ₁₀ (2,400 mg/day) over placebo. These negative results have dampened enthusiasm for CoQ₁₀ in neurodegeneration, but the Spectrum of Collapse framework suggests that, as with idebenone, these trials enrolled patients far beyond Phase I, when mitochondrial dysfunction was no longer the rate-limiting pathology.

Positive signals have emerged from smaller studies in earlier disease stages. Muller et al. (2003) found that CoQ₁₀ (360 mg/day) produced mild symptomatic improvement in Parkinson's patients. Shults et al. (2002) conducted a Phase II trial showing a dose-dependent slowing of functional decline in early Parkinson's disease, with the highest dose (1,200 mg/day) showing the most benefit—the result that motivated the larger QE3 trial. The discrepancy between the positive Phase II and negative Phase III results may reflect differences in disease stage, dose, formulation, or the inherent challenge of demonstrating neuroprotection in a patient population where neurodegeneration is advanced. Meta-analyses of CoQ₁₀ trials in cardiovascular disease and heart failure have consistently shown benefit (Q-SYMBIO trial, Mortensen et al., 2014), validating the compound's bioenergetic mechanism in a clinical context where mitochondrial dysfunction is the primary driver.

Current Status & Limitations

CoQ₁₀ is widely available as a dietary supplement in both ubiquinone and ubiquinol forms. Ubiquinol formulations are preferred for bioavailability, with doses of 200–600 mg/day typically recommended. The compound is extremely safe, with no serious adverse effects reported even at doses of 2,400 mg/day for extended periods. Cost is moderate (\$20–60/month depending on dose and formulation). The primary limitation is the poor bioavailability of oral CoQ₁₀, particularly for brain delivery: CoQ₁₀ is a large, highly lipophilic molecule that is poorly absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract and has limited blood-brain barrier penetration. Even high oral doses may produce only modest increases in brain CoQ₁₀ levels.

Novel formulations—including nano-emulsions, liposomal preparations, and cyclodextrin complexes—are being developed to improve CoQ₁₀ bioavailability, though none have been validated in neurodegenerative trials. The negative Phase III trials in Parkinson's and Huntington's diseases have created a clinical perception that CoQ₁₀ is ineffective for neurodegeneration, which may be the greatest barrier to retesting it in the appropriate Phase I population. The Spectrum of Collapse framework provides the mechanistic rationale for such retesting, but overcoming the 'negative trial' narrative will require compelling biomarker evidence that CoQ₁₀ reaches the brain and improves mitochondrial function *in vivo*. PET-based mitochondrial complex I tracers could provide this evidence if applied in a Phase I prevention trial design.

5. PQQ (Pyrroloquinoline Quinone)

Mechanism of Action

Pyrroloquinoline quinone (PQQ) is a redox cofactor originally identified in methylotrophic bacteria, where it serves as the prosthetic group for quinoprotein dehydrogenases. In mammalian biology, PQQ is not a classical vitamin but functions as a potent bioactive compound with effects on mitochondrial biogenesis, redox balance, and cellular signaling. The most significant mechanism of PQQ for neurodegeneration is its ability to stimulate mitochondrial biogenesis through activation of PGC-1-alpha, the master transcriptional coactivator that coordinates the expression of nuclear-encoded mitochondrial genes. PQQ activates PGC-1-alpha through CREB (cAMP response element-binding protein) phosphorylation, which in turn upregulates the expression of NRF-1, NRF-2, and TFAM—the transcription factors that drive mitochondrial DNA replication and the expression of electron transport chain components (Chowanadisai et al., 2010).

PQQ is an exceptionally efficient redox cycling agent, capable of catalyzing repeated rounds of oxidation-reduction. A single PQQ molecule can undergo over 20,000 redox cycles in vitro, compared to approximately 4 cycles for ascorbic acid (vitamin C), making it one of the most catalytically potent antioxidants known. This catalytic efficiency means that very small quantities of PQQ can provide substantial antioxidant protection, particularly in the mitochondrial compartment where oxidative stress is highest. PQQ also modulates cellular signaling through multiple pathways: it activates the JAK-STAT pathway, inhibits thioredoxin reductase (which paradoxically can enhance certain antioxidant responses), and has been shown to reduce the expression of inflammatory mediators including IL-6, TNF-alpha, and C-reactive protein. The compound is found naturally in trace quantities in human breast milk, suggesting an evolutionary role in supporting mitochondrial development during the neonatal period.

Rationale Within Phase I

PQQ addresses a dimension of Phase I that other supplements in this category do not: mitochondrial biogenesis. While NAD^+ precursors restore the cofactor needed for mitochondrial quality control, and mitophagy enhancers promote the clearance of damaged organelles, PQQ stimulates the production of new, healthy mitochondria to replace those that have been cleared. This completes the mitochondrial quality control cycle: mitophagy removes the old and damaged, while PQQ-stimulated biogenesis creates the new. Without adequate biogenesis, even perfect mitophagy would eventually deplete the cell's mitochondrial population, reducing ATP production capacity and threatening cell survival.

In the context of Phase I, PQQ's biogenesis-stimulating activity is particularly relevant for locus coeruleus neurons, which have exceptionally high mitochondrial density to support their continuous tonic firing and catecholamine oxidative chemistry. As Phase I progresses and damaged mitochondria are cleared by mitophagy, the cell must generate replacement mitochondria at a rate that keeps pace with clearance. PQQ, by activating PGC-1-alpha, enhances this replacement rate. The compound's catalytic antioxidant activity provides a complementary benefit by reducing the oxidative damage that triggers mitochondrial dysfunction in the first place. In combination with NAD^+ precursors (for cofactor repletion), mitophagy enhancers (for damaged organelle clearance), and electron transport chain supports (CoQ_{10} , idebenone), PQQ completes a comprehensive mitochondrial renewal strategy for Phase I.

Clinical Trial History

Clinical trials of PQQ are limited in number but consistent in demonstrating safety and bioactivity. Harris et al. (2013) conducted a randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind study of PQQ (20 mg/day) in 41 healthy adults for 8 weeks, finding significant reductions in C-reactive protein, IL-6, and urinary methylated metabolites (indicating altered one-carbon metabolism), with improvements in subjective measures of vigor and fatigue. Nakano et al. (2012) studied PQQ (20 mg/day) in 17 healthy adults for 12 weeks and reported improvements in cognitive function tests (Stroop test, reverse recall) and reductions in urinary 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine (8-OHdG, a marker of oxidative DNA damage). The 8-OHdG reduction is particularly relevant to Phase I, as this is the same lesion that activates PARP-1 and drives NAD⁺ depletion.

A Japanese study by Itoh et al. (2016) evaluated PQQ combined with CoQ₁₀ in elderly subjects and found improvements in cognitive function tests compared to CoQ₁₀ alone, suggesting a synergistic effect on mitochondrial function. No large randomized controlled trials of PQQ for neurodegenerative disease have been conducted, and the compound remains in the early stages of clinical investigation. Preclinical data in neurological models are encouraging: PQQ has been shown to protect against MPTP-induced dopaminergic neurodegeneration in mice (Qin et al., 2015), to reduce ischemic brain injury in stroke models, and to improve cognitive function in aged rodents through enhanced mitochondrial biogenesis. These preclinical results support the Phase I rationale but require clinical validation in human neurodegenerative disease populations.

Current Status & Limitations

PQQ is available as a dietary supplement from multiple manufacturers, typically at doses of 10–20 mg/day. The compound is well tolerated with no reported serious adverse effects at supplemental doses. Cost is moderate (\$15–30/month). The primary limitations include the small size and short duration of existing clinical studies, the absence of brain pharmacokinetic data in humans, and the lack of trials specifically targeting neurodegenerative endpoints. The compound's mechanism of action—primarily through PGC-1-alpha activation—is well established in preclinical models but has not been confirmed in human brain tissue. Additionally, the optimal dose for mitochondrial biogenesis in the brain is unknown and may differ from the doses used in cognitive function studies.

PQQ's role in Phase I of the Spectrum of Collapse is as a mitochondrial biogenesis enhancer—the 'renewal' arm of a comprehensive mitochondrial quality control strategy that also includes clearance (mitophagy enhancers) and cofactor repletion (NAD⁺ precursors). Its catalytic antioxidant activity provides additional value but should not be viewed as its primary contribution. Future trials should evaluate PQQ in combination with other Phase I agents, measuring mitochondrial biogenesis markers (PGC-1-alpha expression, mitochondrial DNA copy number, citrate synthase activity) as pharmacodynamic endpoints to confirm target engagement in humans.

6. Alpha-Lipoic Acid (ALA)

Mechanism of Action

Alpha-lipoic acid (ALA, thioctic acid) is a disulfide-containing compound that functions as an essential cofactor for mitochondrial alpha-ketoacid dehydrogenase complexes, including pyruvate dehydrogenase (PDH) and alpha-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase (alpha-KGDH)—two enzymes that are critical bottlenecks in aerobic energy metabolism. PDH links glycolysis to the citric acid cycle by converting pyruvate to acetyl-CoA, while alpha-KGDH catalyzes a rate-limiting step within the citric acid cycle itself. ALA is covalently bound to the E2 (dihydrolipoamide acetyltransferase) subunit of these complexes and undergoes reversible oxidation-reduction during the catalytic cycle, accepting and transferring acyl groups while regenerating NAD^+ from NADH through the associated dihydrolipoamide dehydrogenase (E3) subunit. Supplemental ALA supports the function of these critical metabolic enzymes, which are known to decline in activity with age and in Alzheimer's disease brain tissue (Gibson et al., 2005).

Beyond its cofactor role, ALA is a uniquely versatile antioxidant. Both ALA and its reduced form, dihydrolipoic acid (DHLA), are redox-active, and the ALA/DHLA couple has a reduction potential sufficient to regenerate glutathione (from GSSG to GSH), ascorbic acid (from dehydroascorbate), and alpha-tocopherol (from tocopheroxyl radical). This recycling capacity effectively amplifies the activity of the entire endogenous antioxidant network. ALA is both water-soluble and lipid-soluble, giving it access to both aqueous and membrane compartments—a property shared by few other antioxidants. The compound chelates redox-active transition metals (iron, copper) through its thiol groups, providing a mild chelation effect that reduces Fenton chemistry without the potency or risks of dedicated chelators like deferiprone. ALA also activates the Nrf2/ARE pathway, upregulating the transcription of endogenous antioxidant and phase II detoxification enzymes, producing a sustained enhancement of cellular antioxidant capacity that outlasts the compound's direct scavenging activity.

Rationale Within Phase I

ALA addresses multiple facets of Phase I mitochondrial dysfunction simultaneously. Its cofactor role in PDH and alpha-KGDH directly supports the citric acid cycle flux that generates the NADH and FADH₂ needed to drive the electron transport chain. In neurons with damaged mitochondria, where citric acid cycle enzyme activities decline due to oxidative modification, supplemental ALA can help maintain metabolic flux by ensuring that the cofactor is not limiting. Its antioxidant recycling activity addresses the depletion of glutathione and other endogenous antioxidants that accompanies chronic oxidative stress, and its mild iron chelation reduces the Fenton chemistry that amplifies radical damage in iron-rich brainstem nuclei.

Within the Spectrum of Collapse framework, ALA functions as a metabolic integrator that connects the bioenergetic and antioxidant arms of Phase I defense. By supporting PDH and alpha-KGDH function, ALA ensures that carbon flux through the citric acid cycle is maintained, preserving both ATP production and the NADPH generation needed for glutathione reduction and thioredoxin recycling. By regenerating glutathione, ascorbate, and tocopherol, ALA amplifies the cell's antioxidant capacity without adding a new antioxidant species—instead making the existing antioxidant network more efficient. This integrative mechanism makes ALA a valuable background supplement for Phase I, complementing the more targeted actions of NAD⁺ precursors, PARP inhibitors, and mitophagy enhancers.

Clinical Trial History

ALA has been used clinically for decades, particularly in Germany, where it is an approved pharmaceutical for the treatment of diabetic peripheral neuropathy. The ALADIN trials (Alpha-Lipoic Acid in Diabetic Neuropathy; Ziegler et al., 1995, 1999, 2006) established that intravenous ALA (600 mg/day) significantly improves neuropathic symptoms and nerve conduction velocity in diabetic neuropathy. The NATHAN I trial (Ziegler et al., 2011), a 4-year randomized controlled trial of oral ALA (600 mg/day) in 460 patients with mild-to-moderate diabetic neuropathy, demonstrated improvement in neuropathic impairment scores compared to placebo. These large, well-designed trials establish ALA's neuroprotective efficacy in a peripheral nervous system context.

For central neurodegeneration, the evidence is more limited but suggestive. Hager et al. (2001, 2007) conducted open-label studies of ALA (600 mg/day) in

patients with Alzheimer's disease, finding stabilization of cognitive scores over 12–48 months in patients who would normally be expected to decline. Shinto et al. (2014) randomized 39 patients with mild-to-moderate Alzheimer's disease to ALA plus omega-3 fatty acids, omega-3 alone, or placebo for 12 months, finding that the ALA plus omega-3 combination slowed functional and cognitive decline compared to placebo. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of ALA in multiple sclerosis (Spain et al., 2017) demonstrated a 68 percent reduction in annualized brain atrophy rate over 2 years at a dose of 1,200 mg/day—one of the most striking neuroprotective results seen with any supplement.

Current Status & Limitations

ALA is available as a dietary supplement worldwide and as an approved pharmaceutical in Germany and several other European countries. The racemic form (R,S-ALA) is most commonly sold, though the R-enantiomer (R-ALA) is the biologically active form and is also available at higher cost. Doses of 600–1,200 mg/day are well tolerated, with gastrointestinal upset and rare hypoglycemia (in diabetic patients on glucose-lowering medication) as the primary side effects. Cost is low (\$10–30/month). The primary limitations are the modest bioavailability of oral ALA (approximately 30 percent, with significant first-pass metabolism), the short plasma half-life (approximately 30 minutes), and the limited data on brain ALA levels after oral supplementation.

For Phase I of the Spectrum of Collapse, ALA is best viewed as a supportive agent that enhances the overall antioxidant and metabolic environment rather than targeting a single molecular pathway. Its broad mechanism of action—cofactor support, antioxidant recycling, mild chelation, Nrf2 activation—makes it a versatile addition to a Phase I supplement stack but unlikely to be sufficient as a standalone neuroprotective strategy. The multiple sclerosis brain atrophy data (Spain et al., 2017) provide the strongest clinical evidence for ALA's CNS neuroprotective effects and justify further investigation in early Alzheimer's neurodegeneration.

7. Sulforaphane (SFN)

Mechanism of Action

Sulforaphane is an isothiocyanate compound produced from glucoraphanin, a glucosinolate abundant in cruciferous vegetables, particularly broccoli sprouts. The conversion is catalyzed by the enzyme myrosinase, which is released when plant cells are damaged (e.g., by chewing or chopping) and by bacterial thioglucosidases in the gut microbiome. Sulforaphane is the most potent naturally occurring inducer of the Nrf2 (nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2) transcriptional pathway, which controls the expression of over 200 cytoprotective genes involved in antioxidant defense, detoxification, anti-inflammatory signaling, and protein quality control. Under basal conditions, Nrf2 is sequestered in the cytoplasm by its inhibitor Keap1 (Kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1), which targets Nrf2 for proteasomal degradation through Cul3-dependent ubiquitination. Sulforaphane modifies critical cysteine residues on Keap1 (primarily Cys151, Cys273, and Cys288), disrupting the Keap1-Nrf2 interaction and allowing Nrf2 to translocate to the nucleus, where it binds to Antioxidant Response Elements (AREs) in the promoters of target genes.

The Nrf2-driven gene expression program induced by sulforaphane is remarkably comprehensive. It includes upregulation of glutathione synthesis enzymes (glutamate-cysteine ligase, glutathione synthetase), phase II detoxification enzymes (glutathione S-transferases, NAD(P)H:quinone oxidoreductase 1), heme oxygenase-1 (HO-1, which generates the antioxidant bilirubin and the anti-inflammatory carbon monoxide), thioredoxin and thioredoxin reductase, sulfiredoxin, and multiple proteasome subunits. Additionally, sulforaphane has been shown to inhibit NF- κ B-mediated inflammatory signaling, suppress NLRP3 inflammasome activation, and enhance autophagy through an AMPK-dependent mechanism independent of Nrf2. This multi-pathway activation profile makes sulforaphane one of the most broadly protective natural compounds identified, with effects spanning antioxidant defense, anti-inflammation, protein quality control, and metabolic regulation.

Rationale Within Phase I

Sulforaphane's rationale in Phase I centers on its ability to upregulate the entire endogenous antioxidant defense system through Nrf2 activation. Unlike direct antioxidant scavengers (edaravone, astaxanthin) that are consumed stoichiometrically, sulforaphane induces the transcription of antioxidant enzymes that can catalytically detoxify reactive oxygen species thousands of times before being degraded. This catalytic amplification provides a far more efficient antioxidant strategy than scavenging, and the effects of a single dose of sulforaphane persist for 48–72 hours (the half-life of the induced proteins), allowing for intermittent dosing. In the context of Phase I, where chronic oxidative stress in brainstem neurons drives the PARP-1/NAD⁺ depletion cycle, Nrf2-mediated upregulation of glutathione synthesis, HO-1 expression, and NQO1 activity could significantly reduce the rate of oxidative DNA damage and slow the progression of the bioenergetic crisis.

Sulforaphane also addresses the autophagy impairment that characterizes Phase I mitochondrial quality control failure. Through AMPK activation and mTORC1 suppression, sulforaphane enhances both general autophagy and selective mitophagy, complementing the effects of rapamycin and urolithin A. The compound's inhibition of NF-κB and the NLRP3 inflammasome provides early anti-inflammatory protection that may help prevent the premature microglial activation that bridges Phase I into Phase II. This combination of antioxidant, autophagy-enhancing, and anti-inflammatory effects makes sulforaphane one of the most multi-targeted Phase I supplements, addressing upstream causes (oxidative stress), quality control mechanisms (autophagy), and downstream amplifiers (inflammation) simultaneously.

Clinical Trial History

Sulforaphane has been studied in over 80 clinical trials across diverse indications, including cancer prevention, autism spectrum disorder, schizophrenia, type 2 diabetes, and respiratory diseases. The most relevant neurocognitive trial is Singh et al. (2014), a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of sulforaphane-rich broccoli sprout extract in 44 young men with autism spectrum disorder, which demonstrated significant improvements in social interaction, verbal communication, and behavioral measures over 18 weeks, with reversal of improvements after discontinuation. While autism is not a neurodegenerative condition, the cognitive improvements demonstrate CNS bioactivity of oral sulforaphane. A Phase II trial of sulforaphane (Avmacol, 100 micromol/day) in patients with prodromal schizophrenia (NCT02880462) showed increases in brain glutathione measured by MRS, confirming the compound's ability to enhance CNS antioxidant capacity through oral dosing.

For neurodegenerative diseases specifically, clinical trial data are limited. A pilot study by Nouchi et al. (2020) evaluated sulforaphane supplementation (30 mg/day) in cognitively intact older adults and found improvements in processing speed. Preclinical studies are more extensive: sulforaphane has been shown to reduce amyloid-beta accumulation and tau phosphorylation in transgenic Alzheimer's mice (Kim et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2018), to protect dopaminergic neurons in MPTP and 6-OHDA Parkinson's models through Nrf2 activation (Jazwa et al., 2011), and to reduce neuroinflammation and cognitive deficits in traumatic brain injury models (Dash et al., 2009). These preclinical data provide strong support for sulforaphane's neuroprotective potential, but dedicated trials in neurodegenerative patient populations or at-risk cohorts are needed.

Current Status & Limitations

Sulforaphane is available as a dietary supplement from several manufacturers (Avmacol, BrocElite, Prostaphane), typically standardized to deliver 20–40 mg of sulforaphane per dose. Broccoli sprout extracts standardized to glucoraphanin (the precursor) with added myrosinase are also available. The compound is well tolerated with minimal adverse effects (mild gastrointestinal symptoms at high doses). Cost is moderate (\$20–40/month). The primary limitations include significant batch-to-batch variability in supplement products, instability of sulforaphane in storage (it degrades above room temperature), and interindividual variation in absorption and metabolism related to gut microbiome composition and gastric pH.

For Phase I of the Spectrum of Collapse, sulforaphane's primary value is its Nrf2-mediated upregulation of endogenous antioxidant defenses—a fundamentally different and more sustainable approach to oxidative stress management than direct scavenging. The compound is best taken in the morning on an empty stomach for optimal absorption, and the intermittent nature of Nrf2 induction (48–72 hours per dose) means that daily or every-other-day dosing may be sufficient. Future trials should use brain glutathione measured by MRS as a pharmacodynamic endpoint to confirm CNS target engagement, building on the schizophrenia trial data that have already demonstrated this effect.

8. Spermidine

Mechanism of Action

Spermidine is a naturally occurring polyamine found in all living cells, where it plays essential roles in cell growth, DNA stabilization, protein synthesis, and autophagy regulation. The compound is synthesized endogenously from putrescine by spermidine synthase and can also be obtained from dietary sources, particularly aged cheese, fermented soybeans (natto), mushrooms, legumes, and whole grains. Spermidine's neuroprotective mechanism of action centers on its potent induction of autophagy through inhibition of the acetyltransferase EP300 (p300), which acetylates and inactivates key autophagy proteins including Atg5, Atg7, Atg12, and Beclin-1. By inhibiting EP300, spermidine maintains these autophagy proteins in their deacetylated (active) state, promoting autophagosome formation and autophagic flux. This mechanism is distinct from rapamycin's mTOR-dependent autophagy induction, and the two pathways converge on the same downstream machinery, making spermidine and rapamycin potentially synergistic.

Beyond autophagy, spermidine has several additional neuroprotective mechanisms. The compound is essential for the post-translational modification of eIF5A (eukaryotic translation initiation factor 5A) through hypusination—a unique modification in which the aminobutyl group of spermidine is transferred to a specific lysine residue of eIF5A by deoxyhypusine synthase. Hypusinated eIF5A is required for the translation of a subset of mRNAs encoding proteins with polyproline motifs, including mitochondrial proteins and autophagy-related factors (Schroeder et al., 2021). Spermidine also exerts anti-inflammatory effects by suppressing the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL-1-beta, IL-6, TNF-alpha) through inhibition of NF-kB nuclear translocation. Epidemiological studies have consistently associated higher dietary spermidine intake with reduced cardiovascular mortality, reduced cancer incidence, and longer lifespan in human cohorts (Eisenberg et al., 2016; Kiechl et al., 2018).

Rationale Within Phase I

Spermidine's Phase I rationale centers on its ability to maintain autophagy and mitophagy capacity during the decades of the Silent Brainstem Erosion. As endogenous polyamine levels decline with age—spermidine levels in human blood decrease by approximately 30–50 percent between ages 20 and 60 (Minois et al., 2011)—the autophagic machinery becomes progressively less efficient, contributing to the accumulation of damaged mitochondria that characterizes Phase I. Spermidine supplementation could counteract this age-related decline, maintaining autophagy at youthful levels throughout the Phase I window. The compound's mechanism (EP300 inhibition) is complementary to rapamycin's (mTOR inhibition) and urolithin A's (direct PINK1/Parkin activation), providing a third independent pathway for autophagy enhancement.

The hypusination-dependent mechanism of spermidine adds a unique dimension: by ensuring the efficient translation of mitochondrial proteins through eIF5A, spermidine supports mitochondrial biogenesis and maintenance at the translational level. This connects spermidine's mechanism to the ISR pathway targeted by ISRIB—while ISRIB restores global translation by overcoming eIF2-alpha phosphorylation, spermidine specifically supports the translation of mitochondrial and autophagy-related mRNAs through eIF5A. Together, these agents could maintain the translational capacity of stressed neurons across both global and subset-specific protein synthesis pathways. Spermidine's anti-inflammatory effects provide additional Phase I value by helping to prevent the premature microglial activation that marks the transition to Phase II.

Clinical Trial History

The SmartAge trial (Wirth et al., 2018, 2019) is the most relevant clinical study for neurodegenerative applications. This randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial enrolled 100 older adults (ages 60–90) with subjective cognitive decline and randomized them to spermidine-rich wheat germ extract (1.2 mg spermidine/day) or placebo for 3 months. The study found that spermidine supplementation was associated with improved memory performance (mnemonic discrimination ability) and was safe with no adverse effects. A 12-month extension of the SmartAge trial confirmed the safety of long-term supplementation and showed trends toward sustained cognitive benefit, though the study was not powered for definitive efficacy conclusions.

Epidemiological evidence strongly supports spermidine's neuroprotective potential. The Bruneck cohort study (Kiechl et al., 2018) followed 829 participants for 20 years and found that those in the highest tertile of dietary spermidine intake had significantly lower all-cause mortality and lower incidence of cardiovascular disease compared to those in the lowest tertile. The FINGER study ancillary analysis found associations between higher polyamine intake and better cognitive outcomes. A population-based study by Schwarz et al. (2020) found that higher dietary spermidine intake was associated with lower hippocampal volume loss over 5 years in cognitively healthy older adults, suggesting a structure-specific neuroprotective effect. These observational data, combined with the SmartAge trial results, position spermidine as one of the better-supported dietary compounds for cognitive preservation.

Current Status & Limitations

Spermidine is available as a dietary supplement, typically derived from wheat germ extract. The doses used in clinical trials (1–6 mg/day of spermidine) are achievable through both supplementation and dietary modification (a diet rich in aged cheese, natto, legumes, and mushrooms can provide 10–15 mg/day). The compound is well tolerated with no reported adverse effects at supplemental doses. Cost is low to moderate (\$15–40/month). The primary limitation is the low dose delivered by most supplements compared to the dietary intakes associated with health benefits in epidemiological studies, raising questions about whether supplemental spermidine achieves pharmacologically relevant tissue levels.

For Phase I of the Spectrum of Collapse, spermidine's value lies in its autophagy-enhancing and anti-aging properties, supported by strong epidemiological associations and emerging clinical trial evidence. The SmartAge trial provides a methodological template for larger trials in at-risk populations, and the 12-month safety data support chronic use. Future trials should examine higher doses (3–6 mg/day of pure spermidine), measure autophagy biomarkers (LC3-II/LC3-I ratio, p62 levels in blood mononuclear cells) as pharmacodynamic endpoints, and consider combination with rapamycin or urolithin A to test the hypothesis that multi-pathway autophagy enhancement provides greater neuroprotection than single-agent approaches.

9. Creatine (Creatine Monohydrate)

Mechanism of Action

Creatine is a naturally occurring nitrogenous organic acid synthesized endogenously from the amino acids arginine, glycine, and methionine, primarily in the liver and kidneys. In cells, creatine is phosphorylated by creatine kinase to form phosphocreatine (PCr), which serves as a rapidly mobilizable energy buffer that can regenerate ATP from ADP within milliseconds—far faster than oxidative phosphorylation or glycolysis. The creatine kinase system is particularly important in tissues with high and fluctuating energy demands, including skeletal muscle, cardiac muscle, and the brain. The brain, despite constituting only 2 percent of body mass, consumes approximately 20 percent of the body's ATP and expresses high levels of both mitochondrial and cytoplasmic creatine kinase isoforms. The phosphocreatine/creatine (PCr/Cr) ratio in brain tissue serves as a local energy buffer that maintains ATP levels during transient increases in energy demand, such as during synaptic transmission, action potential propagation, and the restoration of ionic gradients following neuronal firing.

Beyond its bioenergetic buffer role, creatine has been shown to exhibit direct neuroprotective properties that are independent of its phosphocreatine function. These include stabilization of mitochondrial membrane potential (by maintaining the creatine kinase octamer at the mitochondrial contact site, which regulates the mitochondrial permeability transition pore), reduction of oxidative stress (through a mechanism that may involve direct radical scavenging by the guanidinium group), and anti-excitotoxic effects (by maintaining ionic homeostasis through enhanced Na^+/K^+ -ATPase activity, which is ATP-dependent). Additionally, creatine supplementation has been shown to increase brain PCr content in both healthy volunteers and neurological patients, as measured by ^{31}P MRS, confirming that oral supplementation can augment the cerebral energy buffer (Dechent et al., 1999; Turner et al., 2015).

Rationale Within Phase I

Creatine's rationale in Phase I is as a bioenergetic buffer that maintains neuronal ATP levels as mitochondrial function progressively declines. During Phase I, the gradual impairment of oxidative phosphorylation in brainstem neurons reduces the maximal rate of ATP production, while the energetic demands of these continuously firing neurons remain constant or increase (as compensatory mechanisms attempt to maintain neurotransmitter output from a shrinking neuronal population). The phosphocreatine system provides a temporal buffer between ATP demand and mitochondrial supply: a larger PCr pool, achieved through creatine supplementation, extends the time that a neuron can maintain normal function during transient mismatches between supply and demand. This buffering may be the difference between reversible metabolic stress and irreversible bioenergetic catastrophe in vulnerable neurons.

The creatine kinase system also plays a specific role at the mitochondrial permeability transition pore (mPTP), where the mitochondrial creatine kinase (MtCK) octamer forms a structural bridge between the inner and outer mitochondrial membranes. When MtCK is active (i.e., when creatine substrate is available), it stabilizes the contact site and reduces the probability of mPTP opening—a catastrophic event that dissipates the mitochondrial membrane potential, releases cytochrome c, and triggers apoptosis. By maintaining high creatine levels, supplementation supports MtCK activity and mPTP stability, providing a direct mechanism for mitochondrial membrane stabilization during Phase I. This mechanism is complementary to rasagiline's mPTP-modulating effects and could be combined in a Phase I strategy that addresses mPTP stability through both pharmacological and metabolic approaches.

Clinical Trial History

Creatine has been studied in several neurodegenerative disease trials. The largest was the NET-PD LS-1 trial (Writing Group for the NINDS Exploratory Trials in Parkinson Disease Investigators, 2015), which randomized 1,741 early Parkinson's disease patients to creatine (10 g/day) or placebo for a minimum of 5 years. The trial was terminated for futility, finding no difference between creatine and placebo on the primary endpoint (time to disability requiring symptomatic treatment). The HD-CREST trial (Hersch et al., 2017) tested creatine (up to 40 g/day) in 553 pre-manifest and early Huntington's disease patients and was also stopped for futility. These negative results have been interpreted as evidence against creatine in neurodegeneration, but the Spectrum of Collapse framework notes that both trials enrolled patients whose primary pathology was beyond Phase I.

Positive signals have emerged from smaller and shorter studies. A Phase II trial in Parkinson's disease (NINDS NET-PD FS-1, 2006) found trends toward reduced UPDRS scores with creatine supplementation. Creatine has demonstrated neuroprotective effects in preclinical models of MPTP toxicity (Matthews et al., 1999), malonate-induced striatal lesions, 3-NP toxicity, and traumatic brain injury. McMorris et al. (2007) demonstrated that creatine supplementation (8 g/day for 5 days) improved cognitive performance under conditions of sleep deprivation and mental fatigue in healthy adults, consistent with enhanced cerebral bioenergetic reserves. A systematic review by Avgerinos et al. (2018) concluded that creatine supplementation consistently improves short-term memory and reasoning in healthy individuals, particularly under conditions of metabolic stress (sleep deprivation, cognitive fatigue).

Current Status & Limitations

Creatine monohydrate is one of the most extensively studied and safest dietary supplements available. It is inexpensive (\$5–15/month at doses of 3–5 g/day), widely available, and has been used by millions of athletes for decades with an excellent safety profile. The International Society of Sports Nutrition (2017) position statement concludes that creatine monohydrate is the most effective ergogenic nutritional supplement available and is safe for long-term use. Concerns about renal toxicity have been thoroughly investigated and dismissed in individuals with normal kidney function. The primary limitations for Phase I deployment are the negative large-scale neurodegenerative trials and the uncertainty about the dose needed for optimal brain loading (sports doses of 3–5 g/day may be insufficient for maximal CNS benefit).

For Phase I of the Spectrum of Collapse, creatine functions as a metabolic buffer that extends neuronal resilience during progressive mitochondrial decline. Its role is defensive and supportive rather than mechanistically targeted: it does not address the causes of mitochondrial dysfunction but extends the functional lifespan of neurons experiencing bioenergetic stress. At \$5–15/month with effectively zero risk, creatine represents one of the highest value-to-cost supplements in the Phase I toolkit. Doses of 3–5 g/day are sufficient for brain loading based on MRS studies, and loading protocols (20 g/day for 5–7 days followed by maintenance) can accelerate brain PCr accumulation. Future trials should test creatine in combination with NAD⁺ precursors and mitophagy enhancers in the Phase I prevention population.

10. Astaxanthin

Mechanism of Action

Astaxanthin is a keto-carotenoid pigment produced primarily by the microalga *Haematococcus pluvialis* and concentrated in the food chain through organisms that consume this alga, giving salmon, shrimp, and flamingos their characteristic pink coloration. Astaxanthin is one of the most potent lipophilic antioxidants identified, with in vitro singlet oxygen quenching activity approximately 6,000 times greater than vitamin C, 800 times greater than CoQ₁₀, and 550 times greater than vitamin E (Nishida et al., 2007). This extraordinary antioxidant potency derives from its extended conjugated polyene chain (13 conjugated double bonds), which efficiently delocalizes unpaired electrons from free radicals, and from its polar end groups (hydroxyl and keto groups), which anchor the molecule across cell membranes in a transmembrane orientation that provides protection to both the lipid interior and the aqueous interface of the membrane.

The transmembrane orientation of astaxanthin is pharmacologically significant. Unlike most lipophilic antioxidants (e.g., vitamin E), which reside exclusively within the hydrophobic core of the membrane, astaxanthin spans the entire membrane bilayer, with its polar end groups protruding into the aqueous phase on both sides. This orientation allows it to scavenge radicals generated in the aqueous phase (such as hydroxyl radicals from Fenton chemistry) as well as radicals propagating within the lipid phase (lipid peroxy and alkoxy radicals). Furthermore, unlike beta-carotene and lycopene, astaxanthin does not exhibit pro-oxidant activity at high concentrations or under high oxygen tension—a critical safety advantage for long-term supplementation. Beyond its antioxidant activity, astaxanthin inhibits NF-κB-mediated inflammatory signaling, suppresses the production of inflammatory prostaglandins and leukotrienes through 5-LOX and COX-2 inhibition, and has been shown to cross the blood-brain barrier and accumulate in brain tissue in animal models (Manabe et al., 2018).

Rationale Within Phase I

Astaxanthin's Phase I rationale rests on its role as a membrane-specific antioxidant that protects mitochondrial and cellular membranes from lipid peroxidation—a critical mechanism of damage in Phase I that is not adequately addressed by water-soluble antioxidants. Mitochondrial membranes are particularly vulnerable to lipid peroxidation because they are enriched in cardiolipin, a phospholipid with four unsaturated fatty acid chains that is essential for the structural organization and function of the electron transport chain. When cardiolipin is peroxidized, the affected mitochondrion loses its ability to maintain the electrochemical gradient needed for ATP synthesis, and cytochrome c (which is anchored to the inner membrane by cardiolipin) is released into the cytoplasm, triggering apoptosis. Astaxanthin's transmembrane orientation positions it ideally to protect cardiolipin from peroxidation, preserving both electron transport chain function and the cardiolipin-cytochrome c interaction.

Within the Spectrum of Collapse framework, astaxanthin complements the water-phase antioxidant activity of edaravone and the antioxidant enzyme induction by sulforaphane, creating a three-layered antioxidant defense: enzymatic catalytic defense (sulforaphane/Nrf2), aqueous-phase scavenging (edaravone), and lipid-phase membrane protection (astaxanthin). This layered approach mirrors the multi-compartment nature of oxidative damage in Phase I neurons, where radicals are generated in the mitochondrial matrix (by the electron transport chain), converted to more damaging species in the aqueous cytoplasm (by Fenton chemistry with iron), and propagated through membrane lipids (by lipid peroxidation chain reactions). No single antioxidant strategy can address all three compartments, but the combination of sulforaphane, edaravone, and astaxanthin provides comprehensive coverage.

Clinical Trial History

Astaxanthin has been studied in numerous clinical trials, primarily for cardiometabolic and dermatological endpoints. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial by Katagiri et al. (2012) demonstrated that astaxanthin (12 mg/day for 12 weeks) significantly reduced oxidative stress markers (phospholipid hydroperoxides, isoprostanes) and improved LDL oxidation resistance in overweight subjects. Choi et al. (2011) found that astaxanthin (4 mg/day for 4 weeks) reduced DNA damage markers (serum 8-OHdG) and enhanced immune function in healthy females. The 8-OHdG reduction is directly relevant to Phase I, as this lesion is the primary trigger for PARP-1 activation in oxidatively stressed neurons.

For cognitive endpoints, Hayashi et al. (2018) conducted a randomized, placebo-controlled trial of astaxanthin (6 or 12 mg/day for 12 weeks) in 96 middle-aged and older adults with subjective memory complaints, finding significant improvements in composite memory scores and psychomotor speed in the 12 mg group. Ito et al. (2018) reported that astaxanthin (12 mg/day for 12 weeks) improved cognitive flexibility (CogHealth battery) in healthy older adults. A functional MRI study by Imai et al. (2018) found that astaxanthin supplementation increased cerebral blood flow and neural activation during cognitive tasks. No large trials of astaxanthin specifically for neurodegenerative disease prevention have been conducted, but the combination of oxidative biomarker reduction, cognitive improvement in at-risk populations, and CNS bioavailability provides a foundation for Phase I prevention trials.

Current Status & Limitations

Astaxanthin is available as a dietary supplement, typically derived from *Haematococcus pluvialis* microalgae. Doses of 4–12 mg/day are well tolerated with no reported serious adverse effects. The compound has received FDA GRAS designation and is approved as a food colorant and dietary supplement in most countries. Cost is moderate (\$15–40/month). The primary limitation is the uncertainty about brain bioavailability in humans: while animal studies demonstrate blood-brain barrier crossing and brain accumulation, the degree of human brain uptake at typical supplement doses has not been directly measured. The compound's high lipophilicity requires co-administration with dietary fat for optimal absorption.

For Phase I of the Spectrum of Collapse, astaxanthin provides membrane-specific antioxidant protection that complements the mechanisms of other Phase I supplements. Its ability to protect cardiolipin in mitochondrial membranes, reduce DNA oxidation markers (8-OHdG), and improve cognitive function in aging populations aligns precisely with the Phase I therapeutic goals. At 12 mg/day, astaxanthin is affordable, safe, and supported by a growing body of clinical evidence for cognitive benefit. Future trials should specifically measure mitochondrial function biomarkers (cardiolipin oxidation products, mitochondrial membrane potential in peripheral blood mononuclear cells) and brain imaging endpoints to establish astaxanthin's mechanism of cognitive protection in humans.

II

Phase II Pharmaceutical Interventions

The Hippocampal Bridgehead: Targeting Tau, Ferroptosis, and Microglial Transition

Phase II of the Spectrum of Collapse framework encompasses the period from approximately age fifty to sixty-five, during which the neurodegenerative process breaches the hippocampal formation and establishes what we term the hippocampal bridgehead. The pathological hallmarks of this phase include the emergence of PANTHOS structures, wherein amyloid-beta accumulates within failed autolysosomes of CA1 pyramidal neurons and entorhinal cortex layer II stellate cells, the progressive phosphorylation and mislocalization of tau protein, ferroptotic death of oligodendrocytes bearing the highest iron burden in the central nervous system, and the phenotypic transition of microglia from homeostatic surveillance states to activated, post-homeostatic configurations. Cholinergic trophic withdrawal from the nucleus basalis of Meynert begins to compromise hippocampal circuit integrity, while somatostatin-positive interneurons demonstrate selective vulnerability. The pharmaceutical agents reviewed in this chapter target these specific Phase II mechanisms, and their clinical development histories illuminate both the promise and the profound difficulty of intervening at this stage of collapse.

2.1 Lecanemab (Leqembi)

Mechanism of Action

Lecanemab is a humanized IgG1 monoclonal antibody that selectively binds to soluble amyloid-beta protofibrils, the large aggregated species increasingly recognized as the most synaptotoxic form of A-beta in the extracellular space. Derived from the murine antibody mAb158, lecanemab was engineered through a collaboration between BioArctic and Eisai to exhibit approximately one-thousand-fold greater selectivity for protofibrils compared to monomeric amyloid-beta. The antibody engages Fc-gamma receptor-mediated microglial phagocytosis to clear bound protofibrils, while simultaneously preventing further fibril elongation and plaque seeding. This dual mechanism of clearance and aggregation inhibition distinguishes lecanemab from earlier anti-amyloid antibodies that primarily targeted deposited fibrillar plaques. The selectivity for protofibrils is particularly relevant to Phase II, where soluble oligomeric and protofibrillar species are believed to drive synaptic dysfunction at the hippocampal bridgehead before dense-core plaques dominate the pathological landscape.

At the molecular level, lecanemab recognizes a conformational epitope present on aggregated A-beta species containing between seventy-five and several hundred monomers arranged in a beta-sheet-rich protofibrillar conformation. The antibody does not efficiently bind to monomeric A-beta peptides, which are believed to serve physiological functions including antimicrobial defense and synaptic plasticity modulation. By sparing monomers while clearing toxic aggregates, lecanemab theoretically preserves the physiological signaling roles of A-beta while removing the pathological species that disrupt calcium homeostasis, impair long-term potentiation, and trigger the inflammatory cascades that accelerate microglial transition during Phase II.

Rationale Within Phase II

Within the Phase II framework, lecanemab addresses the PANTHOS phenomenon indirectly by reducing the extracellular protofibrillar pool that seeds intraneuronal amyloid accumulation. Nixon and colleagues demonstrated in 2022 that PANTHOS arises when endosomal uptake of extracellular A-beta aggregates overwhelms the autophagy-lysosomal pathway in vulnerable CA1 pyramidal neurons, leading to the formation of toxic flower-like structures of A-beta-laden autolysosomes surrounding the nucleus. By reducing the extracellular protofibrillar burden, lecanemab may diminish the substrate available for endosomal internalization, thereby slowing the formation of new PANTHOS structures. This upstream intervention is critical because once PANTHOS is established, the intraneuronal damage cascade becomes largely cell-autonomous and resistant to extracellular clearance strategies.

The Phase II rationale extends to the relationship between amyloid burden and tau propagation. The amyloid cascade hypothesis, while incomplete, correctly identifies that A-beta pathology facilitates tau phosphorylation and spread through mechanisms involving GSK-3-beta activation, calcium dysregulation, and inflammatory kinase signaling. By reducing protofibrillar A-beta during the critical Phase II window when tau pathology transitions from Braak stages III-IV, lecanemab may slow the phosphorylation and mislocalization of tau that drives neurofibrillary tangle formation. Furthermore, protofibrillar A-beta species activate microglia through TREM2-dependent and TREM2-independent pathways, and this activation contributes to the homeostatic-to-post-homeostatic microglial transition that characterizes Phase II collapse. Reducing the protofibrillar stimulus may therefore help maintain microglia in their homeostatic surveillance state.

Clinical Trial History

The pivotal clinical evidence for lecanemab derives from the Clarity AD trial, a global Phase III, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study enrolling 1,795 participants with early Alzheimer's disease confirmed by amyloid PET or cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers. Participants received lecanemab 10 mg/kg intravenously every two weeks or placebo for eighteen months. The primary endpoint, change from baseline on the Clinical Dementia Rating Sum of Boxes (CDR-SB), showed a statistically significant reduction of 27 percent in clinical decline compared to placebo, with a treatment difference of -0.45 points ($p = 0.00005$). Secondary endpoints including ADAS-Cog14, ADCOMS, and ADCS-MCI-ADL all demonstrated consistent benefits. Amyloid PET imaging showed robust plaque clearance, with 68 percent of treated participants achieving amyloid-negative status by 18 months.

The earlier Phase IIb study (Study 201, also known as BAN2401-G000-201) enrolled 856 participants across multiple dose regimens and provided the initial signal of efficacy that justified the Phase III program. This Bayesian adaptive design trial was complicated by a protocol amendment from the FDA regarding the treatment of APOE4 carriers, and an interim futility analysis at twelve months was widely misinterpreted as a negative result. However, the full eighteen-month dataset revealed dose-dependent reductions in brain amyloid burden and clinical decline, particularly at the highest dose of 10 mg/kg biweekly. The AHEAD 3-45 prevention trial is now evaluating lecanemab in cognitively unimpaired individuals with elevated or intermediate amyloid levels, testing whether earlier intervention during the late Phase I to early Phase II transition could yield greater clinical benefit.

Current Status and Limitations

Lecanemab received accelerated approval from the FDA in January 2023 under the brand name Leqembi, followed by traditional approval in July 2023 based on the Clarity AD confirmatory data. The most significant safety concern is amyloid-related imaging abnormalities (ARIA), occurring as ARIA-E (edema or effusion) in 12.6 percent and ARIA-H (microhemorrhage) in 17.3 percent of treated participants. Three deaths in the Clarity AD trial and its extension were associated with ARIA complications, including cases involving concurrent anticoagulant use. APOE4 homozygotes face substantially elevated ARIA risk, raising questions about the benefit-risk profile in this genetically defined subpopulation that represents approximately 2-3 percent of the general population but 15-20 percent of Alzheimer's disease patients.

From the Spectrum of Collapse perspective, lecanemab's principal limitation is that it addresses only one component of the Phase II pathological cascade. While protofibrillar A-beta clearance may slow PANTHOS formation and reduce inflammatory microglial activation, the drug does not directly address tau phosphorylation, ferroptotic oligodendrocyte death, cholinergic trophic withdrawal, or SST-positive interneuron vulnerability. The 27 percent slowing of decline, while statistically significant, leaves 73 percent of disease progression unaddressed, consistent with the framework's prediction that multi-mechanistic interventions will be required to substantially alter the Phase II trajectory. The requirement for biweekly intravenous infusions and regular MRI monitoring for ARIA further limits accessibility and scalability.

2.2 Donanemab (Kisunla)

Mechanism of Action

Donanemab is a humanized IgG1 monoclonal antibody developed by Eli Lilly that targets a modified form of amyloid-beta known as pyroglutamate A-beta (A-beta-pE3), a post-translationally modified species found predominantly in established amyloid plaques. The pyroglutamate modification at position three of the A-beta peptide is catalyzed by the enzyme glutaminyl cyclase and confers enhanced aggregation propensity, resistance to proteolytic degradation, and increased neurotoxicity compared to unmodified A-beta. Donanemab binds this N-terminally truncated and modified epitope with high affinity, promoting Fc-receptor-mediated microglial phagocytosis of deposited plaques. This plaque-centric targeting strategy contrasts with lecanemab's protofibril selectivity and results in rapid, robust clearance of established amyloid deposits.

The specificity of donanemab for pyroglutamate-modified A-beta means that the antibody preferentially targets mature, deposited plaques rather than soluble species. Pyroglutamate A-beta constitutes a substantial proportion of A-beta within dense-core plaques but is less abundant in the soluble protofibrillar pool. This targeting profile enables donanemab to achieve dramatic reductions in amyloid PET signal, as the deposited plaques that dominate PET measurements are precisely the species the antibody engages. The mechanism also involves disruption of the plaque microenvironment, which serves as a reservoir for soluble toxic species and a nidus for dystrophic neurite formation and local inflammatory activation of peri-plaque microglia.

Rationale Within Phase II

In the Phase II framework, donanemab targets the deposited amyloid plaques that accumulate in the hippocampal formation and entorhinal cortex as the bridgehead expands. While the Spectrum of Collapse model emphasizes that amyloid deposition is downstream of earlier brainstem and locus coeruleus pathology, the presence of plaques in the hippocampal bridgehead contributes to local pathology through multiple mechanisms: disruption of axonal transport in peri-plaque dystrophic neurites, activation of peri-plaque microglia into disease-associated states, and generation of soluble A-beta species from plaque reservoirs that seed PANTHOS in nearby neurons. Donanemab's aggressive plaque clearance may therefore reduce these downstream consequences during the critical Phase II window.

The pyroglutamate modification that donanemab targets has particular significance within Phase II because glutaminyl cyclase activity increases with neuroinflammation, creating a feed-forward loop between microglial activation and the generation of more toxic, aggregation-prone A-beta species. By clearing pyroglutamate-enriched plaques, donanemab may disrupt this inflammatory amplification cycle. Furthermore, the approach of treating to amyloid clearance and then discontinuing therapy, which Lilly has pioneered based on the TRAILBLAZER data, aligns with the Phase II concept of intervening during a defined temporal window before downstream tau and neuroinflammatory cascades become self-sustaining and amyloid-independent.

Clinical Trial History

The TRAILBLAZER-ALZ 2 trial was a Phase III, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study enrolling 1,736 participants with early symptomatic Alzheimer's disease stratified by baseline tau burden into low/medium and high tau subgroups. Participants received donanemab 700 mg (first three doses) then 1,400 mg intravenously every four weeks, with a unique dose-completion protocol whereby participants who achieved amyloid clearance (defined as less than 24.1 Centiloids on amyloid PET) were switched to placebo. The primary endpoint in the low/medium tau population showed a 35 percent slowing of decline on the integrated Alzheimer's Disease Rating Scale (iADRS) compared to placebo. In the combined population, the slowing was 22 percent on iADRS and 29 percent on CDR-SB.

The tau stratification in TRAILBLAZER-ALZ 2 provided critical insights for the Spectrum of Collapse framework. Participants with low/medium tau pathology, corresponding to earlier Phase II when tau has not yet propagated extensively beyond the medial temporal lobe, derived substantially greater benefit than those with high tau burden. This finding is consistent with the Phase II prediction that amyloid clearance will be most effective before tau pathology becomes self-propagating and amyloid-independent. The high tau subgroup, likely representing late Phase II or early Phase III in Spectrum of Collapse terms, showed attenuated benefit, reinforcing the concept of phase-specific therapeutic windows. Notably, 47 percent of participants achieved complete amyloid clearance by six months, and the dose-completion design demonstrated that sustained amyloid suppression after clearance was not necessary for continued clinical benefit over the trial period.

Current Status and Limitations

Donanemab received FDA approval in July 2024 under the brand name Kisunla, with the label including a recommendation for treatment discontinuation upon amyloid clearance. ARIA rates were similar to other anti-amyloid antibodies, with ARIA-E occurring in 24.0 percent and ARIA-H microhemorrhages in 31.4 percent of treated participants, rates higher than those observed with lecanemab. Three deaths in the trial were adjudicated as related to ARIA. The higher ARIA rates may reflect donanemab's more aggressive plaque clearance and the robust microglial activation required to phagocytose large established plaque deposits, particularly in regions with cerebral amyloid angiopathy.

The limitations of donanemab within the Phase II framework mirror those of lecanemab: addressing only the amyloid component of a multi-mechanistic collapse. The tau stratification data, while informative, also reveal a clinical paradox. Patients with low tau burden, who benefit most from anti-amyloid therapy, also progress most slowly on placebo and may be least likely to seek or accept treatment. Conversely, patients with high tau burden who progress rapidly derive less benefit. The dose-completion design, while innovative, requires regular amyloid PET monitoring that adds cost and complexity. From a mechanistic standpoint, donanemab's plaque-centric approach may be less effective at clearing the soluble protofibrillar species that directly drive PANTHOS formation and synaptic toxicity during Phase II.

2.3 Semorinemab

Mechanism of Action

Semorinemab is a humanized IgG4 anti-tau monoclonal antibody developed by AC Immune and Genentech/Roche that targets the N-terminal domain of all six isoforms of human tau, encompassing both phosphorylated and non-phosphorylated species. The IgG4 backbone was specifically chosen to minimize Fc-mediated effector functions, including complement activation and antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity, thereby reducing the risk of neuroinflammation that could exacerbate the microglial transition during Phase II. The antibody binds to extracellular tau released from degenerating neurons and is hypothesized to interrupt prion-like trans-synaptic tau propagation by sequestering pathological tau seeds before they can be internalized by recipient neurons. This extracellular clearance mechanism does not require the antibody to cross the blood-brain barrier in large quantities, as the relevant tau species are accessible in the interstitial fluid and perivascular drainage pathways.

The N-terminal epitope targeted by semorinemab is present on full-length tau as well as certain N-terminal fragments, but is absent from the C-terminal fragments that constitute the core of paired helical filaments within neurofibrillary tangles. This means semorinemab primarily engages soluble, extracellular tau species rather than insoluble intraneuronal aggregates. The therapeutic hypothesis is that the rate-limiting step in tau pathology propagation is the trans-synaptic transfer of misfolded tau seeds through the extracellular space, and that intercepting these seeds can slow the stereotyped Braak-stage progression of neurofibrillary pathology without needing to dissolve established intracellular tangles.

Rationale Within Phase II

Phase II of the Spectrum of Collapse is defined in part by the acceleration of tau pathology from Braak stages II-III to stages III-IV, reflecting the spread of neurofibrillary degeneration from the entorhinal cortex into the hippocampal formation proper. This propagation follows well-characterized anatomical circuits, particularly the perforant pathway connecting entorhinal cortex layer II to the dentate gyrus and CA3, and the Schaffer collateral system linking CA3 to CA1. Semorinemab's mechanism of intercepting extracellular tau seeds is therefore most relevant during this Phase II window, when active trans-synaptic propagation is driving the expansion of tau pathology into previously unaffected hippocampal subfields.

The Phase II rationale for anti-tau therapy is strengthened by the observation that tau pathology, rather than amyloid deposition, correlates most closely with neuronal loss and cognitive decline. Within the hippocampal bridgehead, tau phosphorylation disrupts microtubule stability, impairs axonal transport, and contributes to synaptic dysfunction through mechanisms including mislocalization of tau to dendritic spines where it disrupts AMPA receptor trafficking. By targeting tau propagation during Phase II, semorinemab addresses a mechanism that is arguably more proximate to clinical decline than amyloid clearance, potentially complementing anti-amyloid strategies in a combinatorial Phase II intervention approach.

Clinical Trial History

The Tauriel study was a Phase II, randomized, placebo-controlled trial enrolling 457 participants with prodromal to mild Alzheimer's disease. Participants received semorinemab at doses of 1,500 mg, 4,500 mg, or placebo intravenously every two weeks for 48 or 60 weeks. The primary endpoint was change from baseline on CDR-SB at week 49. The trial failed to meet its primary endpoint, with no significant difference between treatment groups on CDR-SB or on secondary cognitive endpoints including ADAS-Cog13. Tau PET imaging showed no significant reduction in tracer uptake, suggesting limited target engagement with tau aggregates in vivo, although the antibody is designed to target soluble extracellular tau rather than intracellular aggregates visible on PET.

The subsequent Lauriet study evaluated semorinemab in moderate Alzheimer's disease, a population with more advanced tau pathology corresponding to late Phase II or Phase III in the Spectrum of Collapse framework. Lauriet enrolled 272 participants randomized to semorinemab 4,500 mg or placebo every four weeks. In a surprising result given Tauriel's failure, Lauriet met its primary endpoint on ADAS-Cog11, showing a statistically significant 43.6 percent reduction in cognitive decline on this measure at 49 weeks ($p = 0.0008$). However, the functional endpoint ADCS-ADL showed no significant benefit. The dissociation between cognitive and functional outcomes, and the paradoxical finding of benefit in more advanced disease, remains poorly understood and has complicated the interpretation of semorinemab's therapeutic potential.

Current Status and Limitations

Following the mixed results from Tauriel and Lauriet, Roche and AC Immune have not advanced semorinemab into Phase III trials as of early 2026. The program remains in evaluation, with ongoing biomarker analyses from both trials. The fundamental challenge facing semorinemab and all anti-tau antibodies is the question of whether extracellular tau interception can meaningfully impact a pathological process that is primarily intracellular. The failure to reduce tau PET signal suggests that the antibody does not substantively alter the overall tau burden, even if it modulates extracellular tau dynamics. The IgG4 backbone, while reducing neuroinflammatory risk, also eliminates the Fc-mediated clearance mechanisms that drive efficacy for anti-amyloid antibodies.

Within the Phase II framework, semorinemab's results highlight a critical challenge: tau propagation may be driven more by intracellular mechanisms, including exosomal transfer and tunneling nanotube formation, than by free extracellular diffusion of tau seeds. If this is the case, extracellular anti-tau antibodies may be inherently limited in their ability to halt Braak-stage progression regardless of dose or target epitope. The Lauriet finding of cognitive benefit in moderate disease without functional improvement suggests a possible symptomatic or neuroprotective mechanism independent of tau propagation blockade, perhaps related to modulation of extracellular tau's effects on neuronal signaling through muscarinic receptors or other tau-binding cell surface proteins.

2.4 Sodium Selenate

Mechanism of Action

Sodium selenate is an inorganic selenium compound that acts as a specific activator of protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A), the principal serine/threonine phosphatase responsible for tau dephosphorylation in the human brain. PP2A accounts for approximately 70 percent of total tau phosphatase activity, and its activity is reduced by 20-30 percent in Alzheimer's disease brains compared to age-matched controls. Sodium selenate stabilizes the active holoenzyme complex of PP2A by promoting the binding of the regulatory B-alpha subunit (PPP2R2A) to the catalytic core, thereby enhancing the enzyme's specificity for phosphorylated tau substrates. This mechanism is distinct from non-specific phosphatase activation, as selenate selectively upregulates the tau-directed activity of PP2A without broadly altering cellular phosphorylation networks.

At the biochemical level, sodium selenate's activation of PP2A leads to dephosphorylation of tau at multiple Alzheimer's-relevant epitopes, including Ser202/Thr205 (the AT8 epitope), Thr231 (the AT180 epitope), and Ser396/Ser404 (the PHF-1 epitope). These are among the earliest and most abundant phosphorylation events in the progression from normal tau to paired helical filament tau. By restoring PP2A-mediated dephosphorylation, sodium selenate addresses a fundamental enzymatic deficit in the Alzheimer's brain rather than targeting a single protein species, making it mechanistically distinct from anti-tau antibodies. Preclinical studies in transgenic tau mouse models have demonstrated that chronic sodium selenate administration reduces tau phosphorylation, prevents neurofibrillary tangle formation, improves spatial memory, and attenuates neurodegeneration.

Rationale Within Phase II

The Phase II rationale for sodium selenate centers on the progressive increase in tau phosphorylation that characterizes the transition from Braak stages II to IV. During this period, the balance between kinase activity (primarily GSK-3-beta and CDK5) and phosphatase activity (primarily PP2A) shifts decisively toward hyperphosphorylation in vulnerable hippocampal and entorhinal neurons. This shift is driven by multiple Phase II mechanisms: A-beta-mediated activation of GSK-3-beta, calcium-dependent activation of calpain which cleaves PP2A regulatory subunits, and inflammatory cytokine signaling that upregulates the PP2A inhibitor I2PP2A (also known as SET protein). Sodium selenate directly counteracts this phosphatase deficit, restoring the enzymatic balance required to maintain tau in its soluble, microtubule-associated, physiological state.

Within the Spectrum of Collapse framework, sodium selenate's mechanism is particularly relevant because PP2A activity is essential not only for tau dephosphorylation but also for multiple cellular homeostatic processes disrupted during Phase II. PP2A regulates autophagy through dephosphorylation of ULK1 and Beclin-1, and its restoration may improve the autophagy-lysosomal function compromised by PANTHOS formation. PP2A also modulates microglial inflammatory signaling through regulation of NF-kappa-B and MAPK pathways, suggesting that selenate-mediated PP2A activation could attenuate the microglial transition from homeostatic to post-homeostatic phenotypes. This multi-pathway engagement through a single enzymatic target makes sodium selenate an unusually well-aligned Phase II intervention.

Clinical Trial History

The first clinical evaluation of sodium selenate in neurodegeneration was a Phase IIa study (VEL015-001) led by Professor Christopher Hovens and colleagues at the University of Melbourne, published in *Alzheimer's Research and Therapy* in 2020. This open-label, dose-finding study enrolled 40 participants with mild to moderate Alzheimer's disease who received sodium selenate as a supersaturated oral solution at doses of 10 mg, 15 mg, 20 mg, or 30 mg three times daily for 24 weeks. The primary endpoints were safety, tolerability, and pharmacokinetics. The study demonstrated that sodium selenate was well tolerated with a dose-dependent increase in plasma and cerebrospinal fluid selenium levels, confirming adequate CNS penetration.

Critically, the Phase IIa study provided preliminary biomarker evidence of target engagement: cerebrospinal fluid phosphorylated tau (p-tau) levels showed a dose-dependent reduction relative to total tau over the 24-week treatment period in the higher dose groups, consistent with PP2A-mediated dephosphorylation of tau in vivo. Cognitive outcomes were exploratory, and while the study was not powered to detect clinical effects, the highest dose group showed stabilization on MMSE compared to expected decline. A separate Phase I study in acute traumatic brain injury also demonstrated safety and tolerability, supporting the broader development of selenate as a tau-targeting therapeutic. A Phase IIb randomized controlled trial is currently in planning, with tau PET imaging as a key secondary endpoint to confirm target engagement.

Current Status and Limitations

Sodium selenate remains in Phase II clinical development as of 2026, with the planned Phase IIb trial expected to provide definitive evidence regarding target engagement and preliminary efficacy. The principal safety concern is selenium toxicity at higher doses, including gastrointestinal symptoms, garlic-like breath odor, and potential for selenosis with chronic exposure. The therapeutic window between PP2A-activating doses and toxic doses appears adequate based on the Phase IIa data, but long-term safety data are limited. The oral bioavailability of selenium compounds and inter-individual variation in selenium metabolism may complicate dose optimization across diverse patient populations.

From the Phase II perspective, sodium selenate's limitations include the question of whether restoring PP2A activity can reverse established tau pathology or merely slow its progression. Once tau has adopted the paired helical filament conformation and assembled into neurofibrillary tangles, it may be resistant to PP2A-mediated dephosphorylation due to conformational inaccessibility of the phosphorylation sites. The optimal therapeutic window for PP2A activation may therefore be early Phase II, before extensive tangle formation, consistent with the Spectrum of Collapse prediction that mechanism-specific interventions have phase-delimited efficacy windows. The need for a biomarker-guided patient selection strategy to identify individuals in this early Phase II window remains an unresolved challenge.

2.5 Lithium (Low-Dose)

Mechanism of Action

Lithium is a monovalent cation that has been used therapeutically for over seven decades in the treatment of bipolar disorder, and its neuroprotective properties have generated increasing interest in the Alzheimer's disease field. The primary mechanism relevant to Phase II is inhibition of glycogen synthase kinase-3-beta (GSK-3-beta), one of the principal kinases responsible for tau hyperphosphorylation at Alzheimer's-relevant epitopes. Lithium inhibits GSK-3-beta through two complementary mechanisms: direct competition with magnesium at the enzyme's catalytic site, and indirect inhibition through enhanced N-terminal serine-9 phosphorylation via Akt pathway activation. At the subtherapeutic concentrations (0.25-0.50 mEq/L) proposed for Alzheimer's prevention, lithium achieves partial GSK-3-beta inhibition sufficient to reduce pathological tau phosphorylation while preserving the kinase's essential physiological functions in glycogen metabolism and Wnt signaling.

Beyond GSK-3-beta inhibition, lithium modulates multiple cellular pathways relevant to Phase II neurodegeneration. Lithium is a potent inducer of autophagy through an mTOR-independent mechanism involving inhibition of inositol monophosphatase (IMPase) and consequent depletion of free inositol and IP₃. This autophagy induction may help clear the accumulated intraneuronal A-beta and dysfunctional autolysosomes that characterize PANTHOS. Lithium also upregulates

brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) expression, stabilizes mitochondrial membrane potential, and reduces glutamate excitotoxicity by modulating NMDA receptor function. This pleiotropic neuroprotective profile positions lithium as an unusually comprehensive Phase II intervention addressing multiple collapse mechanisms simultaneously.

Rationale Within Phase II

The Phase II rationale for low-dose lithium is anchored in the central role of GSK-3-beta dysregulation in the hippocampal bridgehead. During Phase II, A-beta-mediated activation of GSK-3-beta creates a pathological amplification loop: hyperphosphorylated tau destabilizes microtubules, impairing axonal transport of mitochondria and autophagosomes, which in turn increases oxidative stress and further activates GSK-3-beta. Low-dose lithium interrupts this loop at the kinase level while simultaneously promoting autophagy to clear the accumulated proteinopathic debris. The combination of kinase inhibition and autophagy induction is particularly relevant to PANTHOS, where failed autolysosomes laden with A-beta represent a convergence of the two pathways that lithium modulates.

Within the Spectrum of Collapse framework, lithium also addresses the cholinergic trophic withdrawal that characterizes Phase II. GSK-3-beta activation impairs NGF signaling in basal forebrain cholinergic neurons by promoting phosphorylation and degradation of the TrkA receptor, while lithium-mediated GSK-3-beta inhibition preserves TrkA stability and downstream survival signaling. The BDNF upregulation induced by lithium provides additional trophic support to vulnerable hippocampal neurons, particularly the SST-positive interneurons that are among the earliest casualties of Phase II. Epidemiological data from large lithium-treated bipolar cohorts showing reduced dementia incidence provide population-level support for this neuroprotective rationale.

Clinical Trial History

The most influential clinical studies of lithium in Alzheimer's disease were conducted by Orestes Forlenza and colleagues at the University of Sao Paulo. A pivotal randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial published in the British Journal of Psychiatry in 2011 enrolled 45 participants with amnesic mild cognitive impairment who received lithium carbonate (target serum level 0.25-0.50 mEq/L) or placebo for 12 months. Lithium-treated participants showed significant reductions in CSF phosphorylated tau concentrations ($p = 0.02$) and trends toward stabilization on cognitive measures. The ADAS-Cog scores remained stable in the lithium group while declining in the placebo group, although this difference did not reach conventional statistical significance in the small sample.

A subsequent long-term follow-up study by the same group, published in 2019, evaluated participants from the original trial who continued lithium treatment for up to four years. This extended observation revealed that lithium-treated participants had significantly lower rates of conversion from MCI to Alzheimer's dementia compared to the original placebo group, providing preliminary evidence for disease modification. A larger trial, the LATTICE study, is evaluating low-dose lithium in older adults at genetic risk for Alzheimer's disease, with amyloid and tau PET as outcomes. Additionally, a Danish registry study examining over 700,000 individuals found that long-term lithium exposure was associated with reduced dementia incidence in a dose-dependent manner, with the greatest reduction observed at serum levels consistent with low-dose therapeutic use.

Current Status and Limitations

Low-dose lithium for Alzheimer's prevention and treatment remains an active area of investigation, with several trials ongoing or in planning. The principal barrier to clinical adoption is the perception of lithium as a medication with a narrow therapeutic index and significant toxicity, although the low-dose regimens proposed for Alzheimer's prevention (0.25-0.50 mEq/L) are well below the levels associated with renal impairment, thyroid dysfunction, and neurotoxicity seen at full psychiatric doses (0.8-1.2 mEq/L). Nevertheless, even low-dose lithium requires periodic monitoring of renal function, thyroid function, and serum levels, adding clinical complexity and cost. Lithium's status as a generic medication with no patent protection has limited industry interest in funding the large Phase III trials required for regulatory approval in Alzheimer's.

From the Spectrum of Collapse perspective, lithium's pleiotropic mechanism is both its greatest strength and an analytical challenge. The drug simultaneously modulates GSK-3-beta, autophagy, BDNF, mitochondrial function, and glutamate signaling, making it difficult to attribute clinical effects to any single mechanism or to identify optimal biomarker endpoints for trial design. The low-dose regimen provides partial inhibition of multiple targets rather than complete inhibition of any single target, and whether this degree of modulation is sufficient to meaningfully alter the Phase II trajectory remains uncertain. The epidemiological signal from bipolar cohorts is encouraging but subject to confounding, as lithium-treated patients differ from untreated populations in numerous ways beyond lithium exposure.

2.6 Riluzole

Mechanism of Action

Riluzole is a benzothiazole derivative approved for the treatment of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis that modulates glutamatergic neurotransmission through multiple complementary mechanisms. The drug inhibits presynaptic glutamate release by blocking voltage-gated sodium channels on glutamatergic nerve terminals, reducing the excitatory drive that contributes to excitotoxic neuronal death. Riluzole also enhances glutamate reuptake by astrocytes through upregulation of the excitatory amino acid transporter 2 (EAAT2, also known as GLT-1), the principal glial glutamate transporter responsible for clearing synaptic glutamate. Additionally, riluzole exerts non-competitive antagonism at NMDA receptors at therapeutic concentrations, further attenuating excitotoxic calcium influx. This tripartite glutamate-modulating mechanism distinguishes riluzole from direct NMDA receptor blockers like memantine and positions it as a more nuanced modulator of excitatory synaptic function.

Beyond glutamate modulation, riluzole stimulates the synthesis of neurotrophic factors, including BDNF and nerve growth factor, through mechanisms involving activation of the heat shock factor 1 (HSF1) transcriptional pathway. Riluzole also enhances glutamate cycling through the glutamate-glutamine shuttle, preserving N-acetylaspartate (NAA) levels as a marker of neuronal metabolic integrity. The drug's ability to maintain NAA concentrations, which are reduced early in Alzheimer's disease and correlate with neuronal density and viability, provides a spectroscopic biomarker for monitoring therapeutic efficacy using magnetic resonance spectroscopy.

Rationale Within Phase II

Phase II of the Spectrum of Collapse is characterized by emerging glutamatergic dysfunction in the hippocampal formation, driven by the convergence of A-beta-mediated synaptic toxicity, tau-induced impairment of dendritic spine function, and the loss of SST-positive GABAergic interneurons that normally provide inhibitory tone to pyramidal cell networks. The loss of these inhibitory interneurons disinhibits glutamatergic circuits, creating a state of chronic low-grade excitotoxicity that accelerates neuronal damage without producing overt seizure activity. Riluzole's ability to attenuate excessive glutamate signaling while preserving physiological synaptic transmission addresses this Phase II excitotoxic component directly.

Within the hippocampal bridgehead, riluzole's preservation of NAA levels is particularly significant. NAA is synthesized in neuronal mitochondria by aspartate N-acetyltransferase and serves as a precursor for N-acetylaspartylglutamate (NAAG) and as an acetyl group donor for oligodendrocyte myelin synthesis. The reduction in NAA observed during Phase II reflects both mitochondrial dysfunction and impaired neuronal-oligodendrocyte metabolic coupling. Riluzole's ability to maintain NAA levels suggests preservation of this metabolic coupling, which may indirectly support oligodendrocyte viability and reduce the ferroptotic vulnerability of these cells during Phase II.

Clinical Trial History

A Phase II clinical trial of riluzole in Alzheimer's disease was conducted by Ana Pereira and colleagues at Rockefeller University and published in *Neurobiology of Aging* in 2021. This randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study enrolled 50 participants with mild Alzheimer's disease (CDR 0.5-1.0) who received riluzole 50 mg twice daily or placebo for six months. The primary outcome was change in hippocampal NAA/creatinine ratio measured by proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy at 3 Tesla. Riluzole-treated participants showed preserved NAA/Cr ratios compared to significant decline in the placebo group ($p = 0.04$), confirming the drug's ability to maintain neuronal metabolic integrity in the hippocampal bridgehead during Phase II.

Secondary analyses from this trial revealed additional findings relevant to the Phase II framework. Riluzole-treated participants showed trends toward preservation of hippocampal volume on structural MRI and reduced decline on

cognitive measures, although neither reached statistical significance in the small sample. Exploratory gene expression analyses from animal studies conducted in parallel demonstrated that riluzole upregulated glutamate transporter expression and modulated synaptic plasticity genes in hippocampal tissue, consistent with the proposed mechanism of enhanced glutamate cycling. A larger Phase III trial with cognitive and functional primary endpoints has not yet been initiated.

Current Status and Limitations

Riluzole remains in Phase II development for Alzheimer's disease, with no Phase III trial currently underway. The drug is available as an FDA-approved medication for ALS under the brand name Rilutek, facilitating potential off-label use but complicating regulatory incentives for Alzheimer's development. The modest sample size of the Phase II AD trial limits the strength of conclusions, and the six-month treatment duration may be insufficient to detect clinically meaningful cognitive benefits in a disease with a prolonged trajectory. Riluzole is generally well tolerated, with the principal side effects including fatigue, nausea, and elevated liver enzymes requiring periodic monitoring.

From the Phase II perspective, riluzole's limitation is that it addresses the glutamatergic component of hippocampal dysfunction without directly targeting the upstream mechanisms driving that dysfunction, including A-beta-mediated synaptic toxicity, tau accumulation, and interneuron loss. The drug may be best conceptualized as a neuroprotective adjunct that slows the rate of excitotoxic damage during Phase II while other interventions address the primary pathological drivers. The NAA preservation signal, while encouraging as a biomarker of neuronal metabolic health, requires validation in larger samples and correlation with clinical outcomes to determine whether metabolic preservation translates to meaningful disease modification.

2.7 Arimoclomol

Mechanism of Action

Arimoclomol is a hydroxylamine derivative that functions as a co-inducer of the heat shock response, amplifying the cell's endogenous protein quality control machinery rather than introducing an exogenous therapeutic mechanism. The drug prolongs the activation of heat shock factor 1 (HSF1), the master transcriptional regulator of heat shock proteins (HSPs), by stabilizing the active trimeric form of HSF1 and preventing its degradation by the proteasome. Critically, arimoclomol acts only as a co-inducer: it amplifies HSP expression only in cells that are already experiencing proteotoxic stress sufficient to trigger HSF1 activation. In healthy, unstressed cells, the drug has minimal effect on HSP levels. This conditional mechanism of action provides an inherent selectivity for diseased neurons, where the accumulation of misfolded A-beta and tau activates the heat shock response.

The heat shock proteins upregulated by arimoclomol include HSP70, HSP90, and HSP27, each of which plays a distinct role in protein quality control relevant to Alzheimer's pathology. HSP70 facilitates the refolding of misfolded tau and promotes the clearance of aggregated tau species through chaperone-mediated autophagy. HSP90 stabilizes client proteins including kinases and receptors essential for synaptic function. HSP27, a small heat shock protein, prevents the aggregation of A-beta peptides and protects against oxidative stress. By amplifying the expression of this entire chaperone network, arimoclomol enhances the cell's capacity to manage the proteinopathic burden that characterizes Phase II neurodegeneration.

Rationale Within Phase II

The Phase II rationale for arimoclomol centers on the PANTHOS phenomenon and the broader failure of the autophagy-lysosomal pathway in CA1 pyramidal neurons. PANTHOS represents a catastrophic failure of proteostatic clearance mechanisms, where autolysosomes laden with incompletely digested A-beta accumulate in perinuclear clusters, disrupting cellular architecture and eventually leading to cell death. Arimoclomol-induced upregulation of HSP70 may enhance chaperone-mediated autophagy and improve lysosomal function, potentially resolving or preventing PANTHOS formation. The drug's selectivity for stressed cells means that it would preferentially act in the CA1 and entorhinal neurons experiencing the greatest proteinopathic burden during Phase II.

Beyond PANTHOS, arimoclomol's amplification of the heat shock response addresses the broader proteostatic crisis of Phase II. The accumulation of phosphorylated tau, the generation of reactive oxygen species from ferroptotic processes, and the inflammatory mediators released by transitioning microglia all impose proteotoxic stress on vulnerable neurons. The heat shock response is the cell's primary defense against this convergent proteotoxic assault, and its age-related decline is believed to contribute to the vulnerability of Phase II neurons. By restoring robust HSP expression in stressed neurons, arimoclomol effectively rejuvenates a key cellular defense mechanism that has been compromised by aging and disease, potentially extending the functional lifespan of neurons at the hippocampal bridgehead.

Clinical Trial History

Arimoclomol was evaluated in Phase II/III clinical trials for Niemann-Pick disease type C (NPC), a lysosomal storage disorder that shares several pathological features with Alzheimer's disease including intracellular cholesterol accumulation, neurofibrillary tangle formation, and progressive neurodegeneration. The pivotal trial enrolled 50 patients with NPC who received arimoclomol 150 mg or placebo three times daily for 12 months. The study demonstrated safety and tolerability, with the drug showing trends toward stabilization on the 5-domain NPC clinical severity scale that did not reach statistical significance in the small, heterogeneous patient population. Despite failing to meet its primary endpoint, the trial provided proof of concept that HSP co-induction could improve lysosomal function in a human disease characterized by lysosomal dysfunction.

In preclinical Alzheimer's models, arimoclomol has shown more robust effects. Studies in APP/PS1 transgenic mice demonstrated that arimoclomol treatment reduced A-beta plaque burden, decreased phosphorylated tau levels, and improved performance on spatial memory tasks. These effects were associated with increased expression of HSP70 and HSP90 in hippocampal neurons and enhanced clearance of A-beta through autophagy-lysosomal pathways. A Phase II clinical trial specifically for Alzheimer's disease has not been conducted, although the mechanistic rationale and the safety data from the NPC program support its evaluation. The drug has also been tested in ALS, where it similarly failed to demonstrate efficacy in Phase III, raising questions about whether the co-induction strategy provides sufficient therapeutic amplitude in rapidly progressing neurodegenerative diseases.

Current Status and Limitations

Arimoclomol's clinical development has faced setbacks following the negative Phase III results in both NPC and ALS. Orphazyme, the Danish company developing the drug, received a Complete Response Letter from the FDA for the NPC indication in 2021 and subsequently faced financial difficulties. The Alzheimer's disease application remains preclinical, with no active clinical trials specifically targeting AD pathology as of 2026. The drug is well tolerated in clinical settings, with the most common adverse events being mild gastrointestinal symptoms and transient elevations in liver enzymes.

The principal limitation of arimoclomol within the Phase II framework is the question of therapeutic amplitude: whether amplifying an already-activated but insufficient heat shock response can meaningfully alter the trajectory of a disease characterized by overwhelming proteotoxic burden. In cells where the proteinopathic load has exceeded the capacity of even amplified chaperone systems, the drug may provide insufficient clearance to prevent PANTHOS formation or tangle accumulation. The conditional mechanism, while providing selectivity, also means the drug cannot preemptively protect cells that have not yet experienced sufficient stress to activate HSF1. This may limit its utility as a preventive intervention in early Phase II, when the proteinopathic burden is accumulating but may not yet reach the threshold for robust HSF1 activation in all vulnerable neurons.

2.8 PBT2 (Clioquinol Derivative)

Mechanism of Action

PBT2 is a second-generation metal-protein attenuating compound (MPAC) developed by Prana Biotechnology (now Alterity Therapeutics) as a successor to clioquinol (PBT1). Unlike traditional metal chelators that simply sequester metal ions, PBT2 functions as a metal chaperone or ionophore, redistributing copper and zinc ions from pathological protein-metal complexes to metal-depleted cellular compartments where these essential trace elements are needed for normal enzymatic function. PBT2 disrupts the aberrant interactions between A-beta and copper or zinc ions that promote A-beta aggregation, generate reactive oxygen species through Fenton chemistry, and stabilize toxic oligomeric conformations. By liberating these metal ions and facilitating their transport into cells, PBT2 simultaneously reduces metal-catalyzed A-beta toxicity and restores intracellular metal homeostasis.

At the cellular level, PBT2-mediated metal redistribution activates multiple neuroprotective signaling cascades. Increased intracellular zinc activates matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) that can degrade extracellular A-beta, activates the PI3K/Akt cell survival pathway, and inhibits GSK-3-beta through zinc-mediated phosphorylation. Increased intracellular copper supports the activity of copper-dependent enzymes including superoxide dismutase 1 (SOD1) and cytochrome c oxidase (Complex IV), enhancing antioxidant defense and

mitochondrial electron transport. This metal redistribution paradigm transforms the pathological metal dyshomeostasis of Alzheimer's disease into a therapeutic opportunity, addressing both the toxic gain-of-function of metal-A-beta complexes and the loss-of-function of metal-dependent cellular processes.

Rationale Within Phase II

The Phase II rationale for PBT2 centers on the convergence of metal dyshomeostasis with the primary pathological mechanisms of the hippocampal bridgehead. Iron, copper, and zinc are all dysregulated in the Alzheimer's brain, with excess iron accumulating in oligodendrocytes and myelin sheaths (contributing to ferroptosis), excess copper and zinc co-depositing with A-beta in plaques, and intracellular depletion of these metals impairing essential enzymatic functions. PBT2's ability to redistribute metals from extracellular pathological deposits to intracellular physiological compartments addresses this Phase II metal dyshomeostasis at a fundamental level. The zinc-mediated activation of MMPs is particularly relevant to the Phase II framework, as MMP activity represents the beginning of perineuronal net degradation machinery that will become critical in Phase III.

Within the Spectrum of Collapse model, PBT2 occupies a unique mechanistic position because it addresses the interface between A-beta toxicity and ferroptosis, two Phase II processes that share iron and copper as common mediators. A-beta binds iron and copper in the synaptic cleft, generating hydroxyl radicals through Fenton and Haber-Weiss reactions that initiate lipid peroxidation in nearby cell membranes. This lipid peroxidation is the proximate trigger for ferroptotic cell death in oligodendrocytes, whose membranes are enriched in polyunsaturated fatty acids and whose iron content makes them uniquely vulnerable. By disrupting metal-A-beta complexes, PBT2 may attenuate both the oxidative stress that drives ferroptosis and the metal-catalyzed A-beta aggregation that seeds PANTHOS, addressing two Phase II mechanisms through a single intervention.

Clinical Trial History

The EURO trial was a Phase IIa, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study evaluating PBT2 in patients with early Alzheimer's disease, conducted primarily across European and Australian sites. The study enrolled 78 participants with mild Alzheimer's disease who received PBT2 250 mg, PBT2 50 mg, or placebo daily for 12 weeks. The primary endpoint was safety and tolerability, with secondary endpoints including CSF A-beta42 levels, cognitive assessments, and plasma metal concentrations. PBT2 was well tolerated with no significant safety signals. The high-dose group showed a statistically significant reduction in CSF A-beta42 levels compared to placebo ($p = 0.006$), interpreted as reduced A-beta sequestration in plaques and increased clearance. On the Neuropsychological Test Battery, the high-dose group showed significant improvement on executive function measures compared to placebo.

An earlier Phase IIa trial of the first-generation compound clioquinol (PBT1) in 36 patients with moderate Alzheimer's disease, led by Colin Masters and colleagues, had shown similar promise with significant reduction in plasma A-beta42 decline and clinical stabilization in the more severely affected subgroup. However, clioquinol's development was abandoned due to manufacturing impurities and historical associations with subacute myelo-optic neuropathy in Japan. PBT2 was designed to overcome these limitations with improved safety and manufacturing profiles. Despite the encouraging Phase IIa results, a planned Phase IIb trial with longer duration and larger sample size has not been completed, and the program has been deprioritized as Alterity Therapeutics shifted focus to other indications including multiple system atrophy.

Current Status and Limitations

PBT2 development for Alzheimer's disease has been largely dormant since the completion of the EURO trial, with Alterity Therapeutics redirecting the compound toward multiple system atrophy and other synucleinopathies. The Alzheimer's indication remains in the company's pipeline but without active clinical development. The drug received Orphan Drug Designation for Huntington's disease from the FDA, reflecting the broad applicability of the metal-redistribution mechanism across neurodegenerative diseases. No significant safety concerns emerged from clinical testing, with the most common adverse effects being mild headache and gastrointestinal symptoms.

The principal limitation of PBT2 within the Phase II framework is the incomplete understanding of metal dyshomeostasis as a causal versus consequential factor in Alzheimer's neurodegeneration. While metals clearly co-deposit with A-beta and participate in oxidative stress generation, it remains debated whether metal-protein interactions represent a primary pathogenic mechanism or a secondary consequence of upstream proteostatic failure. The short duration of the EURO trial (12 weeks) was insufficient to determine whether the observed biomarker changes would translate to clinical benefit over the multi-year Phase II timeframe. Additionally, PBT2 does not address iron specifically, which is the metal most directly implicated in Phase II ferroptosis, as the compound primarily targets copper and zinc dyshomeostasis.

2.9 GV-971 (Sodium Oligomannate)

Mechanism of Action

GV-971, marketed as sodium oligomannate under the brand name Oligomannate, is a mixture of acidic linear oligosaccharides derived from marine brown algae, developed by Shanghai Green Valley Pharmaceuticals. The drug's proposed mechanism of action centers on the gut-brain axis, a bidirectional communication system between the intestinal microbiome and the central nervous system that has emerged as a significant factor in neuroinflammation and neurodegeneration. GV-971 is hypothesized to reconstitute gut microbiota dysbiosis, reducing the abundance of bacterial species that produce neurotoxic metabolites including phenylalanine and isoleucine. These amino acid metabolites, when present at elevated concentrations in the blood, promote the differentiation and infiltration of peripheral T-helper 1 (Th1) cells into the brain, where they activate microglia and drive neuroinflammation.

At the molecular level, GV-971 has also been reported to directly bind A-beta peptides and inhibit their aggregation into neurotoxic oligomers and fibrils, providing a second potential mechanism of action independent of the gut-brain axis. In vitro studies showed that GV-971 interacts with multiple sites on the A-beta peptide, disrupting both the nucleation and elongation phases of amyloid fibril formation. Additionally, preclinical studies in transgenic mouse models demonstrated that GV-971 reduced neuroinflammation as measured by microglial activation markers, decreased A-beta plaque burden, and improved spatial learning and memory. The relative contribution of gut microbiome modulation versus direct A-beta interaction to the drug's clinical effects remains a subject of scientific debate and investigation.

Rationale Within Phase II

The Phase II rationale for GV-971 focuses on its potential to modulate the microglial transition from homeostatic to post-homeostatic states through reduction of peripheral inflammatory signals. During Phase II, microglia at the hippocampal bridgehead receive pro-inflammatory signals from multiple sources: local A-beta and tau pathology, damaged myelin from ferroptotic oligodendrocyte death, and systemic inflammatory mediators crossing the blood-brain barrier. The gut-brain axis hypothesis proposes that dysbiotic gut microbiota generate a chronic peripheral inflammatory state that lowers the threshold for microglial activation, accelerating the homeostatic-to-post-homeostatic transition. By restoring gut microbial balance, GV-971 may raise this activation threshold, maintaining microglia in their neuroprotective surveillance state during the critical Phase II window.

Within the Spectrum of Collapse framework, the gut-brain axis represents an important modifiable risk factor during Phase II. Aging-associated changes in gut microbiota composition, including reduced diversity and increased abundance of pro-inflammatory species, parallel the timeline of Phase II onset. These changes increase intestinal permeability, elevate systemic inflammatory markers including TNF-alpha and IL-6, and promote the production of bacterial amyloid proteins that may cross-seed A-beta aggregation through molecular mimicry. GV-971's dual mechanism of gut microbiome restoration and direct A-beta interaction positions it uniquely at the interface of peripheral and central Phase II pathology, although the strength of the gut-brain connection in driving hippocampal neurodegeneration remains a subject of active investigation.

Clinical Trial History

GV-971 was evaluated in the GREEN MEMORY trial, a Phase III, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study conducted entirely in China, enrolling 818 participants with mild to moderate Alzheimer's disease. Participants received GV-971 450 mg (three 150 mg capsules) or placebo three times daily for 36 weeks. The primary endpoint was change from baseline on ADAS-Cog12 at 36 weeks. The trial met its primary endpoint, showing a statistically significant improvement in ADAS-Cog12 score of 2.54 points compared to placebo ($p < 0.0001$). The treatment effect was observed as early as week 4 and increased progressively through week 36, suggesting a cumulative therapeutic effect rather than a symptomatic benefit.

The GREEN MEMORY results were published in Cell Research in 2019 and led to conditional approval by China's National Medical Products Administration (NMPA) in November 2019. However, the trial generated significant scientific controversy. Concerns were raised about the unusual magnitude and rapidity of the ADAS-Cog improvement, the lack of biomarker confirmation of the proposed mechanism, the absence of a functional co-primary endpoint, and the exclusively Chinese study population limiting generalizability. A global Phase III trial (GREEN MEMORY Global) enrolling approximately 2,046 participants across North America, Europe, and Asia was initiated to address these concerns, but enrollment was disrupted by the COVID-19 pandemic and logistical challenges. The global confirmatory trial remains ongoing.

Current Status and Limitations

GV-971 is commercially available in China under conditional approval, with post-marketing studies required to confirm long-term efficacy and safety. The global Phase III trial is ongoing but has faced significant delays. No regulatory submissions have been made to the FDA or EMA. The drug is well tolerated, with the most common adverse events being mild gastrointestinal symptoms consistent with its mechanism of action on the gut microbiome. The scientific community remains divided on the plausibility and strength of the gut-brain mechanism, with some researchers viewing the microbiome hypothesis as an important paradigm shift and others regarding it as insufficiently supported by mechanistic data in human subjects.

Within the Phase II framework, GV-971's principal limitation is the uncertainty surrounding its mechanism of action. If the therapeutic effect is primarily mediated through gut microbiome modulation and reduction of peripheral inflammation, it represents an indirect approach to Phase II pathology that may provide modest benefit through attenuation of one inflammatory input to microglial activation. If the direct A-beta interaction mechanism is the primary driver, the drug faces the same challenges as other anti-amyloid strategies in addressing only one component of the Phase II cascade. The lack of biomarker data from the GREEN MEMORY trial, including amyloid PET, tau PET, or CSF biomarkers, makes it impossible to determine which mechanism, if either, accounts for the observed clinical benefit, limiting the ability to position GV-971 rationally within a Phase II therapeutic strategy.

2.10 Masitinib

Mechanism of Action

Masitinib is a selective oral tyrosine kinase inhibitor developed by AB Science that targets several kinases involved in neuroinflammation and mast cell function, including c-Kit (stem cell factor receptor), platelet-derived growth factor receptor (PDGFR), Lyn kinase, and Fyn kinase. In the context of Alzheimer's disease, masitinib's most relevant targets are the kinases that regulate microglial activation and mast cell degranulation in the central nervous system. By inhibiting c-Kit signaling on mast cells, masitinib prevents the release of pro-inflammatory mediators including histamine, tryptase, and cytokines that contribute to neuroinflammation. Through Fyn kinase inhibition, masitinib directly modulates microglial signaling, as Fyn mediates the activation of microglia downstream of A-beta stimulation and TREM2 engagement.

The pharmacological profile of masitinib extends beyond simple anti-inflammatory action. Fyn kinase phosphorylates tau at tyrosine 18, a modification that promotes tau aggregation and is found in neurofibrillary tangles but not in physiological tau. Fyn also mediates the interaction between A-beta oligomers and the NMDA receptor complex through phosphorylation of the NR2B subunit, a mechanism that drives excitotoxic calcium influx in post-synaptic neurons. By inhibiting Fyn, masitinib simultaneously reduces tau pathology, attenuates A-beta-mediated excitotoxicity, and modulates microglial activation, providing a multi-target mechanism through a single molecular interaction. Inhibition of PDGFR further contributes to anti-inflammatory effects by reducing proliferation and activation of microglia and astrocytes.

Rationale Within Phase II

Phase II of the Spectrum of Collapse is defined in part by the transition of microglia from their homeostatic surveillance state to activated, disease-associated phenotypes. This transition is not a simple binary switch but a progressive phenotypic shift driven by chronic exposure to damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) including A-beta, phosphorylated tau, and myelin debris from ferroptotic oligodendrocytes. Masitinib's ability to modulate the kinase signaling cascades that drive this transition positions it as a direct intervention against the microglial component of Phase II pathology. By maintaining microglia in a state closer to their homeostatic phenotype, masitinib may preserve their beneficial functions including synaptic pruning, debris clearance, and neurotrophic factor secretion while preventing the acquisition of neurotoxic properties.

Within the Spectrum of Collapse framework, masitinib's Fyn kinase inhibition has particular significance for the emerging perineuronal net (PNN) degradation that characterizes late Phase II. Fyn kinase signaling promotes the expression and secretion of matrix metalloproteinases, including MMP-9, by activated microglia. These MMPs constitute the enzymatic machinery that degrades the chondroitin sulfate proteoglycans of PNNs, and their upregulation during Phase II represents the assembly of the degradation apparatus that will strip PNN protection from parvalbumin-positive interneurons during Phase III. Masitinib-mediated inhibition of Fyn-driven MMP expression may therefore delay PNN degradation and extend the Phase II window before the catastrophic interneuron vulnerability of Phase III emerges.

Clinical Trial History

Masitinib has been evaluated in a Phase III clinical trial (AB09004) for mild to moderate Alzheimer's disease, conducted as an adjunctive therapy to cholinesterase inhibitors and/or memantine. This randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study enrolled 718 participants who received masitinib 4.5 mg/kg/day, masitinib 3.0 mg/kg/day, or placebo for 24 weeks. The primary endpoints were change from baseline on ADAS-Cog and ADCS-ADL at week 24. The higher dose group showed statistically significant benefits on both ADAS-Cog ($p = 0.003$) and ADCS-ADL ($p = 0.038$) compared to placebo, with treatment differences of approximately 1.5 points on ADAS-Cog and 2.0 points on ADCS-ADL. These results, while modest in absolute terms, were consistent across prespecified subgroups and supported by improvements on the MMSE secondary endpoint.

A subsequent confirmatory Phase III trial (AB09004-extension) evaluated masitinib over a longer treatment period and in a broader patient population including both mild and moderate Alzheimer's disease. The results of this confirmatory study have been reported at international conferences and support the initial findings, with sustained benefits on cognitive and functional endpoints. AB Science has submitted regulatory applications based on the combined Phase III data. The company has also conducted a Phase III trial in progressive forms of multiple sclerosis, demonstrating the broader applicability of the anti-inflammatory mechanism across neuroinflammatory conditions. The Fyn kinase inhibition mechanism has been validated by the separate development of saracatinib (AZD0530) by AstraZeneca as a Fyn inhibitor for Alzheimer's, although that program produced negative Phase IIa results.

Current Status and Limitations

Masitinib is under regulatory review for Alzheimer's disease based on Phase III data, with applications submitted or planned for multiple regulatory agencies. The drug is not yet approved for any neurological indication. The principal safety concerns include gastrointestinal adverse events (nausea, diarrhea), skin rash, peripheral edema, and maculopapular rash, which led to discontinuation in approximately 15 percent of participants in the Phase III trials. Serious adverse events including neutropenia and hepatotoxicity were reported at low frequency but require monitoring. The side effect profile reflects the broad kinase inhibition that provides the drug's therapeutic activity but also limits tolerability in an elderly patient population.

From the Phase II perspective, masitinib's limitations include the challenge of calibrating anti-inflammatory intervention. Complete suppression of microglial activation would be counterproductive, as microglia perform essential homeostatic functions including A-beta clearance, synaptic pruning, and neurotrophic factor secretion. The therapeutic goal is to modulate the phenotypic transition, maintaining beneficial homeostatic functions while preventing the acquisition of neurotoxic properties. Whether masitinib achieves this selective modulation at tolerable doses remains to be fully characterized. The 24-week treatment duration of the primary Phase III trial is short relative to the multi-year Phase II timeframe, and whether the benefits observed over 24 weeks would be sustained, amplified, or attenuated with longer treatment is unknown. The tolerability challenges may also limit the feasibility of the prolonged treatment courses that Phase II intervention would require.

III

Phase II Nutraceutical Interventions

Supporting Proteostasis, Myelination, and Cholinergic Integrity

The nutraceutical interventions reviewed in this chapter target the same Phase II mechanisms addressed by the pharmaceutical agents in the preceding chapter, but through dietary and supplemental compounds with generally more favorable safety profiles and greater accessibility. These compounds act on tau phosphorylation, ferroptotic vulnerability, neuroinflammation, autophagy-lysosomal function, cholinergic trophic support, and membrane integrity. While the evidence base for most nutraceuticals in Alzheimer's disease is less robust than for pharmaceutical agents, several compounds have been evaluated in randomized controlled trials of sufficient quality to inform clinical decision-making. The Spectrum of Collapse framework provides a mechanistic rationale for their Phase II application that may guide more targeted future investigation.

3.1 Curcumin (Longvida Formulation)

Mechanism of Action

Curcumin is the principal curcuminoid of the spice turmeric (*Curcuma longa*), possessing a bis- α,β -unsaturated diketone structure that confers pleiotropic biological activities. The compound directly binds to A- β peptides and inhibits their aggregation into oligomers and fibrils through disruption of beta-sheet stacking interactions. Curcumin also inhibits tau phosphorylation through dual mechanisms: direct inhibition of GSK-3- β kinase activity and activation of protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A). As an iron chelator, curcumin binds ferric iron (Fe^{3+}) with moderate affinity through its beta-diketone moiety, reducing the labile iron pool available for Fenton chemistry and lipid peroxidation. The compound's anti-inflammatory activity operates through inhibition of NF- κ -B nuclear translocation, suppression of COX-2 and iNOS expression, and modulation of the NLRP3 inflammasome, collectively attenuating the neuroinflammatory cascades that drive microglial transition during Phase II.

The Longvida formulation addresses curcumin's most significant pharmacological limitation: extremely poor oral bioavailability due to rapid hepatic glucuronidation and sulfation, intestinal metabolism, and low aqueous solubility. Longvida employs solid lipid curcumin particle (SLCP) technology developed at UCLA, encapsulating curcumin in a lipid matrix that protects it from gastrointestinal degradation and enhances absorption of the free, unconjugated form. Clinical pharmacokinetic studies have demonstrated that Longvida achieves 65-fold higher peak plasma concentrations of free curcumin compared to unformulated curcumin, with detectable CSF levels confirming blood-brain barrier penetration. This enhanced bioavailability is critical for achieving the tissue concentrations required for anti-aggregation, kinase inhibition, and iron chelation activities in the hippocampal bridgehead.

Rationale Within Phase II

Curcumin's pleiotropic mechanism of action addresses multiple convergent Phase II pathologies simultaneously. The anti-tau phosphorylation activity through GSK-3-beta inhibition and PP2A activation directly targets the enzymatic imbalance driving neurofibrillary pathology in CA1 and entorhinal cortex. The iron chelation activity reduces the labile iron pool that catalyzes ferroptotic lipid peroxidation in oligodendrocytes, potentially preserving myelin integrity during the Phase II window. The anti-inflammatory properties modulate microglial activation through multiple signaling pathways, addressing the homeostatic-to-post-homeostatic transition from the cytokine environment perspective. This convergence of activities within a single natural compound mirrors the multi-mechanistic nature of Phase II pathology and suggests that curcumin, at adequate tissue concentrations, could provide broad-spectrum Phase II neuroprotection.

Within the Spectrum of Collapse framework, curcumin's ability to modulate autophagy through TFEB activation and mTOR-independent pathways adds an additional dimension of Phase II relevance. PANTHOS formation represents a catastrophic failure of autophagy-lysosomal clearance, and curcumin-mediated enhancement of autophagic flux may improve the processing and clearance of intraneuronal A-beta aggregates before they reach the PANTHOS threshold. Preclinical studies have demonstrated that curcumin promotes the nuclear translocation of TFEB, the master transcription factor for lysosomal biogenesis, enhancing both the number and degradative capacity of lysosomes in neuronal cells. This autophagy-enhancing effect complements the direct anti-aggregation and anti-phosphorylation activities to provide a comprehensive approach to the proteostatic crisis of Phase II.

Clinical Trial History

Clinical trials of curcumin in Alzheimer's disease have produced mixed results, largely attributable to differences in formulation and bioavailability. The earliest major trial, conducted by John Ringman and colleagues at UCLA, evaluated unformulated curcumin (Curcumin C3 Complex) at doses of 2 g or 4 g daily for 24 weeks in 36 participants with mild to moderate AD. This Phase II trial showed no significant differences in clinical outcomes or CSF biomarkers, consistent with the undetectable plasma levels of free curcumin achieved with the unformulated compound. A subsequent trial using the Longvida formulation demonstrated improved bioavailability and preliminary evidence of biological activity, including reductions in salivary A-beta40 levels.

A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial by Gary Small and colleagues at UCLA evaluated a bioavailable curcumin formulation (Theracurmin) at 90 mg twice daily for 18 months in 40 non-demented older adults with subjective memory complaints. FDDNP-PET imaging, which binds to both amyloid and tau aggregates, showed significantly less accumulation in the amygdala and hypothalamus of the curcumin group compared to placebo ($p < 0.05$). Memory performance on the Buschke Selective Reminding Test improved significantly in the curcumin group, and attention measures also showed benefit. While this study enrolled non-demented participants rather than AD patients, the biomarker and cognitive findings support the Phase II rationale of early intervention with bioavailable curcumin to reduce proteinopathic accumulation before clinical manifestation.

Current Status and Limitations

Curcumin remains available as a dietary supplement in various formulations, with Longvida, Theracurmin, and Meriva among the most studied bioavailable forms. No curcumin formulation has received regulatory approval for Alzheimer's disease or any neurodegenerative indication. Curcumin is generally well tolerated, with mild gastrointestinal symptoms as the most common adverse effect. At higher doses, curcumin may interfere with iron absorption and platelet function, considerations relevant to elderly patients who may be iron-deficient or taking anticoagulant medications.

The principal limitation of curcumin within the Phase II framework is the persistent uncertainty regarding whether any oral formulation achieves sufficient brain tissue concentrations to exert the anti-aggregation, kinase inhibition, and iron chelation activities demonstrated in cell culture and animal models. The in vitro IC₅₀ values for curcumin's various activities typically range from 1-10 micromolar, and achieving these concentrations in hippocampal tissue through oral dosing remains unconfirmed. The FDDNP-PET data from the Theracurmin trial provide indirect evidence of tissue-level activity, but direct measurement of curcumin concentrations in human brain tissue has not been performed. Until pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic relationships are better characterized, the optimal dose, formulation, and duration of curcumin therapy for Phase II neuroprotection remain uncertain.

3.2 Omega-3 Fatty Acids (DHA/EPA)

Mechanism of Action

Docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) and eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) are long-chain omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids that constitute essential structural and functional components of neuronal membranes. DHA accounts for approximately 40 percent of the polyunsaturated fatty acid content of brain phospholipids and is particularly enriched in synaptic membrane domains where it modulates membrane fluidity, receptor trafficking, and signal transduction. EPA, while present at lower brain concentrations, serves as the precursor for anti-inflammatory specialized pro-resolving mediators (SPMs) including resolvins of the E-series (RvE1, RvE2) that actively resolve neuroinflammation rather than merely suppressing it. DHA is the precursor for D-series resolvins (RvD1, RvD2), protectins (including neuroprotectin D1, NPD1), and maresins that collectively constitute the brain's endogenous resolution machinery for inflammatory responses.

At the cellular level, DHA incorporation into neuronal membranes enhances the activity of phospholipid-dependent enzymes including protein kinase C and Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase, supports the formation and maintenance of lipid rafts critical for receptor signaling, and promotes neurite outgrowth through activation of the PI3K/Akt survival pathway. DHA also inhibits A-beta production by modulating

gamma-secretase activity and enhances A-beta clearance through upregulation of the lipoprotein receptor LRP1. Neuroprotectin D1, the principal DHA-derived SPM in the brain, has been shown to protect neurons from A-beta-induced apoptosis, downregulate the pro-inflammatory cytokines TNF-alpha and IL-1-beta, and promote the phagocytic clearance of cellular debris by microglia without triggering pro-inflammatory activation.

Rationale Within Phase II

The Phase II rationale for omega-3 supplementation encompasses both the anti-inflammatory and the membrane-structural dimensions of DHA and EPA action. The microglial transition from homeostatic to post-homeostatic phenotypes during Phase II is driven in part by the failure of endogenous inflammation-resolution pathways, and the SPMs derived from DHA and EPA represent a critical component of these resolution mechanisms. Reduced brain DHA content, documented in Alzheimer's disease postmortem studies, diminishes the substrate available for NPD1 and resolvins synthesis, potentially impairing the brain's ability to resolve the chronic low-grade neuroinflammation that characterizes Phase II. Supplementation with DHA and EPA may restore SPM synthesis capacity, promoting the resolution of neuroinflammation and maintaining microglia in their homeostatic, neuroprotective configuration.

Within the Spectrum of Collapse framework, omega-3 fatty acids also address the membrane vulnerability that contributes to ferroptotic oligodendrocyte death during Phase II. Paradoxically, DHA-enriched membranes are more susceptible to lipid peroxidation due to DHA's multiple bis-allylic hydrogen atoms, which are readily abstracted by reactive oxygen species to initiate peroxidation chain reactions. This apparent contradiction is resolved by recognizing that DHA supplementation also upregulates the expression of glutathione peroxidase 4 (GPX4) and other antioxidant defense enzymes, providing compensatory protection against lipid peroxidation. The net effect of DHA supplementation in preclinical models is neuroprotective, suggesting that the upregulation of antioxidant defenses outweighs the increased peroxidation susceptibility of DHA-enriched membranes.

Clinical Trial History

The OmegAD study, conducted by Yvonne Freund-Levi and colleagues in Sweden, was one of the first rigorous randomized controlled trials of omega-3 supplementation in Alzheimer's disease. This study enrolled 204 patients with mild to moderate AD who received omega-3 fatty acids (1,700 mg DHA plus 600 mg EPA daily) or placebo for six months, followed by an open-label extension. The primary analysis showed no significant effect on the primary cognitive endpoint (MMSE decline) in the overall population. However, a prespecified subgroup analysis of patients with very mild AD (MMSE greater than 27) showed a significant reduction in cognitive decline compared to placebo ($p = 0.02$), suggesting that omega-3 supplementation may be beneficial when initiated early in the disease course, consistent with a Phase II therapeutic window.

The MAPT (Multidomain Alzheimer Preventive Trial), conducted by Bruno Vellas and colleagues across French memory clinics, evaluated omega-3 supplementation (800 mg DHA daily) alone and in combination with a multidomain lifestyle intervention in 1,680 community-dwelling older adults with subjective memory complaints. Over the three-year follow-up period, omega-3 supplementation alone did not significantly reduce cognitive decline on the primary composite endpoint. However, subgroup analyses suggested benefit in participants with lower baseline omega-3 status and in APOE4 carriers, populations that may have greater omega-3 deficiency and higher baseline neuroinflammation. The LipiDiDiet trial evaluated a multinutrient supplement containing DHA, EPA, and other nutrients in prodromal AD and showed benefits on a secondary composite endpoint including cognitive and functional measures over 24 months.

Current Status and Limitations

Omega-3 fatty acids are widely available as dietary supplements and prescription formulations, with no specific regulatory approval for Alzheimer's disease. The overall clinical trial evidence does not support omega-3 supplementation as a standalone treatment for established AD, but subgroup analyses consistently suggest benefit in early disease stages and in individuals with low baseline omega-3 status. This pattern is consistent with the Phase II framework prediction that interventions targeting inflammation resolution and membrane integrity will be most effective during the early hippocampal bridgehead period before widespread neuronal loss renders neuroprotective strategies insufficient.

The principal limitations of omega-3 supplementation within the Phase II framework include the heterogeneity of formulations, doses, and DHA:EPA ratios across clinical trials, which complicates cross-study comparisons and dose optimization. The blood-brain barrier transport of DHA is mediated by the specific transporter Mfsd2a, and genetic variation in this transporter may contribute to inter-individual differences in brain DHA incorporation. The paradoxical increase in lipid peroxidation susceptibility with DHA enrichment requires careful consideration of concurrent antioxidant status, and omega-3 supplementation in the context of inadequate GPX4 activity or selenium status could theoretically exacerbate ferroptotic vulnerability rather than attenuate it. These considerations underscore the importance of combination strategies that pair omega-3 supplementation with antioxidant support during Phase II.

3.3 Vitamin E (Alpha-Tocopherol)

Mechanism of Action

Alpha-tocopherol is the most biologically active form of vitamin E, a lipid-soluble chain-breaking antioxidant that terminates lipid peroxidation chain reactions in cellular membranes by donating a hydrogen atom from its chromanol hydroxyl group to lipid peroxy radicals. This reaction converts the reactive lipid peroxy radical to a stable lipid hydroperoxide while generating a relatively stable tocopheroxy radical that is subsequently regenerated to alpha-tocopherol by ascorbate or ubiquinol. Alpha-tocopherol is the primary membrane-resident defense against ferroptosis, functioning in parallel with the glutathione peroxidase 4 (GPX4) enzymatic pathway to prevent the lethal accumulation of lipid hydroperoxides. In GPX4-deficient cells, alpha-tocopherol becomes the sole defense against ferroptotic death, and its depletion is the proximate trigger for the execution of ferroptosis.

Beyond its antioxidant function, alpha-tocopherol modulates cellular signaling through mechanisms independent of its radical-scavenging activity. The compound inhibits protein kinase C (PKC) activity, modulates 5-lipoxygenase and cyclooxygenase-2 expression, and regulates gene transcription through binding to the tocopherol-associated protein (TAP). Alpha-tocopherol also inhibits the activation of NF-kappa-B, reducing the expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines and adhesion molecules. These non-antioxidant activities contribute to the anti-inflammatory and anti-neurodegenerative properties observed in preclinical models, extending the compound's relevance beyond simple radical scavenging to encompass broader neuroprotective signaling.

Rationale Within Phase II

The Phase II rationale for alpha-tocopherol is anchored directly in the ferroptotic mechanism of oligodendrocyte death that characterizes this phase. Oligodendrocytes are the highest iron-burden cells in the central nervous system, accumulating iron for the synthesis of myelin lipids and the activity of iron-dependent enzymes involved in myelination. During Phase II, the convergence of increased iron accumulation, A-beta-mediated oxidative stress, and declining GPX4 activity creates conditions that push oligodendrocytes toward the ferroptotic threshold. Alpha-tocopherol, as the membrane-resident chain-breaking antioxidant, provides the last line of defense against ferroptotic execution by terminating the lipid peroxidation chains that would otherwise propagate through the polyunsaturated fatty acid-rich myelin membranes.

Within the Spectrum of Collapse framework, alpha-tocopherol's anti-ferroptotic activity has broader implications for Phase II pathology. Ferroptotic oligodendrocyte death releases myelin debris containing damage-associated molecular patterns that activate microglia through pattern recognition receptors, contributing to the homeostatic-to-post-homeostatic transition. By preventing ferroptotic death and reducing myelin debris accumulation, alpha-tocopherol may indirectly attenuate microglial activation. Furthermore, the preservation of myelin integrity maintains the saltatory conduction efficiency required for hippocampal circuit function, potentially slowing the clinical manifestation of Phase II pathology. The anti-inflammatory signaling through NF-kappa-B inhibition provides an additional, direct mechanism for modulating the neuroinflammatory environment of the hippocampal bridgehead.

Clinical Trial History

The most influential trial of vitamin E in Alzheimer's disease was the TEAM-AD VA Cooperative Study, a Phase III, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial led by Maurice Dysken and published in JAMA in 2014. This study enrolled 613 participants with mild to moderate AD (MMSE 12-26) receiving a cholinesterase inhibitor who were randomized to alpha-tocopherol 2,000 IU daily, memantine 20 mg daily, the combination, or placebo for a mean follow-up of 2.27 years. The primary outcome was change in the Alzheimer's Disease Cooperative Study Activities of Daily Living (ADCS-ADL) inventory. Alpha-tocopherol showed a statistically significant 19 percent reduction in functional decline compared to placebo ($p = 0.03$), corresponding to approximately 6.2 months of delayed progression over the follow-up period.

An earlier landmark trial (Sano et al., 1997, published in the New England Journal of Medicine) evaluated alpha-tocopherol 2,000 IU daily in 341 participants with moderate Alzheimer's disease and found significant delays in the time to primary composite endpoints including death, institutionalization, loss of basic activities of daily living, and progression to severe dementia. These two large, rigorous trials provide the strongest evidence base for any nutraceutical intervention in Alzheimer's disease. However, meta-analyses of vitamin E in broader populations have raised safety concerns about high-dose supplementation, including a controversial meta-analysis by Miller et al. (2005) suggesting increased all-cause mortality at doses exceeding 400 IU daily, although this finding has been challenged on methodological grounds.

Current Status and Limitations

Alpha-tocopherol at 2,000 IU daily is recommended in some clinical practice guidelines as an adjunctive therapy for Alzheimer's disease based on the TEAM-AD and Sano et al. trials. The supplement is widely available, inexpensive, and generally well tolerated. The principal safety concern at high doses is increased bleeding risk due to inhibition of vitamin K-dependent coagulation factors, which is relevant for elderly patients taking anticoagulants or antiplatelet agents. The mortality signal from the Miller meta-analysis has not been substantiated in subsequent analyses and was not observed in the TEAM-AD trial, but it has dampened clinical enthusiasm for high-dose supplementation.

Within the Phase II framework, alpha-tocopherol's limitations include its relatively modest potency as a ferroptosis inhibitor compared to synthetic ferroptosis-specific inhibitors like ferrostatin-1 and liproxstatin-1. While alpha-tocopherol effectively terminates lipid peroxidation chains, it does not address the upstream mechanisms that generate oxidative stress, including iron accumulation and GPX4 dysfunction. Combination with GPX4-supporting interventions such as selenium and N-acetylcysteine may provide more comprehensive anti-ferroptotic protection than alpha-tocopherol alone. Additionally, the clinical trials evaluated vitamin E in diagnosed AD rather than during the presymptomatic Phase II window, and the benefits observed may underestimate the potential of earlier intervention when ferroptotic oligodendrocyte death is first emerging.

3.4 N-Acetylcysteine (NAC)

Mechanism of Action

N-acetylcysteine is the acetylated derivative of the amino acid L-cysteine, serving as the rate-limiting precursor for the synthesis of glutathione (gamma-glutamyl-cysteinyl-glycine), the brain's principal intracellular antioxidant and the essential cofactor for glutathione peroxidase 4 (GPX4). The acetyl group protects cysteine from oxidation during intestinal absorption and hepatic first-pass metabolism, enabling oral delivery of cysteine equivalents that would otherwise be poorly bioavailable. Once deacetylated intracellularly, the liberated cysteine is incorporated into glutathione by the sequential actions of glutamate-cysteine ligase and glutathione synthetase. In the context of Alzheimer's disease, brain glutathione levels are reduced by 20-40 percent compared to age-matched controls, reflecting the chronic oxidative stress and the increased demand for antioxidant defense during neurodegeneration.

Beyond glutathione replenishment, NAC possesses direct antioxidant activity through its free sulfhydryl group, which can scavenge reactive oxygen species including hydroxyl radicals, hydrogen peroxide, and hypochlorous acid. NAC also modulates glutamatergic neurotransmission by promoting cystine-glutamate antiporter (system Xc-) activity, which exchanges extracellular cystine for

intracellular glutamate, thereby modulating extracellular glutamate tone and providing additional intracellular cysteine for glutathione synthesis. The compound has demonstrated anti-inflammatory activity through inhibition of NF-kappa-B and modulation of redox-sensitive transcription factors including Nrf2, the master regulator of the antioxidant response element that controls expression of numerous cytoprotective genes.

Rationale Within Phase II

The Phase II rationale for NAC centers on its role as the essential precursor for GPX4 cofactor glutathione, positioning it as a direct anti-ferroptotic intervention. GPX4 is the only glutathione peroxidase capable of reducing phospholipid hydroperoxides within intact membranes, and its activity is absolutely dependent on an adequate supply of reduced glutathione. During Phase II, the convergent oxidative stress from A-beta-mediated Fenton chemistry, mitochondrial dysfunction, and microglial inflammatory activation depletes glutathione reserves faster than they can be regenerated from dietary cysteine alone. NAC supplementation provides the additional cysteine substrate needed to maintain glutathione synthesis at rates sufficient to support GPX4-mediated defense against ferroptosis in the iron-laden oligodendrocytes of the hippocampal bridgehead.

Within the Spectrum of Collapse framework, NAC's glutamatergic modulation through the cystine-glutamate antiporter provides an additional Phase II mechanism. The progressive loss of SST-positive GABAergic interneurons during Phase II disinhibits glutamatergic circuits, creating a state of chronic excitotoxic stress. NAC's promotion of system Xc⁻ activity increases extrasynaptic glutamate levels that activate inhibitory metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluR2/3) on presynaptic terminals, providing a negative feedback mechanism that attenuates excessive glutamate release. This glutamatergic modulation, combined with the anti-ferroptotic effect of glutathione replenishment, positions NAC as a dual-mechanism Phase II intervention addressing both the oxidative and excitotoxic components of hippocampal bridgehead pathology.

Clinical Trial History

Clinical evidence for NAC in Alzheimer's disease derives primarily from a nutraceutical combination study conducted by Richard Remington and colleagues at Massachusetts General Hospital. This randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial evaluated a formulation containing NAC (600 mg), alpha-tocopherol, acetyl-L-carnitine, S-adenosyl methionine, and B vitamins versus placebo for six months in 106 participants with mild to moderate AD. The combination treatment showed significant improvements on neuropsychological testing including the Dementia Rating Scale and clock-drawing test compared to placebo. While the contribution of NAC specifically cannot be isolated from this combination, the study supports the broader rationale for glutathione-enhancing and antioxidant supplementation in AD.

Observational and open-label studies of NAC in Alzheimer's disease have reported improvements in measures of oxidative stress, including increased erythrocyte glutathione levels and reduced plasma malondialdehyde concentrations, confirming systemic target engagement. A study by Adair et al. published in *Neurology* in 2001 evaluated NAC 50 mg/kg/day in a small open-label trial and reported favorable trends on cognitive measures. Intravenous NAC has been evaluated in Parkinson's disease and has demonstrated improved dopamine transporter binding on DaTscan, providing proof of concept for CNS effects of NAC in neurodegeneration. No large, standalone randomized controlled trial of oral NAC in AD has been completed, representing a significant gap in the evidence base.

Current Status and Limitations

NAC is widely available as a dietary supplement and as a prescription medication (Mucomyst) for acetaminophen overdose and as a mucolytic agent. The supplement is inexpensive and generally well tolerated, with gastrointestinal symptoms including nausea, diarrhea, and sulfurous eructation as the most common adverse effects. NAC has a long safety record from decades of clinical use in acetaminophen toxicity and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Doses of 600-1,200 mg daily are typical for supplemental use, while higher doses up to 2,400 mg daily have been used in psychiatric applications.

The principal limitation of NAC within the Phase II framework is its poor blood-brain barrier penetration. Oral NAC achieves CSF concentrations

approximately 10 percent of plasma levels, and the extent to which peripheral NAC supplementation meaningfully increases brain glutathione synthesis is debated. Intravenous administration achieves higher brain concentrations but is impractical for long-term supplementation. A brain-penetrant prodrug, N-acetylcysteine amide (NACA, also known as AD4), has been developed with improved CNS bioavailability and is in preclinical development for neurodegenerative applications. Despite the pharmacokinetic limitations, the low cost, excellent safety profile, and strong mechanistic rationale make NAC a reasonable component of a Phase II nutraceutical strategy, particularly when combined with selenium to support the GPX4 pathway from both the glutathione substrate and enzyme cofactor perspectives.

3.5 Resveratrol

Mechanism of Action

Resveratrol (3,5,4'-trihydroxystilbene) is a polyphenolic phytoalexin produced by grapes, berries, and peanuts as a defense compound against fungal infection. Its primary neuroprotective mechanism operates through activation of sirtuin 1 (SIRT1), a NAD⁺-dependent protein deacetylase that modulates numerous cellular processes relevant to neurodegeneration. SIRT1 activation by resveratrol promotes deacetylation of multiple downstream targets including PGC-1-alpha (enhancing mitochondrial biogenesis), FOXO transcription factors (upregulating antioxidant gene expression), and NF-kappa-B p65 subunit (suppressing inflammatory gene transcription). Through these SIRT1-mediated pathways, resveratrol simultaneously enhances mitochondrial function, strengthens antioxidant defense, and attenuates neuroinflammation.

Resveratrol also activates AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK), an energy-sensing kinase that promotes autophagy through inhibition of mTORC1 and direct phosphorylation of ULK1. This AMPK-mediated autophagy induction, combined with SIRT1-dependent deacetylation and nuclear translocation of TFEB (transcription factor EB, the master regulator of lysosomal biogenesis), provides a dual pathway for enhancing autophagy-lysosomal clearance of protein aggregates. In preclinical models, resveratrol has been shown to reduce A-beta levels through enhanced autophagy-mediated clearance, decrease tau phosphorylation through

SIRT1-mediated deacetylation of tau, and improve mitochondrial function through PGC-1-alpha-dependent biogenesis. The compound also directly scavenges reactive oxygen species through donation of hydrogen atoms from its hydroxyl groups, although this antioxidant activity is likely secondary to its enzyme-activating effects at physiological concentrations.

Rationale Within Phase II

The Phase II rationale for resveratrol centers on its ability to enhance autophagy-lysosomal function, directly addressing the PANTHOS mechanism that defines Phase II proteinopathic neurodegeneration. PANTHOS formation reflects a catastrophic failure of the autophagy-lysosomal pathway in CA1 pyramidal neurons, where autolysosomes fail to complete the degradation of internalized A-beta aggregates. Resveratrol-mediated activation of AMPK and SIRT1 promotes autophagy initiation (through ULK1 activation), autophagosome formation (through Beclin-1 deacetylation), and lysosomal biogenesis (through TFEB activation), potentially restoring the degradative capacity needed to prevent or resolve PANTHOS structures. This comprehensive autophagy enhancement distinguishes resveratrol from agents that target only one step of the autophagy-lysosomal pathway.

Within the Spectrum of Collapse framework, resveratrol's SIRT1-mediated effects on tau deacetylation provide an additional Phase II mechanism. Tau acetylation at lysine residues 174, 274, and 281 has been identified as an early pathological modification that precedes and promotes tau phosphorylation and aggregation. SIRT1-mediated deacetylation of tau at these sites may interrupt the acetylation-phosphorylation cascade that drives neurofibrillary pathology during Phase II. The anti-inflammatory effects of SIRT1-mediated NF-kappa-B deacetylation further address the microglial transition, while SIRT1's promotion of mitochondrial biogenesis through PGC-1-alpha supports the bioenergetic capacity of neurons facing the metabolic demands of Phase II proteotoxic stress.

Clinical Trial History

The most rigorous clinical trial of resveratrol in Alzheimer's disease was a Phase II, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study led by R. Scott Turner and colleagues at Georgetown University, published in *Neurology* in 2015. This multicenter trial enrolled 119 participants with mild to moderate AD who received resveratrol at escalating doses from 500 mg daily to 2,000 mg daily (1 gram twice daily) or placebo for 52 weeks. The primary endpoints were safety, tolerability, and CSF A-beta40 levels. Resveratrol was well tolerated at all doses. CSF A-beta40 and plasma A-beta40 declined less in the resveratrol group compared to placebo ($p = 0.024$ and $p = 0.024$, respectively), interpreted as reduced A-beta accumulation in plaques and improved clearance.

Intriguingly, the Turner trial showed that brain MRI volume decreased more in the resveratrol group compared to placebo ($p = 0.05$), a finding that has been interpreted as a pseudoatrophy effect analogous to that seen with anti-amyloid antibodies, potentially reflecting clearance of protein aggregates and associated fluid. Follow-up analyses demonstrated that resveratrol modulated CSF MMP-9 levels and markers of innate immunity, consistent with anti-inflammatory effects. A subsequent biomarker analysis published in the *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease* showed that resveratrol treatment was associated with changes in CSF markers of autophagy and synaptic function. A larger Phase III efficacy trial has not been conducted, largely due to challenges in securing funding for a non-patentable natural compound.

Current Status and Limitations

Resveratrol is widely available as a dietary supplement in doses ranging from 100 to 1,500 mg daily. No regulatory approval for any neurodegenerative indication has been sought or obtained. The compound is generally well tolerated, with gastrointestinal symptoms as the most common adverse effect, though doses above 1 gram daily have been associated with diarrhea and mild hepatotoxicity. Resveratrol has potential drug interactions through modulation of cytochrome P450 enzymes, which may be clinically relevant in the polypharmacy context of elderly patients.

The principal limitation of resveratrol within the Phase II framework is its extremely poor oral bioavailability, with estimates of less than 1 percent of the administered dose reaching the systemic circulation in its unconjugated, biologically active form. Rapid hepatic and intestinal sulfation and glucuronidation convert the

majority of absorbed resveratrol to inactive conjugates. While these conjugates may be deconjugated at target tissues by sulfatases and glucuronidases, the extent of this reconversion in the brain is unknown. Novel formulations including nanoencapsulation, cyclodextrin complexation, and solid lipid nanoparticles are under development to improve bioavailability, but none has yet been evaluated in AD clinical trials. The disconnect between the compelling preclinical and mechanistic rationale and the pharmacokinetic reality of oral resveratrol remains the principal barrier to its clinical development.

3.6 Ginkgo biloba (EGb 761)

Mechanism of Action

EGb 761 is a standardized extract of Ginkgo biloba leaves, containing 24 percent flavonoid glycosides (primarily quercetin, kaempferol, and isorhamnetin derivatives) and 6 percent terpene lactones (ginkgolides A, B, C, and bilobalide). The flavonoid fraction provides direct antioxidant activity through radical scavenging and metal chelation, while the terpene lactone fraction exerts specific pharmacological effects including platelet-activating factor (PAF) antagonism by ginkgolides and mitochondrial protection by bilobalide. The extract modulates multiple neurotransmitter systems, enhancing cholinergic and monoaminergic transmission while attenuating excessive glutamatergic signaling. At the molecular level, EGb 761 inhibits A-beta aggregation, reduces A-beta-induced neurotoxicity, and attenuates tau phosphorylation through modulation of the PI3K/Akt/GSK-3-beta signaling cascade.

The anti-inflammatory properties of EGb 761 operate through multiple pathways: inhibition of NF-kappa-B activation, suppression of NLRP3 inflammasome assembly, and reduction of pro-inflammatory cytokine production by activated microglia. Bilobalide, the unique sesquiterpene trilactone found only in Ginkgo biloba, has been shown to preserve mitochondrial membrane potential, reduce cytochrome c release, and attenuate apoptotic signaling in neurons exposed to oxidative stress. The multi-component nature of EGb 761 produces synergistic effects that exceed those of any individual constituent, exemplifying the poly-pharmacology approach increasingly advocated for complex neurodegenerative diseases.

Rationale Within Phase II

The Phase II rationale for EGb 761 encompasses its combined antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, and anti-apoptotic activities, which collectively address the convergent oxidative and inflammatory pathology of the hippocampal bridgehead. The flavonoid-mediated radical scavenging and iron chelation activity supplements the endogenous antioxidant defenses (glutathione, alpha-tocopherol) that are progressively depleted during Phase II, providing an additional layer of protection against ferroptotic lipid peroxidation in oligodendrocytes. The anti-inflammatory properties modulate microglial activation through the NF-kappa-B and NLRP3 pathways, two of the principal signaling cascades driving the homeostatic-to-post-homeostatic transition during Phase II.

Within the Spectrum of Collapse framework, EGb 761's effects on cerebral microcirculation through PAF antagonism and endothelial function enhancement add a vascular dimension to its Phase II relevance. The hippocampal bridgehead is perfused by terminal branches of the posterior cerebral artery with limited collateral supply, making it vulnerable to microvascular dysfunction. Age-related decline in cerebrovascular function reduces oxygen and nutrient delivery to the hippocampal formation, exacerbating the bioenergetic deficit that contributes to Phase II vulnerability. EGb 761's improvement of microvascular flow and endothelial function may support the metabolic demands of neurons at the hippocampal bridgehead, complementing its direct neuroprotective effects.

Clinical Trial History

EGb 761 has been evaluated in two major prevention trials: the Ginkgo Evaluation of Memory (GEM) study in the United States and the GuidAge study in France. The GEM study, led by Steven DeKosky and published in JAMA in 2008, was a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial enrolling 3,069 community-dwelling adults aged 75 and older who received EGb 761 120 mg twice daily or placebo for a median of 6.1 years. The primary endpoint was incident dementia of any type. The trial failed to demonstrate a significant reduction in dementia incidence (HR 1.12, 95 percent CI 0.94-1.33), and no benefit was observed in the secondary endpoint of cognitive decline rate on the Modified Mini-Mental State Examination.

The GuidAge study, led by Bruno Vellas and published in *Lancet Neurology* in 2012, enrolled 2,854 community-dwelling adults aged 70 and older with spontaneous memory complaints who received EGb 761 120 mg twice daily or placebo for five years. The primary endpoint was conversion to Alzheimer's disease. Like GEM, GuidAge failed to demonstrate a significant reduction in AD conversion (HR 0.84, 95 percent CI 0.60-1.18). However, a prespecified analysis at four years showed a significant reduction in AD conversion in the EGb 761 group (HR 0.57, 95 percent CI 0.33-0.98, $p = 0.04$), suggesting a possible delayed treatment effect that was attenuated by late-trial events. Multiple treatment trials of shorter duration in diagnosed AD have shown modest cognitive benefits comparable to cholinesterase inhibitors in meta-analyses, particularly using the standardized EGb 761 extract.

Current Status and Limitations

EGb 761 is available as a standardized extract in many countries and is widely used, particularly in Europe and Asia, for cognitive complaints and dementia. In Germany, EGb 761 (marketed as Tebonin) is among the most prescribed treatments for cognitive impairment. The extract is not FDA-approved as a drug but is available as a dietary supplement in the United States. EGb 761 is generally well tolerated, with the principal safety concern being increased bleeding risk due to PAF antagonism, particularly in patients taking anticoagulants or antiplatelet agents.

Within the Phase II framework, EGb 761's principal limitation is the consistently negative results from the two large prevention trials, which enrolled participants likely spanning the Phase I to Phase II transition. While the delayed treatment signal in GuidAge is intriguing and consistent with a Phase II-specific effect, the overall trial results do not support EGb 761 as a standalone prevention strategy. The modest effect sizes observed in treatment trials of diagnosed AD suggest that the extract's multiple mechanisms each provide only partial benefit, and the combined effect may be insufficient to meaningfully alter the Phase II trajectory. The standardized extract formulation ensures consistency across batches but may not optimize the ratio of active constituents for maximum neuroprotective efficacy.

3.7 Lion's Mane (*Hericium erinaceus*)

Mechanism of Action

Hericium erinaceus, commonly known as lion's mane mushroom, contains two classes of bioactive compounds with neurotrophic activity: hericenones (found in the fruiting body) and erinacines (found in the mycelium). Erinacine A, the most potent neurotrophic compound identified in *H. erinaceus*, stimulates the synthesis and secretion of nerve growth factor (NGF) in astrocytes through activation of the c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) signaling pathway. Hericenones C and D similarly promote NGF synthesis, although with lower potency than erinacines. NGF is the primary trophic factor for basal forebrain cholinergic neurons (BFCNs), and its deficiency is a central feature of the cholinergic trophic withdrawal that characterizes Phase II of the Spectrum of Collapse. By stimulating endogenous NGF production, lion's mane provides trophic support to BFCNs without requiring direct NGF administration, which is precluded by NGF's inability to cross the blood-brain barrier.

Beyond NGF induction, *H. erinaceus* extracts promote neuronal differentiation, neurite outgrowth, and myelination through mechanisms that appear to be partly NGF-independent. Erinacine A has been shown to increase the expression of brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) in hippocampal neurons, providing additional trophic support to the vulnerable neuronal populations of the Phase II bridgehead. In vitro studies demonstrate that *H. erinaceus* extracts promote oligodendrocyte differentiation and myelin basic protein expression, suggesting a direct pro-myelinating effect that could help compensate for the ferroptotic oligodendrocyte loss of Phase II. Anti-inflammatory properties have been documented through inhibition of NF-kappa-B signaling and reduction of pro-inflammatory cytokine production by activated microglia.

Rationale Within Phase II

The Phase II rationale for lion's mane is anchored in the cholinergic trophic withdrawal mechanism that is central to the Spectrum of Collapse framework. During Phase II, NGF signaling in the basal forebrain is disrupted by multiple converging mechanisms: A-beta oligomers interfere with TrkA receptor trafficking, proNGF (the precursor form of NGF) accumulates due to impaired maturation by the protease cascade, and retrograde transport of NGF-TrkA signaling endosomes from hippocampal terminals to nucleus basalis cell bodies is impaired by tau-mediated microtubule destabilization. The result is progressive cholinergic denervation of the hippocampal formation, reducing the acetylcholine-dependent modulation of hippocampal synaptic plasticity. Lion's mane-induced enhancement of NGF synthesis in hippocampal astrocytes provides a local source of neurotrophic support that may partially compensate for the disrupted retrograde NGF pathway.

Within the Spectrum of Collapse framework, the SST-positive interneurons that are selectively vulnerable during Phase II also express NGF receptors and benefit from neurotrophic support. The loss of SST-positive interneurons disinhibits glutamatergic circuits and disrupts the temporal coordination of hippocampal oscillations essential for memory encoding. By providing broad neurotrophic support through NGF and BDNF induction, lion's mane may help preserve both the cholinergic projection system and the local interneuron circuits that are critical for hippocampal function during Phase II. The additional pro-myelinating effects address the myelin loss from ferroptotic oligodendrocyte death, making lion's mane a multi-mechanism Phase II nutraceutical targeting cholinergic, interneuronal, and myelination deficits simultaneously.

Clinical Trial History

The most cited clinical trial of lion's mane in cognitive impairment was a double-blind, placebo-controlled study conducted by Koichiro Mori and colleagues in Japan, published in *Phytotherapy Research* in 2009. This study enrolled 30 Japanese men and women aged 50-80 with mild cognitive impairment who received *H. erinaceus* dried powder (250 mg tablets, four tablets three times daily, totaling 3,000 mg daily) or placebo for 16 weeks. The cognitive function scores on the Hasegawa Dementia Scale-Revised (HDS-R) were significantly higher in the lion's mane group compared to placebo at weeks 8, 12, and 16 ($p < 0.05$ at each time point). However, cognitive scores decreased four weeks after discontinuation of treatment, suggesting a symptomatic rather than disease-modifying effect.

A subsequent randomized controlled trial by Li and colleagues in 2020 evaluated *H. erinaceus* mycelium enriched in erinacine A (350 mg capsules, three times daily) in 49 patients with mild Alzheimer's disease over 49 weeks. This trial demonstrated significant improvements in cognitive scores and instrumental activities of daily living in the treatment group compared to placebo. APOE4 carriers showed particularly robust responses, consistent with the greater cholinergic vulnerability of APOE4 carriers. An open-label study in Japan evaluated *H. erinaceus* supplementation in patients with neurological disorders and reported improvements in independence and functional capacity. While these trials are promising, they are limited by small sample sizes, short durations, and heterogeneous extract preparations, and no large-scale Phase III trial has been conducted.

Current Status and Limitations

Herichium erinaceus is commercially available as a dietary supplement in multiple forms including fruiting body powder, mycelium extract, and standardized erinacine-enriched preparations. No regulatory approval for any cognitive indication has been obtained. The supplement is well tolerated, with rare reports of gastrointestinal discomfort and allergic skin reactions. The absence of standardization across commercial products is a significant concern, as the neurotrophic content varies dramatically between fruiting body and mycelium preparations, between cultivation substrates, and between extraction methods.

The principal limitation of lion's mane within the Phase II framework is the incomplete characterization of its active compounds' pharmacokinetics and brain

bioavailability. While erinacine A has been shown to cross the blood-brain barrier in rodent studies, human pharmacokinetic data are lacking. The reversal of cognitive benefits upon treatment discontinuation in the Mori trial raises questions about whether lion's mane achieves sustained neurotrophic effects or merely provides transient symptomatic benefit. The distinction between disease-modifying NGF enhancement and symptomatic cholinergic facilitation has important implications for Phase II intervention strategy, as disease modification requires sustained neurotrophic support while symptomatic benefit may only temporarily mask the underlying cholinergic withdrawal.

3.8 Phosphatidylserine

Mechanism of Action

Phosphatidylserine (PS) is an anionic phospholipid that constitutes approximately 13-15 percent of the phospholipid content of the human brain, preferentially localized to the inner leaflet of neuronal plasma membranes where it plays essential roles in signal transduction, synaptic vesicle cycling, and apoptotic signaling. PS activates protein kinase C (PKC), Na^+/K^+ -ATPase, and Akt/PKB, enhancing neuronal signaling and survival pathways. PS is required for the calcium-dependent fusion of synaptic vesicles with the presynaptic membrane, a process mediated by the PS-binding proteins synaptotagmin and Doc2b. Externalization of PS to the outer membrane leaflet by scramblase enzymes serves as the canonical 'eat-me' signal for microglial phagocytosis during apoptosis, and dysregulated PS externalization in Phase II neurons may contribute to inappropriate microglial engulfment of stressed but viable neurons.

Oral PS supplementation increases brain PS content through mechanisms involving both direct uptake across the blood-brain barrier and stimulation of endogenous PS synthesis. PS is synthesized in the endoplasmic reticulum by PS synthase 1 (from phosphatidylcholine) and PS synthase 2 (from phosphatidylethanolamine), and supplementation may upregulate these synthetic pathways through substrate provision and transcriptional regulation. The DHA-containing PS species (PS-DHA) found in marine-derived PS supplements may be particularly relevant, as PS-DHA is the predominant PS species in synaptic

membranes and is selectively depleted in Alzheimer's disease hippocampus. PS also modulates cortisol levels through its effects on the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis, and the cortisol-lowering effect may indirectly protect hippocampal neurons from glucocorticoid-mediated excitotoxicity.

Rationale Within Phase II

The Phase II rationale for phosphatidylserine supplementation centers on the progressive membrane phospholipid depletion that characterizes hippocampal neurodegeneration. During Phase II, the convergent effects of oxidative lipid peroxidation (driven by ferroptotic chemistry), phospholipase A2 activation (stimulated by A-beta and inflammatory cytokines), and impaired phospholipid synthesis (due to mitochondrial dysfunction) collectively deplete neuronal membrane PS content. This depletion impairs synaptic vesicle release, reduces PKC-dependent signaling, and may contribute to premature PS externalization that triggers inappropriate microglial phagocytosis. PS supplementation provides both the structural building blocks for membrane repair and the signaling molecules required for synaptic function at the hippocampal bridgehead.

Within the Spectrum of Collapse framework, PS has particular relevance to the cholinergic trophic withdrawal of Phase II. Cholinergic synaptic transmission depends critically on membrane PS content for both acetylcholine vesicle fusion and muscarinic receptor signaling. The decline in basal forebrain cholinergic innervation is compounded by the reduced responsiveness of hippocampal neurons to the diminishing cholinergic input, and PS depletion in post-synaptic membranes contributes to this reduced responsiveness. By maintaining membrane PS content, supplementation may preserve cholinergic responsiveness and partially compensate for the reduced acetylcholine availability during Phase II, effectively amplifying the residual cholinergic signal.

Clinical Trial History

Phosphatidylserine was evaluated in multiple clinical trials during the 1990s and 2000s, primarily using bovine cortex-derived PS (BC-PS) before the shift to soy-derived PS (S-PS) due to concerns about bovine spongiform encephalopathy. A meta-analysis by Kato-Kataoka et al. examined data from three randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trials of BC-PS (100 mg three times daily) enrolling a total of 353 elderly subjects with memory complaints or early dementia. The pooled analysis showed significant improvements in memory and cognitive function compared to placebo ($p < 0.01$). The landmark trial by Thomas Crook and colleagues, published in *Neurology* in 1991, evaluated BC-PS 300 mg daily in 149 patients meeting NINCDS-ADRDA criteria for probable AD and demonstrated significant improvements on multiple cognitive measures.

The transition from bovine-derived to soy-derived PS raised questions about equivalency, as S-PS contains predominantly palmitic and stearic acid at the sn-1 and sn-2 positions rather than the DHA and arachidonic acid found in BC-PS. Clinical trials of S-PS have shown more modest effects. A study by Vakhapova et al. (2010) evaluated S-PS 100 mg three times daily in 157 elderly subjects with memory complaints and found significant improvement in verbal recall in the treated group. The marine-derived PS-DHA (containing DHA-enriched PS) has been evaluated in a pilot study showing improvements in memory and mood measures compared to placebo. The PS-DHA formulation may more closely replicate the biochemical profile of the original BC-PS and deserves further clinical investigation in the Alzheimer's disease population.

Current Status and Limitations

Phosphatidylserine is widely available as a dietary supplement, predominantly in soy-derived form at doses of 100-300 mg daily. The FDA has permitted a qualified health claim for PS and cognitive dysfunction (2003), stating that 'very limited and preliminary scientific research suggests that phosphatidylserine may reduce the risk of cognitive dysfunction in the elderly.' PS is well tolerated with minimal adverse effects, although soy-derived products carry a risk of allergic reactions in soy-sensitive individuals.

The principal limitations of PS within the Phase II framework include the heterogeneity of available formulations and the question of whether oral PS

supplementation achieves sufficient brain incorporation to meaningfully alter membrane composition. The clinical evidence, while supportive, derives primarily from trials conducted with the bovine-derived form that is no longer commercially available due to prion disease concerns. The more widely available soy-derived form has a less robust evidence base and a fatty acid profile that differs substantially from brain PS. Marine-derived PS-DHA formulations that more closely approximate brain PS composition represent a promising but insufficiently studied alternative. The mechanism of action, while plausible, addresses membrane function rather than the primary pathological drivers of Phase II, positioning PS as a supportive adjunct rather than a disease-modifying intervention.

3.9 Selenium

Mechanism of Action

Selenium is an essential trace element that is incorporated as selenocysteine (the 21st amino acid) into the active sites of approximately 25 human selenoproteins, several of which play critical roles in neuroprotection. The most relevant selenoprotein for Phase II neurodegeneration is glutathione peroxidase 4 (GPX4), the sole enzyme capable of reducing phospholipid hydroperoxides within intact biological membranes and thereby preventing ferroptotic cell death. The selenocysteine residue at the active site of GPX4 provides approximately 1,000-fold greater catalytic efficiency than cysteine-substituted variants, making selenium availability the rate-limiting factor for GPX4 anti-ferroptotic activity. Other neuroprotective selenoproteins include thioredoxin reductase 1 (TrxR1), which maintains thioredoxin in its reduced state for cellular redox regulation, and selenoprotein P (SELENOP), which serves as the principal selenium transport protein to the brain.

Selenium exists in several dietary forms with distinct pharmacokinetic profiles: selenomethionine (the primary form in plant foods, incorporated non-specifically into proteins in place of methionine), selenocysteine (the biologically active form in selenoproteins), sodium selenite (an inorganic form reduced to selenide for selenoprotein synthesis), and sodium selenate (which is reduced to selenite before entering the selenoprotein synthesis pathway but also has distinct PP2A-activating activity as discussed in the pharmaceutical section). Brain selenium homeostasis is

maintained through the prioritization hierarchy of selenium allocation: even in selenium deficiency, the brain retains selenium more tenaciously than other organs, reflecting the critical importance of selenoprotein function for neuronal survival.

Rationale Within Phase II

The Phase II rationale for selenium supplementation is directly grounded in the ferroptotic mechanism of oligodendrocyte death that characterizes the hippocampal bridgehead. Oligodendrocytes, as the highest iron-burden cells in the CNS, depend critically on GPX4 activity to prevent iron-catalyzed lipid peroxidation in their polyunsaturated fatty acid-rich myelin membranes. Adequate selenium status ensures maximal GPX4 catalytic efficiency, raising the ferroptotic threshold and protecting oligodendrocytes from the oxidative stress generated by A-beta-mediated Fenton chemistry and inflammatory reactive oxygen species during Phase II. The relationship between selenium status and GPX4 function creates a direct mechanistic link between nutritional selenium intake and the ferroptotic arm of Phase II pathology.

Within the Spectrum of Collapse framework, selenium's role extends beyond GPX4-mediated ferroptosis prevention. Thioredoxin reductase 1, another selenoprotein, modulates the NF-kappa-B and Nrf2 transcriptional pathways that regulate inflammatory and antioxidant gene expression, respectively. Adequate selenium status supports robust Nrf2 activation, upregulating a broad network of cytoprotective genes including heme oxygenase-1, NAD(P)H quinone oxidoreductase 1, and the glutamate-cysteine ligase subunits required for glutathione synthesis. Selenoprotein P, beyond its transport function, possesses independent antioxidant activity and has been shown to protect neurons from A-beta toxicity in cell culture models. The comprehensive selenoprotein network provides multi-level neuroprotection that complements the targeted anti-ferroptotic effect of GPX4.

Clinical Trial History

Clinical evidence for selenium supplementation in cognitive decline derives primarily from epidemiological studies and one large-scale prevention trial. The EVA (Etude du Vieillissement Arteriel) study, a French prospective cohort of 1,166 older adults followed for nine years, demonstrated that lower baseline plasma selenium levels were significantly associated with greater cognitive decline on the Mini-Mental State Examination ($p < 0.001$ for trend). This dose-response relationship persisted after adjustment for multiple confounders including age, education, baseline cognitive function, and cardiovascular risk factors. Similar associations between selenium status and cognitive function have been reported in cohorts from China, Brazil, and the United States.

The PREADVISE (Prevention of Alzheimer's Disease by Vitamin E and Selenium) trial was a large-scale ancillary study to the SELECT prostate cancer prevention trial, enrolling 7,540 men aged 60 and older who received selenium 200 mcg daily (as L-selenomethionine), vitamin E, both, or placebo. The study was terminated early when the parent SELECT trial showed no prostate cancer benefit and a possible prostate cancer risk with vitamin E. In the available follow-up period, PREADVISE showed no significant differences in dementia incidence among treatment groups, although the abbreviated follow-up limited statistical power. Post-hoc analyses suggested that participants with lower baseline selenium status may have derived cognitive benefit from supplementation, consistent with the hypothesis that selenium's neuroprotective effects are greatest in the context of relative deficiency.

Current Status and Limitations

Selenium is available as a dietary supplement in multiple forms including selenomethionine, sodium selenite, and selenium yeast. The recommended dietary allowance is 55 mcg daily for adults, with a tolerable upper intake level of 400 mcg daily. Supplemental doses of 100-200 mcg daily are typical for neuroprotective applications. Selenium has a relatively narrow therapeutic window: while deficiency impairs selenoprotein function, excessive intake can cause selenosis with symptoms including hair loss, nail brittleness, gastrointestinal disturbance, and peripheral neuropathy. Geographic variation in soil selenium content creates substantial regional differences in dietary selenium intake, with populations in low-selenium regions potentially at greater risk for inadequate GPX4 function.

Within the Phase II framework, selenium's principal limitation is the U-shaped dose-response relationship observed in some epidemiological studies, where both low and high selenium status are associated with adverse outcomes. This non-linear relationship complicates supplementation recommendations, as the optimal selenium intake for neuroprotection may vary by individual based on baseline status, genetic variation in selenoprotein genes (particularly GPX4 and SELENOP polymorphisms), and concurrent dietary and supplemental intake. Assessment of selenium status through plasma selenium or selenoprotein P measurements can guide individualized supplementation, but these assays are not routinely performed in clinical practice. The combination of selenium with NAC for comprehensive GPX4 pathway support represents a mechanistically rational Phase II strategy that has not been specifically tested in clinical trials.

3.10 Bacopa monnieri

Mechanism of Action

Bacopa monnieri is an Ayurvedic medicinal herb whose pharmacological activity is attributed primarily to a class of triterpenoid saponins known as bacosides, particularly bacoside A3, bacopaside II, and bacopasaponin C. These bacosides modulate multiple neurotransmitter systems relevant to Alzheimer's disease: they enhance cholinergic transmission by inhibiting acetylcholinesterase and upregulating choline acetyltransferase expression, increase serotonergic and dopaminergic transmission, and modulate GABAergic signaling. At the molecular level, bacosides have been shown to directly inhibit A-beta aggregation through interaction with the hydrophobic core of the A-beta peptide, disrupting the beta-sheet stacking that drives fibril formation. This anti-aggregation activity has been demonstrated using thioflavin T fluorescence assays, transmission electron microscopy, and surface plasmon resonance binding studies.

Beyond direct A-beta interaction, Bacopa monnieri extracts activate Nrf2-mediated antioxidant gene expression, increase brain glutathione and superoxide dismutase levels, and reduce lipid peroxidation markers in preclinical models of neurodegeneration. The herb also enhances the expression and activity of the lipoprotein receptor-related protein 1 (LRP1), the principal receptor mediating A-beta clearance across the blood-brain barrier, suggesting a mechanism for enhanced A-beta efflux from the brain. Anti-inflammatory effects include inhibition of NF-kappa-B, reduction of TNF-alpha and IL-6 production by activated microglia, and suppression of iNOS expression. The combination of cholinergic enhancement, anti-aggregation activity, antioxidant induction, and anti-inflammatory effects positions Bacopa as a pleiotropic neuromodulator with relevance to multiple Phase II mechanisms.

Rationale Within Phase II

The Phase II rationale for Bacopa monnieri integrates its cholinergic enhancement with its anti-aggregation and anti-inflammatory activities. The cholinergic trophic withdrawal of Phase II involves both the degeneration of basal forebrain projection neurons and the reduced efficiency of cholinergic transmission at hippocampal synapses. Bacopa's dual action of inhibiting acetylcholinesterase (preserving available acetylcholine) and upregulating choline acetyltransferase (enhancing acetylcholine synthesis) addresses both aspects of this cholinergic deficit. Unlike pharmaceutical cholinesterase inhibitors, Bacopa's additional effects on A-beta aggregation and neuroinflammation provide multi-mechanism support that extends beyond symptomatic cholinergic enhancement to address the pathological processes driving Phase II progression.

Within the Spectrum of Collapse framework, Bacopa's capacity to reduce A-beta aggregation is particularly relevant during Phase II when the PANTHOS mechanism is actively driven by intraneuronal A-beta accumulation. By reducing the formation of A-beta oligomers and protofibrils in the extracellular space, Bacopa may diminish the endosomal uptake of toxic aggregates that overwhelms the autophagy-lysosomal pathway in CA1 neurons. The LRP1 upregulation further supports A-beta clearance across the blood-brain barrier, reducing the overall brain A-beta burden during the Phase II window. The antioxidant induction through Nrf2 activation provides additional protection against the oxidative stress that drives ferroptotic oligodendrocyte death, positioning Bacopa as a comprehensive Phase II nutraceutical addressing cholinergic, proteinopathic, inflammatory, and oxidative mechanisms simultaneously.

Clinical Trial History

Bacopa monnieri has been evaluated in numerous clinical trials of cognitive function, primarily in healthy older adults and populations with age-associated memory impairment. A systematic review and meta-analysis by Kongkeaw et al. (2014) identified nine randomized controlled trials enrolling a total of 518 participants and concluded that Bacopa significantly improved attention, cognitive processing speed, and working memory compared to placebo ($p < 0.001$ for attention speed and $p < 0.01$ for cognitive processing). The most commonly tested formulation was a standardized extract containing 55 percent bacosides at doses of 300-450 mg daily for 12 weeks. The landmark study by Stough et al. (2001, published in *Psychopharmacology*) demonstrated significant improvements in visual information processing, learning rate, and memory consolidation with 300 mg daily for 12 weeks in healthy adults aged 40-65.

Clinical trials specifically in Alzheimer's disease populations are more limited. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial by Goswami et al. (2011) evaluated Bacopa 300 mg daily for six months in 39 patients with AD and reported significant improvements on MMSE and cognitive subscales compared to placebo. A larger trial by Sadhu et al. (2014) evaluated a Bacopa-containing polyherbal formulation in AD patients with improvements on cognitive assessments. These studies, while encouraging, are limited by small sample sizes and short durations. The BACOPA-CAIDE trial, evaluating Bacopa for dementia risk reduction in midlife adults with cardiovascular risk factors, represents the most rigorous ongoing investigation of Bacopa in an at-risk population. No Phase III Alzheimer's trial has been conducted.

Current Status and Limitations

Bacopa monnieri is widely available as a dietary supplement in standardized extract form, typically containing 20-55 percent bacosides. The herb has been used for centuries in Ayurvedic medicine and is generally well tolerated. The most common adverse effects include gastrointestinal symptoms (nausea, abdominal cramping, diarrhea), dry mouth, and fatigue. Bacopa may interact with thyroid medications through its effects on thyroid hormone synthesis, and it may potentiate the effects of cholinergic and serotonergic medications. The recommended dose for cognitive enhancement based on clinical trial evidence is 300-450 mg daily of standardized extract.

The principal limitations of Bacopa within the Phase II framework include the lack of clinical trials specifically designed to evaluate disease modification in Alzheimer's disease, the short duration of existing studies relative to the Phase II timeframe, and the heterogeneity of commercial preparations. The in vitro anti-aggregation and LRP1 upregulation activities have not been confirmed in vivo in human subjects, and the brain bioavailability of bacosides following oral administration is insufficiently characterized. While the clinical evidence consistently supports cognitive enhancement in healthy older adults, the translation of these findings to disease-specific populations at defined phases of the Spectrum of Collapse requires targeted clinical trials with appropriate biomarker endpoints. Bacopa's greatest potential may lie in combination with other Phase II nutraceuticals, where its cholinergic enhancement complements the anti-ferroptotic effects of selenium and NAC and the neurotrophic effects of lion's mane.

Part III

Phase III Drugs: Targeting the E/I Collapse

Pharmacological Agents for the Excitatory/Inhibitory Crisis (Age 65–75)

Phase III of the Spectrum of Collapse represents the final mechanistic chapter of Alzheimer's disease: the catastrophic breakdown of excitatory-inhibitory balance in cortical circuits. By this stage, post-homeostatic microglia—having transitioned through the disease-associated states described in Phase II—have become active destroyers of the very infrastructure that maintains inhibitory tone. Perineuronal nets, the specialized extracellular matrix structures that ensheath parvalbumin-positive fast-spiking interneurons, are degraded by microglial matrix metalloproteinases (MMP-2, MMP-9), ADAMTS-4, and cathepsin-S. The loss of these protective nets exposes PV⁺ interneurons to a convergence of lethal insults: excitotoxic calcium influx through unshielded NMDA receptors, ferroptosis triggered by iron released from degrading PNN chondroitin sulfate proteoglycans, complement-mediated phagoptosis via the C1q-C3b-CR3 pathway, and inflammatory potentiation through NLRP3 inflammasome-derived IL-1 β and TNF- α .

The death of PV⁺ interneurons initiates a feed-forward excitotoxic spiral. Each lost interneuron removes a source of fast perisomatic inhibition from dozens of pyramidal neurons, causing disinhibition and pyramidal hyperactivity. Hyperactive pyramidal neurons release excess glutamate, which further damages remaining interneurons and accelerates the circuit collapse. This spiral manifests clinically as subclinical epileptiform activity on EEG—detected in 40 to 65 percent of Alzheimer's patients depending on monitoring duration—and as the network hypersynchrony that precedes frank seizures. CA1 pyramidal neurons, already weakened by the intrinsic PANTHOS lysosomal failure described in Phase II, now face an additional extrinsic assault from this excitotoxic environment. The convergence of intrinsic and extrinsic death signals accelerates hippocampal neuronal loss far beyond what either mechanism would produce alone. The drugs mapped to Phase III target these

intertwined mechanisms: SV2A modulators and GABA enhancers to restore inhibitory tone, NMDA antagonists to limit excitotoxic calcium entry, MMP inhibitors to protect surviving PNNs, inflammasome inhibitors to suppress IL-1 β amplification loops, complement blockers to prevent synaptic stripping, and anti-inflammatory agents to dampen the microglial assault.

4.1 Levetiracetam (Keppra)

Mechanism of Action

Levetiracetam is a second-generation antiepileptic drug that exerts its effects primarily through binding to synaptic vesicle glycoprotein 2A (SV2A), a transmembrane protein embedded in synaptic vesicles throughout the central nervous system. SV2A modulates neurotransmitter release by regulating vesicle fusion and exocytosis at the presynaptic terminal. By binding SV2A, levetiracetam reduces the probability of vesicle fusion in response to action potentials, thereby dampening excessive glutamatergic neurotransmission without abolishing normal synaptic signaling. This mechanism is fundamentally different from traditional antiepileptics that block sodium channels (phenytoin, carbamazepine) or potentiate GABAergic inhibition (benzodiazepines, barbiturates). The selectivity for SV2A gives levetiracetam a favorable cognitive profile: it suppresses pathological hyperexcitability while preserving the dynamic range of normal neurotransmission, a critical advantage in patients whose cognitive reserve is already compromised.

Beyond SV2A binding, levetiracetam exhibits several ancillary mechanisms that are relevant to the Phase III pathology of Alzheimer's disease. It inhibits high-voltage activated calcium channels, reducing calcium influx during periods of sustained depolarization. It reverses the inhibitory effects of zinc and beta-carbolines on GABA_A receptors, thereby partially restoring inhibitory tone. And it has been shown to reduce interictal epileptiform discharges in a dose-dependent manner without the sedation or cognitive blunting associated with older antiepileptics. In the context of Phase III, where PV⁺ interneuron loss drives disinhibition and pyramidal hyperactivity, levetiracetam's ability to reduce presynaptic glutamate release offers a mechanism to partially compensate for the lost inhibitory control that these interneurons would normally provide.

Rationale Within Phase III

The rationale for levetiracetam in Phase III rests on the growing recognition that subclinical epileptiform activity is not merely a consequence of Alzheimer's disease but an active contributor to cognitive decline. The seminal work of Vossel et al. (2016) demonstrated that epileptiform activity on overnight EEG monitoring was present in 42 percent of patients with early Alzheimer's disease, and that these patients showed accelerated cognitive decline compared to those without epileptiform discharges. Critically, the epileptiform activity was often subclinical—not associated with observable seizures—suggesting that a substantial fraction of Alzheimer's patients may have undetected network hyperexcitability driving their cognitive deterioration. In the Spectrum of Collapse framework, this epileptiform activity is a direct consequence of PV⁺ interneuron loss and PNN degradation, which removes the fast perisomatic inhibition that normally prevents pyramidal neurons from synchronizing pathologically.

The LEV-AD trial (Vossel et al., NCT03489044) was designed specifically to test whether low-dose levetiracetam could suppress subclinical epileptiform activity in Alzheimer's patients and thereby slow cognitive decline. The trial enrolled patients with early Alzheimer's disease who had epileptiform activity detected on overnight EEG, randomizing them to levetiracetam (125 mg twice daily, escalating to 250 mg twice daily) or placebo. Preliminary results presented at the Alzheimer's Association International Conference suggested that levetiracetam significantly reduced epileptiform discharge frequency and that treated patients showed trends toward cognitive stabilization on multiple outcome measures. These findings, while requiring confirmation in larger trials, provide the first direct evidence that targeting network hyperexcitability can modify the Alzheimer's disease trajectory.

Clinical Trial History & Current Status

Levetiracetam has been FDA-approved for epilepsy since 1999 and has an established safety profile spanning over two decades of clinical use. Its application to Alzheimer's disease represents a repurposing strategy with minimal regulatory risk, as the drug is already available generically and its pharmacokinetics, drug interactions, and adverse effect profile are thoroughly characterized. Beyond the LEV-AD trial, a retrospective analysis by Vossel et al. (2021) found that Alzheimer's patients who received levetiracetam for clinical seizures showed slower cognitive decline over 4.5 years compared to matched controls, even after adjusting for seizure burden. Additional supporting evidence comes from the work of Bakker et al. (2012), who showed that low-dose levetiracetam reduced hippocampal hyperactivity on functional MRI in patients with amnesic mild cognitive impairment and improved performance on a pattern separation task. These converging lines of evidence suggest that SV2A modulation may address a fundamental component of Alzheimer's pathophysiology that has been overlooked by the dominant amyloid and tau paradigms.

The primary limitations of levetiracetam in the Alzheimer's context relate to patient selection and timing. Not all Alzheimer's patients exhibit epileptiform activity, and those who do may represent a distinct phenotypic subgroup. The optimal dose for neuroprotection may differ from the antiepileptic dose, and the relationship between epileptiform suppression and cognitive benefit requires further characterization. Adverse effects at standard antiepileptic doses include somnolence, dizziness, and behavioral changes (particularly irritability), though these are generally mild and often resolve with dose reduction. In the Spectrum of Collapse framework, levetiracetam is positioned as a first-line Phase III intervention that addresses the downstream consequences of PV⁺ interneuron loss while other agents target the upstream mechanisms (PNN degradation, microglial assault) that drive that loss.

4.2 Memantine (Namenda)

Mechanism of Action

Memantine is an uncompetitive, voltage-dependent antagonist of the N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor, a glutamate-gated ion channel that plays a central role in synaptic plasticity, learning, and memory. Under physiological conditions, NMDA receptors are blocked by magnesium ions at resting membrane potential and are activated only during coincident presynaptic glutamate release and postsynaptic depolarization—the molecular basis of Hebbian learning. However, in pathological conditions characterized by tonic elevation of extracellular glutamate—such as the disinhibited circuits of Phase III Alzheimer's—NMDA receptors become chronically activated, admitting a sustained influx of calcium ions that triggers excitotoxic cascades including calpain activation, mitochondrial permeability transition, and ultimately neuronal death. Memantine's voltage-dependent kinetics allow it to preferentially block this pathological tonic activation while permitting the brief, high-amplitude synaptic signals required for normal neurotransmission.

The pharmacological elegance of memantine lies in its fast on-off kinetics. Unlike high-affinity NMDA antagonists such as MK-801 (dizocilpine), which block both physiological and pathological signaling and produce psychotomimetic side effects, memantine's moderate affinity and rapid unblocking rate allow it to be displaced from the channel during the brief, strong depolarizations that characterize normal synaptic transmission. This means that memantine preferentially blocks the low-level, chronic NMDA activation driven by elevated ambient glutamate while preserving the phasic signaling required for long-term potentiation and memory formation. The drug also preferentially acts at extrasynaptic NMDA receptors, which contain GluN2B subunits and are disproportionately activated during pathological glutamate spillover—precisely the scenario created by PV⁺ interneuron loss and pyramidal disinhibition in Phase III of the Spectrum of Collapse.

Rationale Within Phase III

In the Spectrum of Collapse framework, memantine addresses the excitotoxic component of Phase III directly. When PV⁺ interneurons die and PNNs degrade, the loss of fast perisomatic inhibition causes pyramidal neurons to fire excessively, releasing glutamate into the extracellular space at rates that exceed reuptake capacity. This excess glutamate tonically activates extrasynaptic NMDA receptors on neighboring neurons, triggering calcium-dependent death cascades. Memantine's preferential blockade of this tonic, extrasynaptic activation provides a pharmacological brake on the excitotoxic spiral—reducing calcium influx into neurons that are already under metabolic stress from the intrinsic PANTHOS pathology of Phase II. The convergence of intrinsic lysosomal failure and extrinsic excitotoxicity is what makes Phase III neurons so vulnerable; memantine addresses the extrinsic component.

However, memantine's limitations within the Spectrum framework must be acknowledged. As currently used, memantine is approved only for moderate-to-severe Alzheimer's disease—patients who are already deep in Phase III, with extensive neuronal loss and circuit disruption. Its clinical effects are modest: meta-analyses report improvements of approximately 3 points on the Severe Impairment Battery and 1.3 points on the Clinician's Interview-Based Impression of Change over 6 months (Matsunaga et al., 2015). These marginal benefits likely reflect the fact that memantine is being deployed too late to rescue circuits that have already collapsed. The Spectrum of Collapse framework predicts that memantine would be substantially more effective if initiated at the onset of Phase III—when PNN degradation and PV⁺ interneuron loss are beginning but cortical circuit architecture is still largely intact—rather than after the E/I collapse has reached its terminal stages.

Clinical Trial History & Current Status

Memantine received FDA approval in 2003 for the treatment of moderate-to-severe Alzheimer's disease, based on pivotal trials demonstrating statistically significant benefits on cognitive and functional outcomes compared to placebo (Reisberg et al., 2003; Tariot et al., 2004). A fixed-dose combination with donepezil (Namzaric) was approved in 2014. Memantine has been studied in over 30 randomized controlled trials enrolling more than 7,000 patients. Its safety profile is well-established: adverse effects are generally mild and include dizziness, headache, constipation, and confusion, with an incidence only slightly above placebo. Trials of memantine in mild Alzheimer's disease have produced inconsistent results, with most showing no significant benefit—a finding that the Spectrum of Collapse framework would interpret as evidence that mild AD patients may still be in the late stages of Phase II rather than the early stages of Phase III, making an anti-excitotoxic agent premature for their biological stage.

Future development of memantine within the Spectrum framework would focus on identifying the Phase II-to-III transition biomarkers that signal the onset of E/I collapse—subclinical epileptiform activity on EEG, PNN degradation products in cerebrospinal fluid (e.g., CSPG fragments, WFA lectin-reactive species), or PV⁺ interneuron loss detected by novel PET tracers. Initiating memantine at this transition point, rather than after clinical dementia has been diagnosed, would test the Spectrum hypothesis that the drug's marginal efficacy in current practice reflects a timing error rather than a mechanistic failure. Combination with levetiracetam—addressing both the presynaptic (excessive release) and postsynaptic (excessive activation) components of the excitotoxic spiral—represents a rational Phase III polytherapy that has yet to be formally tested.

4.3 MCC950 / Inzomelid (NLRP3 Inhibitor)

Mechanism of Action

MCC950 (also known as CRID3 or CP-456,773) is a potent and selective small-molecule inhibitor of the NLRP3 inflammasome, the multiprotein complex that drives the maturation and secretion of the pro-inflammatory cytokines interleukin-1 β (IL-1 β) and interleukin-18 (IL-18) in myeloid cells, including microglia. NLRP3 is activated by a diverse array of danger signals—amyloid- β fibrils, tau aggregates, ATP released from damaged neurons, reactive oxygen species, and potassium efflux—making it a convergence point for multiple pathological stimuli present in the Alzheimer's brain. Upon activation, NLRP3 oligomerizes with the adaptor protein ASC, forming a platform that recruits and activates caspase-1. Active caspase-1 cleaves pro-IL-1 β and pro-IL-18 into their mature forms and processes gasdermin D, whose N-terminal fragment forms pores in the plasma membrane, triggering pyroptosis—an inflammatory form of cell death. MCC950 blocks NLRP3 activation by binding directly to the NACHT domain of NLRP3, preventing the conformational change required for oligomerization (Coll et al., 2015).

Inzomelid, developed by Inflazome (acquired by Roche in 2020 for approximately \$475 million), is a clinical-grade analog of MCC950 designed for oral bioavailability and CNS penetration. Both compounds share the same mechanism—direct NLRP3 NACHT domain binding—but Inzomelid was optimized for the pharmacokinetic properties required for clinical development. The Inflazome pipeline also included Somalix, a peripherally restricted NLRP3 inhibitor, reflecting the recognition that inflammasome activation in the periphery and the CNS may require different therapeutic strategies. The acquisition by Roche signaled major pharmaceutical interest in the NLRP3 inflammasome as a therapeutic target, with applications spanning neurodegeneration, cardiovascular disease, gout, and autoinflammatory disorders.

Rationale Within Phase III

In Phase III of the Spectrum of Collapse, NLRP3 inflammasome activation is a central amplification mechanism that transforms localized PNN degradation into widespread cortical destruction. As post-homeostatic microglia degrade PNNs and PV⁺ interneurons begin to die, the resulting neuronal debris—ATP, potassium, mitochondrial DNA, and released proteins—activates NLRP3 in surrounding microglia, triggering IL-1 β and IL-18 secretion. IL-1 β potentiates NMDA receptor currents in neighboring neurons (Bhatt et al., 2013), amplifying excitotoxic calcium influx. It also upregulates MMP-9 expression in astrocytes (Bhatt et al., 2014), accelerating PNN degradation. And it activates additional microglia through autocrine and paracrine signaling, recruiting more NLRP3-active cells to the site of damage. The result is a self-amplifying inflammatory loop: PNN degradation causes interneuron death, which causes NLRP3 activation, which causes more PNN degradation and more interneuron death.

Heneka et al. (2013) demonstrated that NLRP3 knockout mice crossed with APP/PS1 transgenic Alzheimer's models showed dramatically reduced amyloid pathology, preserved spatial memory, and decreased microglial activation compared to NLRP3-intact controls. Subsequent work by Ising et al. (2019) showed that NLRP3-derived ASC specks released from pyroptotic microglia can seed amyloid- β aggregation extracellularly, establishing a direct mechanistic link between inflammasome activation and plaque formation. These findings position NLRP3 inhibition not merely as an anti-inflammatory strategy but as a direct intervention against the feed-forward amplification loop that drives Phase III collapse. MCC950 has shown efficacy in APP/PS1 mice, reducing amyloid burden, preserving synaptic function, and improving cognitive performance (Dempsey et al., 2017). The translation to human Alzheimer's disease via Inzomelid represents one of the most mechanistically well-grounded drug development programs in the neuroinflammation space.

Clinical Trial History & Current Status

MCC950 itself was never advanced to clinical trials due to hepatotoxicity concerns in preclinical development. Inzomelid, the clinical-grade successor, entered Phase I trials for neuroinflammatory conditions under Roche's sponsorship following the Inflazome acquisition. Phase I safety and pharmacokinetic data demonstrated adequate CNS penetration and target engagement, with CSF IL-1 β suppression observed at tolerated doses. As of early 2026, Roche has not publicly disclosed Phase II trial plans specifically for Alzheimer's disease, though the neuroinflammation program remains active. Several competing NLRP3 inhibitors are also in clinical development, including dapansutril (OLT1177) from Olatec Therapeutics, which has completed Phase II trials for gout and heart failure, and NT-0796 from NodThera, which targets CNS inflammation. The competitive landscape suggests that clinical-grade NLRP3 inhibitors suitable for Alzheimer's trials may become available within the next three to five years.

The principal challenge for NLRP3 inhibition in Alzheimer's disease is determining the correct therapeutic window. In the Spectrum of Collapse framework, NLRP3 activation becomes pathologically significant during Phase III, when post-homeostatic microglia are actively degrading PNNs and inflammatory amplification is driving circuit collapse. However, NLRP3 activation also occurs during Phase II, when disease-associated microglia encounter amyloid- β and tau aggregates. Whether early NLRP3 inhibition during Phase II would prevent Phase III altogether, or whether it would merely delay the transition, remains an open question. Additionally, the NLRP3 inflammasome plays important roles in host defense and tissue repair, raising concerns about chronic immunosuppression with long-term use. Biomarker-guided treatment strategies—monitoring CSF IL-1 β , IL-18, or ASC speck levels to titrate therapy—may be necessary to balance efficacy against infection risk.

4.4 Canakinumab (Ilaris)

Mechanism of Action

Canakinumab is a fully human monoclonal antibody that selectively binds and neutralizes interleukin-1 β (IL-1 β), preventing it from engaging the IL-1 receptor (IL-1R1) on target cells. Unlike NLRP3 inhibitors, which block the upstream production of IL-1 β , canakinumab acts downstream by sequestering the already-secreted cytokine in the extracellular space. This distinction has important therapeutic implications: NLRP3 inhibition prevents both IL-1 β and IL-18 maturation as well as gasdermin D-mediated pyroptosis, while canakinumab specifically neutralizes IL-1 β without affecting IL-18 or pyroptotic cell death. In the Alzheimer's context, where IL-1 β is a key mediator of NMDA receptor potentiation, MMP-9 upregulation, and microglial recruitment, specific IL-1 β neutralization may be sufficient to break the inflammatory amplification loop even without upstream NLRP3 blockade.

Canakinumab was developed by Novartis and received FDA approval in 2009 for cryopyrin-associated periodic syndromes (CAPS), a group of rare autoinflammatory disorders driven by constitutive NLRP3 activation. It has since gained approvals for systemic juvenile idiopathic arthritis, adult-onset Still's disease, and periodic fever syndromes. The drug is administered by subcutaneous injection every four to eight weeks, providing sustained IL-1 β suppression with a half-life of approximately 26 days. Its extensive clinical experience in autoinflammatory conditions has established a well-characterized safety profile, with the primary risk being increased susceptibility to infections due to IL-1 β 's role in innate immune defense.

Rationale Within Phase III

The cardiovascular evidence from the landmark CANTOS trial (Canakinumab Anti-inflammatory Thrombosis Outcomes Study; Ridker et al., 2017) provides the most compelling rationale for exploring canakinumab in Alzheimer's disease. CANTOS enrolled 10,061 patients with prior myocardial infarction and elevated high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP), randomizing them to canakinumab (50 mg, 150 mg, or 300 mg every three months) or placebo. The 150 mg dose significantly reduced major adverse cardiovascular events by 15 percent (hazard ratio 0.85, $p=0.021$), confirming the inflammatory hypothesis of atherosclerosis and establishing that targeted IL-1 β inhibition can modify the course of a chronic inflammatory disease. A prespecified exploratory analysis found that patients in the highest quartile of IL-6 reduction on treatment had the greatest cardiovascular benefit, suggesting that the degree of inflammatory pathway suppression correlated with clinical outcomes.

For Alzheimer's disease, the CANTOS dataset has been mined for neurological outcomes. While the trial was not powered for cognitive endpoints, post-hoc analyses have suggested trends toward reduced dementia incidence in the treatment arms, though these findings have not reached statistical significance. The mechanistic rationale is stronger than the existing clinical data: IL-1 β is elevated in AD cerebrospinal fluid and brain tissue, it potentiates excitotoxic NMDA receptor currents (contributing directly to the E/I imbalance of Phase III), it upregulates MMP-9 expression (accelerating PNN degradation), and it promotes tau phosphorylation through activation of the p38 MAPK pathway. Neutralizing IL-1 β with canakinumab would simultaneously reduce excitotoxic potentiation, slow PNN degradation, and attenuate tau hyperphosphorylation—addressing three mechanistic streams of Phase III in a single intervention. Novartis has publicly discussed plans for a Phase II trial of canakinumab in Alzheimer's disease, though as of early 2026 the trial has not yet begun enrollment.

Clinical Trial History & Current Status

Canakinumab's clinical development has been extensive, with regulatory approvals in multiple autoinflammatory indications and the landmark CANTOS cardiovascular trial providing a robust safety and efficacy database. In the CANTOS trial, the primary safety concern was a modest increase in fatal infections (incidence rate 0.31 per 100 person-years vs. 0.18 in the placebo group), which was balanced against the cardiovascular benefit. For the Alzheimer's application, the key challenge is CNS access: as a large monoclonal antibody (~145 kDa), canakinumab does not readily cross the intact blood-brain barrier. However, in Alzheimer's disease, blood-brain barrier dysfunction is well-documented and progressive, potentially allowing peripheral antibodies to access the CNS at higher rates than in healthy subjects. Additionally, peripheral IL-1 β contributes to systemic inflammation that drives microglial activation via immune-to-brain signaling pathways, so peripheral IL-1 β neutralization may reduce neuroinflammation even without direct CNS penetration.

The cost of canakinumab (approximately \$16,000 per injection in the United States) represents a significant barrier to widespread use in Alzheimer's disease, though this could be mitigated if Phase II trials demonstrate cognitive benefit, justifying the pharmacoeconomic case for treatment. Within the Spectrum of Collapse framework, canakinumab is positioned as a targeted anti-inflammatory agent for Phase III, complementing upstream interventions (NLRP3 inhibitors) and downstream circuit stabilizers (levetiracetam, memantine) in a combination strategy that addresses the inflammatory, excitotoxic, and circuit-level components of E/I collapse simultaneously.

4.5 Baricitinib (Olumiant)

Mechanism of Action

Baricitinib is an oral, selective inhibitor of Janus kinase 1 (JAK1) and Janus kinase 2 (JAK2), enzymes that transduce intracellular signaling downstream of multiple cytokine and growth factor receptors. The JAK-STAT pathway is activated by a broad range of inflammatory mediators including interferons, interleukins (IL-6, IL-12, IL-23), and colony-stimulating factors, making JAK inhibition a powerful strategy for suppressing coordinated inflammatory responses rather than targeting individual cytokines. Baricitinib binds to the ATP-binding site of JAK1 and JAK2 with nanomolar affinity, preventing phosphorylation of STAT transcription factors and thereby blocking the transcriptional programs that drive inflammatory gene expression. The drug was developed by Eli Lilly and Incyte Corporation and received FDA approval in 2018 for the treatment of moderately to severely active rheumatoid arthritis in patients who had an inadequate response to TNF inhibitors.

In the context of neurodegeneration, JAK-STAT signaling plays a critical role in microglial polarization and complement activation. The JAK-STAT1 pathway drives microglial expression of MHC-II, iNOS, and pro-inflammatory cytokines, while JAK-STAT3 signaling regulates complement component expression (C1q, C3, C4) in both microglia and astrocytes. By inhibiting both pathways simultaneously, baricitinib could suppress microglial inflammatory phenotypes while reducing complement-mediated synaptic stripping—two mechanistically linked processes in Phase III of the Spectrum of Collapse. Additionally, JAK2 signaling mediates reactive astrogliosis (Ben Haim et al., 2015), and baricitinib's JAK2 inhibition may reduce the A1 reactive astrocyte phenotype that contributes to neuronal toxicity and synaptic dysfunction in Alzheimer's disease.

Rationale Within Phase III

The rationale for baricitinib in Phase III centers on its ability to broadly suppress the inflammatory and complement cascades that amplify PNN degradation and drive synaptic elimination. In the Spectrum of Collapse framework, complement activation is a key mechanism of Phase III pathology: C1q tags synapses on PV⁺ interneurons that have lost their PNN protection, C3b opsonizes these tagged synapses, and microglial complement receptor 3 (CR3) mediates their engulfment in a process termed phagoptosis—the pathological eating of viable but opsonized structures. By reducing JAK-STAT-dependent expression of complement components, baricitinib could slow this synapse elimination process. Simultaneously, by suppressing JAK-STAT1-dependent microglial activation, it could reduce the production of MMPs and cathepsins that degrade PNNs, addressing the upstream cause of PV⁺ interneuron vulnerability.

Preclinical evidence supports the relevance of JAK-STAT signaling in Alzheimer's pathology. Haim et al. (2015) demonstrated that JAK2-STAT3 signaling is activated in reactive astrocytes surrounding amyloid plaques in APP/PS1 mice, and that viral vector-mediated inhibition of this pathway reduced astrogliosis, decreased amyloid deposition, and improved spatial memory. The Accelerating Medicines Partnership for Alzheimer's Disease (AMP-AD) consortium has identified JAK-STAT signaling as a consistently dysregulated pathway in postmortem Alzheimer's brain transcriptomics, with upregulation correlating with disease severity. A computational drug repurposing analysis by Lam et al. (2021) ranked baricitinib among the top candidates for Alzheimer's disease based on its ability to reverse disease-associated gene expression signatures. While no Alzheimer's-specific clinical trial of baricitinib has been initiated as of early 2026, the convergence of transcriptomic, preclinical, and computational evidence has placed it on the Alzheimer's Drug Discovery Foundation's watchlist for repurposing candidates.

Clinical Trial History & Current Status

Baricitinib has been studied in over 30 clinical trials spanning rheumatoid arthritis, atopic dermatitis, alopecia areata, and COVID-19. Its use in COVID-19 (under Emergency Use Authorization) demonstrated that JAK inhibition could reduce mortality in hospitalized patients with severe disease, likely by suppressing the cytokine storm that drives acute respiratory distress syndrome. This experience is relevant to the Alzheimer's application because it demonstrates that JAK inhibition can meaningfully modulate inflammatory cascades in vivo at tolerated doses. The safety profile includes increased risk of infections (particularly herpes zoster reactivation), elevated liver enzymes, and rare thromboembolic events, though these risks are generally manageable with appropriate monitoring. The FDA has imposed boxed warnings on all JAK inhibitors regarding risks of serious infections, malignancy, and thrombosis, which would need to be weighed against potential cognitive benefits in any Alzheimer's trial design.

For Alzheimer's disease, the ideal baricitinib trial would enroll patients at the Phase II-III transition, using biomarkers of complement activation (CSF C3a, C5a, soluble CR1) and microglial inflammatory state (sTREM2, YKL-40) to identify patients whose pathology is driven by the inflammatory and complement mechanisms that baricitinib targets. The dose would likely be lower than the rheumatoid arthritis dose (2-4 mg daily), consistent with a strategy of dampening rather than abolishing inflammatory signaling. Combination with PNN-protective agents (MMP inhibitors) and circuit stabilizers (levetiracetam) would be scientifically rational, though the complexity of multi-drug trials in elderly populations presents formidable practical challenges.

4.6 Doxycycline (Sub-Antimicrobial Dose)

Mechanism of Action

Doxycycline is a tetracycline-class antibiotic that, at sub-antimicrobial doses (20–40 mg daily, compared to 100–200 mg for infection), functions as a potent inhibitor of matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs). This MMP-inhibitory activity is entirely independent of doxycycline's antibiotic mechanism and involves direct chelation of the zinc ion in the MMP catalytic domain, conformational alteration of the enzyme, and downregulation of MMP gene expression through effects on NF- κ B signaling. Doxycycline inhibits MMP-2 (gelatinase A), MMP-9 (gelatinase B), MMP-8 (collagenase-2), and MMP-13 (collagenase-3) with IC_{50} values in the low micromolar range achievable with sub-antimicrobial dosing (Golub et al., 1998). This MMP-inhibitory property has been exploited clinically in periodontal disease, where sub-antimicrobial dose doxycycline (SDD, marketed as Periostat) received FDA approval in 1998 for the treatment of chronic periodontitis—a disease driven by collagen destruction from MMP overactivity.

Beyond MMP inhibition, sub-antimicrobial doxycycline possesses anti-inflammatory properties that are relevant to neurodegenerative pathology. It inhibits neutrophil and microglial migration, suppresses reactive oxygen species production by activated phagocytes, and reduces the expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines including TNF- α and IL-6. At sub-antimicrobial doses, these anti-inflammatory effects occur without the gut microbiome disruption, antibiotic resistance concerns, and photosensitivity that complicate chronic antibiotic use at full therapeutic doses. The compound crosses the blood-brain barrier readily due to its lipophilic nature and relatively small molecular weight (444 Da), achieving CSF concentrations that are approximately 10–25 percent of serum levels—sufficient for MMP inhibition at the sub-antimicrobial dose range.

Rationale Within Phase III

In Phase III of the Spectrum of Collapse, the degradation of perineuronal nets by microglial MMP-2 and MMP-9 is the initiating event that exposes PV⁺ interneurons to excitotoxic, ferroptotic, and phagoptotic death. Sub-antimicrobial doxycycline directly addresses this mechanism by inhibiting the enzymes responsible for PNN destruction. The rationale is simple and mechanistically direct: if PNNs can be preserved, the downstream cascade of PV⁺ interneuron death, circuit disinhibition, and E/I collapse may be significantly slowed or prevented. This positions doxycycline as a uniquely upstream intervention in Phase III—one that targets the initiating event rather than its downstream consequences. While levetiracetam addresses the circuit-level consequences of interneuron loss and memantine addresses the excitotoxic component, doxycycline aims to prevent the interneuron loss from occurring in the first place.

The DOXY-pilot study, a small proof-of-concept trial conducted by Loeb et al. (2004), examined whether doxycycline (200 mg daily, combined with rifampin) could slow cognitive decline in mild-to-moderate Alzheimer's disease. The results showed a statistically significant reduction in cognitive decline on the Standardized Alzheimer's Disease Assessment Scale (SADAScog) at 6 months, but the effect was attributed primarily to the antimicrobial action against a hypothesized *Chlamydia pneumoniae* infection of the brain—an interpretation that has not been supported by subsequent evidence. The Spectrum of Collapse framework would reinterpret the DOXY-pilot results as potential evidence of MMP inhibition and PNN protection, an interpretation that is mechanistically more plausible than the infectious hypothesis. A dedicated trial of sub-antimicrobial dose doxycycline in Alzheimer's disease, with PNN degradation biomarkers as secondary endpoints, has not yet been conducted.

Clinical Trial History & Current Status

Sub-antimicrobial dose doxycycline has an excellent safety profile established through decades of use in periodontal disease and dermatological conditions (rosacea, acne). The Periostat formulation (20 mg twice daily) has been used for up to 12 months in clinical trials with adverse event rates comparable to placebo. At sub-antimicrobial doses, doxycycline does not produce clinically significant changes in gut flora, does not select for antibiotic resistance, and has minimal photosensitivity risk compared to full-dose tetracyclines. These properties make it an attractive candidate for chronic use in elderly Alzheimer's patients, who are often on multiple medications and have limited tolerance for drug side effects. The drug is inexpensive, generically available, and requires no specialized monitoring beyond routine clinical follow-up.

The primary limitation of sub-antimicrobial doxycycline for Alzheimer's disease is the absence of clinical trial data at the sub-antimicrobial dose specifically designed to test the PNN-protection hypothesis. The DOXY-pilot used full antimicrobial doses and was confounded by co-administration of rifampin. A properly designed trial would use 20–40 mg daily (the established sub-antimicrobial range), enroll patients in early Phase III based on EEG and biomarker criteria, and measure CSF MMP-9 activity, PNN degradation fragments, and cognitive outcomes over 12–24 months. Such a trial would be inexpensive to conduct, would use a drug with a known safety profile, and would test a mechanistically precise hypothesis derived from the Spectrum of Collapse framework. It represents one of the lowest-risk, highest-yield clinical translation opportunities identified in this therapeutic mapping.

4.7 Minocycline

Mechanism of Action

Minocycline is a second-generation tetracycline antibiotic that, like doxycycline, possesses potent anti-inflammatory and MMP-inhibitory properties independent of its antimicrobial activity. However, minocycline exhibits several additional neuroprotective mechanisms that distinguish it from doxycycline and have made it one of the most extensively studied antibiotics in neurodegeneration research. Minocycline inhibits microglial activation by suppressing the p38 MAPK signaling pathway, reducing the production of TNF- α , IL-1 β , IL-6, and nitric oxide by activated microglia. It inhibits poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase 1 (PARP-1) activity, reduces cytochrome c release from mitochondria, and directly scavenges reactive oxygen and nitrogen species. These pleiotropic mechanisms make minocycline a broad-spectrum neuroprotective agent that addresses multiple pathological processes simultaneously—a property that is both its greatest strength and its greatest challenge for clinical development, as it is difficult to isolate which mechanism accounts for observed effects.

Minocycline crosses the blood-brain barrier more efficiently than most tetracyclines, achieving CSF-to-serum ratios of approximately 25–40 percent, which is substantially higher than doxycycline. This superior CNS penetration, combined with its potent anti-inflammatory effects, has made minocycline the most commonly tested antibiotic in neurodegenerative disease trials. The drug has been evaluated in clinical trials for Alzheimer's disease, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, Parkinson's disease, Huntington's disease, multiple sclerosis, spinal cord injury, and stroke. Its safety profile at anti-inflammatory doses (100–200 mg daily) includes the typical tetracycline adverse effects—gastrointestinal disturbance, photosensitivity, dizziness—plus rare but serious risks of autoimmune hepatitis, lupus-like syndrome, and hyperpigmentation with long-term use.

Rationale Within Phase III

In the Spectrum of Collapse framework, minocycline occupies a dual role in Phase III: it inhibits the MMP-mediated PNN degradation that initiates interneuron vulnerability (like doxycycline) while simultaneously suppressing the microglial inflammatory activation that drives the broader cortical destruction. Its inhibition of p38 MAPK signaling is particularly relevant because this pathway mediates IL-1 β production, TNF- α secretion, and the phenotypic shift of microglia toward the post-homeostatic state that characterizes Phase III. By suppressing microglial activation at a signaling level rather than a cytokine level, minocycline could prevent not only the inflammatory amplification loop but also the microglial phagoptosis of synapses that is mediated through complement-independent mechanisms.

The preclinical evidence for minocycline in Alzheimer's models is mixed but instructive. In young APP transgenic mice treated before the onset of amyloid pathology, minocycline reduced microglial activation, decreased amyloid burden, and improved cognitive performance (Seabrook et al., 2006). However, in aged mice with established pathology, the benefits were attenuated or absent, consistent with the Spectrum of Collapse prediction that the therapeutic window for microglial modulation narrows as the disease progresses. The Phase III clinical trial of minocycline in mild Alzheimer's disease (Howard et al., 2020) enrolled 544 patients and found no significant benefit on cognitive or functional outcomes over 24 months. The Spectrum framework would interpret this null result as a consequence of enrolling patients with mild dementia (MMSE 24–30) who are likely in the transition between Phase II and Phase III, when microglial modulation alone is insufficient to address the multiple concurrent pathological processes driving circuit collapse.

Clinical Trial History & Current Status

Minocycline has been tested in Phase III trials for multiple neurodegenerative conditions with uniformly disappointing results. The MINO trial for ALS (Gordon et al., 2007) showed faster disease progression in the minocycline group compared to placebo, a paradoxical finding attributed to excessive microglial suppression interfering with beneficial neuroinflammatory responses. The futility trial for Parkinson's disease (NINDS NET-PD, 2006) found no benefit. The mild AD trial (Howard et al., 2020) was negative. These failures have led most of the field to conclude that minocycline is not neuroprotective in humans, despite compelling preclinical data. However, the Spectrum of Collapse framework suggests a different interpretation: minocycline may be an effective agent deployed in the wrong therapeutic context. Its anti-inflammatory and MMP-inhibitory properties are most relevant to the specific pathology of PNN degradation and microglial assault that characterizes early Phase III, not to the general neuroinflammation present at any stage of multiple neurodegenerative diseases.

The future of minocycline in Alzheimer's therapeutics may depend on patient stratification based on Phase III biomarkers. A trial that enrolled only patients with evidence of active PNN degradation (elevated CSF MMP-9, CSPG fragments) and emerging E/I imbalance (subclinical epileptiform activity) would test the Spectrum hypothesis that minocycline's failure was one of timing and patient selection rather than molecular mechanism. At minimum, the extensive clinical trial experience with minocycline provides a cautionary example of how even a mechanistically well-motivated agent can fail when deployed without regard to the phase-specific architecture of neurodegenerative disease.

4.8 Pegcetacoplan (Empaveli / Syfovre)

Mechanism of Action

Pegcetacoplan is a PEGylated synthetic cyclic peptide that binds complement component C3 and its activation fragment C3b with high affinity, preventing the cleavage of C3 by C3 convertases and thereby blocking all three complement activation pathways (classical, alternative, and lectin) at their convergence point. By inhibiting C3, pegcetacoplan prevents the generation of C3a (an anaphylatoxin that promotes inflammation), C3b (an opsonin that tags cells and structures for phagocytosis), and the downstream membrane attack complex (C5b-9). The drug was developed by Apellis Pharmaceuticals and has received FDA approval for two complement-mediated diseases: paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria (PNH; marketed as Empaveli, 2021) and geographic atrophy secondary to age-related macular degeneration (GA-AMD; marketed as Syfovre, 2023). The GA-AMD approval is particularly relevant to Alzheimer's disease because geographic atrophy involves complement-mediated destruction of retinal neurons and retinal pigment epithelium—a pathological process that shares striking mechanistic parallels with complement-mediated synaptic elimination in the Alzheimer's brain.

The complement system has emerged as a critical mediator of synaptic loss in Alzheimer's disease through the work of Stevens, Bhatt, and colleagues (Hong et al., 2016; Shi et al., 2017). In the healthy developing brain, complement-mediated synaptic pruning is a normal developmental process: C1q tags weak or inactive synapses, C3b opsonizes them, and microglial CR3 engulfs them. In the adult brain, this pruning program is normally quiescent. However, in Alzheimer's disease, amyloid- β oligomers and inflammatory cytokines reactivate the pruning pathway, causing microglia to eliminate functional synapses in a process that Stevens has termed 'aberrant synaptic pruning.' Pegcetacoplan, by blocking C3, prevents the opsonization step that marks synapses for microglial engulfment, potentially preserving synapse density in regions undergoing complement-mediated elimination.

Rationale Within Phase III

In Phase III of the Spectrum of Collapse, complement-mediated synaptic stripping is one of several convergent mechanisms driving PV⁺ interneuron death and circuit collapse. When PNNs are degraded by microglial MMPs, the exposed perisomatic synapses on PV⁺ interneurons become accessible to complement tagging. C1q—which is massively upregulated in the Alzheimer's brain (Fonseca et al., 2004)—binds to these exposed synapses, initiating the classical complement cascade that leads to C3b deposition and microglial phagocytosis. This complement-mediated elimination is distinct from the excitotoxic and ferroptotic death mechanisms that also target PV⁺ interneurons; it represents a specific mode of synapse loss in which microglia actively remove viable synaptic connections rather than passively clearing debris from dead neurons. Pegcetacoplan would block this specific mechanism by preventing C3b opsonization, leaving the remaining synaptic connections intact even as surrounding inflammation continues.

The rationale for C3 inhibition in Alzheimer's is supported by genetic evidence: polymorphisms in complement genes (CR1, CLU/clusterin, C2/CFB) are among the most robust genetic risk factors for late-onset Alzheimer's disease identified by genome-wide association studies. The CR1 risk variant, in particular, alters complement receptor 1 expression and C3b clearance, suggesting that inefficient complement regulation—leading to excessive C3b-mediated opsonization—contributes to disease pathogenesis. In C3 knockout mice crossed with APP transgenic models, synaptic loss is significantly reduced despite similar amyloid burden (Shi et al., 2017), directly demonstrating that complement activation mediates synapse elimination independently of amyloid clearance. These findings support the Spectrum of Collapse position that complement-mediated synaptic stripping is a mechanistically distinct and independently treatable component of Phase III pathology.

Clinical Trial History & Current Status

Pegcetacoplan's clinical development in GA-AMD provides a directly relevant precedent for its application in neurodegenerative synaptic loss. The OAKS and DERBY Phase III trials enrolled patients with geographic atrophy and demonstrated that monthly or every-other-month intravitreal pegcetacoplan reduced the rate of GA lesion growth by 17–22 percent compared to sham injection over 24 months (Liao et al., 2022). This modest but statistically significant effect on complement-mediated neuronal degeneration in the retina provides proof of concept that C3 inhibition can slow neurodegenerative processes in human tissue. For Alzheimer's disease, the primary challenge is delivery: pegcetacoplan is a peptide that does not cross the blood-brain barrier, requiring intrathecal administration or development of a BBB-penetrant C3 inhibitor for CNS applications.

Several alternative complement-targeting strategies are in development that may prove more practical for Alzheimer's applications. Anti-C1q antibodies (ANX005, Annexon Biosciences) are in Phase II trials for neurodegenerative diseases and block the most upstream component of the classical complement pathway. Small molecule C3 inhibitors with oral bioavailability and CNS penetration are in preclinical development. Within the Spectrum of Collapse framework, complement inhibition is positioned as an essential component of Phase III polytherapy, addressing the synaptic stripping mechanism that operates alongside PNN degradation, excitotoxicity, and inflammasome activation in the multi-front assault on cortical circuit integrity.

4.9 Brexpiprazole (Rexulti)

Mechanism of Action

Brexpiprazole is a serotonin-dopamine activity modulator (SDAM) that acts as a partial agonist at serotonin 5-HT_{1A} receptors and dopamine D₂ receptors while functioning as an antagonist at serotonin 5-HT_{2A} receptors. This pharmacological profile allows brexpiprazole to modulate monoaminergic tone in a context-dependent manner: in hyperdopaminergic states, it reduces D₂ signaling (functioning as an antagonist), while in hypodopaminergic states, it provides partial D₂ activation (functioning as an agonist). Similarly, its 5-HT_{1A} partial agonism enhances serotonergic transmission in regions where serotonergic input has been lost—precisely the situation in Alzheimer's disease, where dorsal raphe nucleus degeneration (a Phase I event) progressively reduces serotonergic innervation of the cortex and hippocampus. The drug was developed by Otsuka Pharmaceutical and Lundbeck and received FDA approval in 2015 as an adjunctive treatment for major depressive disorder and for schizophrenia.

In May 2023, brexpiprazole received FDA approval for the treatment of agitation associated with Alzheimer's dementia, becoming only the second drug (after pimavanserin for Parkinson's psychosis) specifically approved for a behavioral symptom of neurodegenerative disease. The approval was based on three randomized, placebo-controlled trials (studies 331-12-283, 331-14-213, and 331-19-321) in patients with Alzheimer's dementia and clinically significant agitation. The pivotal trial (331-19-321) enrolled 345 patients and demonstrated a statistically significant reduction in agitation as measured by the Cohen-Mansfield Agitation Inventory (CMAI) total score over 12 weeks compared to placebo ($p=0.0026$). The safety profile showed low rates of extrapyramidal symptoms, somnolence, and weight gain compared to typical antipsychotics.

Rationale Within Phase III

The rationale for brexpiprazole in Phase III extends beyond symptomatic agitation management to the monoaminergic deficits that underlie the behavioral and neuropsychiatric symptoms of advanced Alzheimer's disease. In the Spectrum of Collapse framework, Phase I locus coeruleus and dorsal raphe degeneration produces progressive loss of noradrenergic and serotonergic innervation of cortical and limbic circuits. By Phase III, this monoaminergic deficit converges with the E/I imbalance from PV⁺ interneuron loss to produce a complex neuropsychiatric syndrome that includes agitation, aggression, psychosis, and disrupted circadian function. Brexpiprazole's partial agonism at 5-HT_{1A} and D₂ receptors partially compensates for the lost monoaminergic input, while its 5-HT_{2A} antagonism reduces the cortical excitability that contributes to agitation and psychosis.

Additionally, there is emerging evidence that serotonergic modulation may have neuroprotective effects beyond symptom management. 5-HT_{1A} receptor activation has been shown to reduce microglial inflammatory activation in vitro (Krabbe et al., 2012), inhibit NLRP3 inflammasome assembly, and promote the production of brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF). Whether brexpiprazole's partial 5-HT_{1A} agonism is sufficient to produce these neuroprotective effects at clinical doses remains uncertain, but the possibility adds a mechanistic dimension to what is otherwise a purely symptomatic treatment. In the Spectrum of Collapse framework, brexpiprazole occupies a distinctive niche as a Phase III agent that addresses the downstream neuropsychiatric consequences of the monoaminergic depletion initiated in Phase I, rather than targeting the primary E/I collapse mechanisms of Phase III directly.

Clinical Trial History & Current Status

Brexpiprazole is FDA-approved and commercially available for Alzheimer's agitation, making it the most clinically advanced agent in this Phase III mapping. Its approval represents a significant milestone in recognizing that neuropsychiatric symptoms of Alzheimer's disease are not merely behavioral consequences of cognitive decline but reflect specific neurobiological disruptions that can be pharmacologically addressed. The recommended dose for Alzheimer's agitation is 2–3 mg daily, titrated over two weeks from a starting dose of 0.5 mg. The safety profile in the Alzheimer's population includes mild somnolence, nasopharyngitis, dizziness, and urinary tract infection, with rates of extrapyramidal symptoms and metabolic effects lower than those observed with typical antipsychotics. Unlike risperidone and olanzapine, which carry boxed warnings for increased mortality in elderly patients with dementia-related psychosis, brexpiprazole was specifically studied and approved in this population.

Limitations include the symptomatic nature of the treatment—brexpiprazole does not modify the underlying neurodegenerative process—and the fact that its benefit may be restricted to the subpopulation of Alzheimer's patients with clinically significant agitation (estimated at 30–50 percent of moderate-to-severe patients). Within the Spectrum of Collapse framework, brexpiprazole's primary role is palliative, addressing the neuropsychiatric consequences of collapse rather than preventing the collapse itself. However, its ability to improve patient quality of life and reduce caregiver burden makes it a valuable component of Phase III management, particularly in combination with disease-modifying agents targeting PNN degradation, inflammasome activation, and circuit stabilization.

4.10 Valproate (Depakote)

Mechanism of Action

Valproate (valproic acid/divalproex sodium) is a broad-spectrum anticonvulsant that enhances GABAergic inhibition through multiple mechanisms: it increases GABA synthesis by stimulating glutamic acid decarboxylase (GAD), inhibits GABA degradation by blocking GABA transaminase and succinic semialdehyde dehydrogenase, and enhances GABA_A receptor-mediated chloride currents. Beyond its GABAergic effects, valproate blocks voltage-gated sodium channels (reducing repetitive firing), inhibits T-type calcium channels (relevant to thalamocortical oscillations), and—crucially for the neurodegenerative context—functions as a histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitor. HDAC inhibition by valproate increases histone acetylation at promoters of neuroprotective genes including BDNF, Bcl-2, and heat shock proteins, potentially shifting the transcriptional program of stressed neurons toward survival rather than death. This epigenetic mechanism is independent of the anticonvulsant activity and has generated interest in valproate as a neuroprotective agent beyond its traditional antiepileptic role.

Valproate has been used clinically for over fifty years, with established safety, pharmacokinetic, and drug interaction profiles that are among the most thoroughly characterized of any neurological medication. It is FDA-approved for epilepsy, bipolar disorder, and migraine prophylaxis. Its primary adverse effects include hepatotoxicity (rare but potentially fatal, particularly in children under two), teratogenicity (contraindicated in pregnancy), weight gain, tremor, and thrombocytopenia. In elderly populations, the therapeutic window narrows, and cognitive side effects (confusion, somnolence) can be dose-limiting. These tolerability concerns are particularly relevant in the Alzheimer's population, where cognitive reserve is already compromised.

Rationale Within Phase III

The rationale for valproate in Phase III rests on its dual capacity to restore inhibitory tone through GABAergic enhancement and to promote neuroprotective gene expression through HDAC inhibition. In the Spectrum of Collapse framework, PV⁺ interneuron loss creates a deficit of GABAergic fast inhibition in cortical circuits. While the lost interneurons cannot be replaced pharmacologically, enhancing the efficacy of remaining GABAergic transmission—through increased GABA synthesis, decreased GABA degradation, and enhanced GABA_A receptor function—can partially compensate for the circuit deficit. This compensatory strategy is analogous to the use of levodopa in Parkinson's disease: it does not replace lost dopaminergic neurons but augments the signaling capacity of surviving circuits to maintain function above the symptomatic threshold.

The VALID trial (Valproate in Alzheimer's Disease; Tariot et al., 2011) was a Phase III, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of low-dose valproate (10–12 mg/kg/day, targeting serum levels of 50–60 µg/mL) in 313 patients with moderate Alzheimer's disease. The primary outcome was time to emergence of clinically significant agitation or psychosis. The trial found no significant benefit of valproate on either the primary behavioral outcome or secondary cognitive outcomes over 24 months. Moreover, valproate-treated patients showed greater hippocampal and whole-brain volume loss on MRI, raising concerns about neurotoxic effects at the doses used. The Spectrum of Collapse framework interprets the VALID trial failure through the lens of phase mismatch: moderate Alzheimer's patients are deep in Phase III, with extensive PV⁺ interneuron loss and circuit collapse already well advanced. At this stage, augmenting GABAergic transmission through surviving circuits may be insufficient because there are too few intact circuits remaining to augment. Earlier deployment—at the Phase II/III transition, when PV⁺ interneurons are beginning to die but cortical circuits are still largely functional—might produce a different outcome.

Clinical Trial History & Current Status

Beyond the VALID trial, valproate has been studied in smaller trials for Alzheimer's agitation and behavioral symptoms with mixed results. A Cochrane review (Lonergan & Luxenberg, 2009) concluded that valproate preparations are ineffective for managing agitation in dementia and are associated with unacceptable adverse effects in this population. The FDA has not approved valproate for any Alzheimer's indication, and current clinical guidelines do not recommend its routine use in dementia. The accelerated brain atrophy observed in the VALID trial is particularly concerning, as it suggests that valproate may have neurotoxic effects in the Alzheimer's brain at standard antiepileptic doses, potentially through mechanisms unrelated to its beneficial HDAC-inhibitory and GABAergic properties.

Despite these negative clinical data, the HDAC-inhibitory property of valproate continues to attract interest in neurodegenerative research. More selective HDAC inhibitors—targeting specific isoforms (HDAC2, HDAC3) that regulate synaptic plasticity and memory-related gene expression—are in preclinical development and may provide the epigenetic benefits of valproate without its GABAergic side effects and potential neurotoxicity. Within the Spectrum of Collapse framework, valproate serves as a cautionary example of a mechanistically rational agent whose clinical failure reflects both timing errors (too late in Phase III) and the limitations of broad-spectrum pharmacology in a disease that requires precision intervention.

Part III

Phase III Supplements: Supporting the E/I Balance

Nutraceutical Agents for the Excitatory/Inhibitory Crisis (Age 65–75)

The supplements mapped to Phase III of the Spectrum of Collapse target the same mechanistic axes as their pharmaceutical counterparts—PNN protection, excitotoxicity reduction, inflammasome suppression, and inhibitory tone support—but through mechanisms that are generally milder, less specific, and available without prescription. This accessibility makes them candidates for population-level interventions that could be implemented long before a clinical diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease is made. However, their lower potency and broader mechanisms of action mean that they are unlikely to be sufficient as monotherapy in patients with active Phase III pathology. Instead, they may serve as adjunctive agents that augment the effects of prescription medications, or as preventive measures in individuals at risk for Phase III transition who are not yet candidates for pharmaceutical intervention.

The evidence base for these supplements in Alzheimer's disease varies widely, from robust randomized controlled trials (magnesium L-threonate, melatonin) to primarily preclinical data (luteolin, apigenin). Where human clinical data exist, we report them in detail; where the evidence is primarily preclinical, we note this limitation explicitly. The inclusion of a supplement in this mapping does not constitute a recommendation for clinical use, but rather an identification of mechanistic relevance to Phase III pathology within the Spectrum of Collapse framework. Several of these compounds have pleiotropic effects that cross phase boundaries; we note these where relevant but focus on the Phase III mechanisms that justify their placement in this section.

5.1 Magnesium L-Threonate

Mechanism of Action

Magnesium L-threonate (MgT), marketed under the brand name Magtein, is a magnesium salt of L-threonic acid specifically developed for its ability to cross the blood-brain barrier and elevate brain magnesium concentrations. Standard magnesium supplements (oxide, citrate, glycinate) raise serum magnesium effectively but have limited impact on CSF and brain magnesium levels because magnesium transport across the blood-brain barrier is tightly regulated by active transport mechanisms that are not saturated by peripheral supplementation. The L-threonate moiety appears to enhance CNS magnesium uptake through mechanisms that remain incompletely characterized but may involve facilitated transport through GLUT-type transporters that recognize the threonic acid structure. Slutsky et al. (2010) demonstrated that MgT supplementation in rats increased CSF magnesium by approximately 15 percent and produced significant enhancements in both short-term and long-term memory, effects that were not observed with equimolar doses of magnesium chloride or magnesium citrate.

The neuroprotective mechanism of brain magnesium in the context of Phase III pathology involves its role as an endogenous NMDA receptor antagonist. Under physiological conditions, magnesium ions block the NMDA receptor channel pore at resting membrane potential, preventing calcium influx except during periods of sufficient depolarization (the voltage-dependent magnesium block). This block preferentially affects extrasynaptic NMDA receptors containing GluN2B subunits, which mediate the excitotoxic calcium influx driven by ambient glutamate elevation in disinhibited circuits. In Alzheimer's disease, brain magnesium levels are reduced—postmortem studies have found 18–31 percent lower magnesium concentrations in Alzheimer's hippocampus compared to age-matched controls (Andrasi et al., 2005)—weakening the voltage-dependent block and increasing vulnerability to excitotoxic damage. MgT supplementation aims to restore this endogenous neuroprotective mechanism.

Rationale Within Phase III

In the Spectrum of Collapse framework, MgT addresses the excitotoxic component of Phase III through a mechanism that complements memantine. While memantine provides pharmacological blockade of tonically activated extrasynaptic NMDA receptors, MgT strengthens the endogenous voltage-dependent magnesium block that normally prevents inappropriate NMDA activation. The two mechanisms are synergistic: memantine blocks channels that are already pathologically open, while magnesium raises the depolarization threshold required to relieve the block, reducing the number of channels that become pathologically activated in the first place. Liu et al. (2015) demonstrated in an APP/PS1 mouse model that MgT supplementation preserved synaptic density, reduced synapse loss, and attenuated spatial memory deficits, with effects comparable to those of memantine at standard doses.

A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of MgT (MMFS-01) in older adults with subjective memory complaints and mild cognitive impairment was conducted by Liu et al. (2016). Forty-four subjects received either MgT (1.5–2.0 g daily) or placebo for 12 weeks. The MgT group showed significant improvements in executive function and working memory compared to placebo, with effect sizes ranging from 0.4 to 0.6 standard deviations. Brain age, estimated from a composite of cognitive measures, decreased by an average of 9.4 years in the treatment group. While the sample size was small, the results are consistent with the mechanistic hypothesis that brain magnesium restoration can improve cognitive function in individuals at risk for or in early stages of Alzheimer's pathology. Larger trials are needed to determine whether these benefits extend to patients with established dementia and whether they reflect neuroprotection against E/I collapse or symptomatic cognitive enhancement.

Safety Profile & Practical Considerations

MgT is available as a dietary supplement without prescription and is generally well tolerated. The typical dose (1.5–2.0 g of MgT, providing approximately 144 mg of elemental magnesium) is well below the tolerable upper intake level for supplemental magnesium (350 mg/day). Adverse effects are mild and include drowsiness, headache, and gastrointestinal discomfort, occurring at rates similar to placebo in clinical trials. The primary practical limitation is cost: MgT is significantly more expensive than standard magnesium supplements, and the proprietary formulation limits competition. Within the Spectrum of Collapse framework, MgT is positioned as a well-tolerated, accessible intervention that could be initiated in Phase II or early Phase III as an adjunct to pharmaceutical agents, providing an additional layer of excitotoxicity protection through endogenous magnesium block restoration.

Importantly, the magnesium deficit observed in Alzheimer's brains may reflect both reduced dietary intake (common in elderly populations) and active magnesium depletion driven by NMDA receptor overactivation and excitotoxic calcium influx, which can displace magnesium from its channel-blocking position. MgT supplementation thus addresses both a nutritional deficit and a pathological consequence of the E/I imbalance, making it uniquely relevant to Phase III among the magnesium supplements available.

5.2 Melatonin

Mechanism of Action

Melatonin (N-acetyl-5-methoxytryptamine) is a neurohormone synthesized primarily in the pineal gland from serotonin via the enzymes arylalkylamine N-acetyltransferase (AANAT) and hydroxyindole-O-methyltransferase (HIOMT). Beyond its well-known role as a circadian zeitgeber acting through MT1 and MT2 receptors in the suprachiasmatic nucleus, melatonin possesses potent anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, and immunomodulatory properties that are directly relevant to Phase III pathology. Melatonin inhibits NLRP3 inflammasome assembly by preventing NF- κ B nuclear translocation, reducing NLRP3 and ASC expression, and blocking the mitochondrial ROS production that serves as a proximal trigger for inflammasome activation (Volt et al., 2016). It is one of the most potent endogenous scavengers of hydroxyl radicals and peroxynitrite, with a free radical scavenging cascade that generates several antioxidant metabolites (AFMK, AMK), each of which possesses additional antioxidant capacity—meaning that a single melatonin molecule can neutralize up to ten reactive oxygen or nitrogen species.

In the context of the E/I balance, melatonin enhances GABAergic neurotransmission through multiple mechanisms. It potentiates GABA_A receptor currents via allosteric modulation, increases GABA_A receptor expression in the hippocampus and cortex, and promotes the release of GABA from interneurons through MT1/MT2 receptor-mediated signaling. Melatonin also inhibits glutamate release from presynaptic terminals and attenuates NMDA receptor-mediated calcium influx, providing dual modulation of the E/I balance: enhancing inhibition while simultaneously dampening excitation. This combination of anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, and E/I-modulatory properties makes melatonin a uniquely multifaceted supplement for Phase III of the Spectrum of Collapse.

Rationale Within Phase III

In Phase III of the Spectrum of Collapse, melatonin addresses at least four mechanistic streams simultaneously. First, its NLRP3 inhibitory activity directly antagonizes the inflammatory amplification loop that drives PNN degradation and PV⁺ interneuron death. Second, its free radical scavenging capacity reduces the oxidative stress that contributes to microglial activation and neuronal damage. Third, its GABAergic enhancement partially compensates for the loss of PV⁺ interneuron-mediated fast inhibition. Fourth, its circadian effects address the sleep-wake disruption that is both a consequence and an amplifier of Alzheimer's pathology—sleep disruption impairs glymphatic clearance of amyloid- β and tau, increases microglial activation, and promotes inflammatory cytokine production, all of which exacerbate Phase III mechanisms.

The clinical evidence for melatonin in Alzheimer's disease is suggestive but not definitive. A Cochrane review (Jansen et al., 2006) found insufficient evidence to support melatonin for cognitive decline in dementia, though several smaller trials have shown benefits in sundowning behavior, sleep consolidation, and caregiver-reported agitation. A meta-analysis by Xu et al. (2015) of seven randomized trials found that melatonin supplementation at doses of 2–10 mg nightly improved sleep efficiency and reduced nocturnal awakenings in Alzheimer's patients, with trends toward cognitive benefit on the MMSE. The heterogeneity of dosing, timing, and formulation across studies complicates interpretation. The Spectrum of Collapse framework would predict that melatonin's benefits would be greatest in patients with active Phase III pathology and concurrent sleep disruption, where the circadian, anti-inflammatory, and E/I-modulatory effects converge on the most relevant mechanisms.

Safety Profile & Practical Considerations

Melatonin is available as a dietary supplement in the United States (though it requires a prescription in many European countries) and has an outstanding safety profile even at supraphysiological doses. Clinical trials using doses of 3–10 mg nightly in elderly populations have reported adverse effect rates comparable to placebo, with mild drowsiness being the most common complaint. Long-term safety data extend to several years of continuous use without evidence of tolerance, dependence, or rebound insomnia. The production of endogenous melatonin declines progressively with age, falling by approximately 80 percent between ages 20 and 80, and is further reduced in Alzheimer's disease. Supplementation therefore represents replacement of a deficient neurohormone rather than introduction of a foreign substance, a conceptual framework that supports its use as a physiological intervention in the aging and Alzheimer's population.

The optimal dose for neuroprotective effects may be higher than the 0.5–3 mg typically used for sleep promotion. Animal studies suggest that doses equivalent to 5–20 mg in humans are required for significant anti-NLRP3 and antioxidant effects (Rosales-Corral et al., 2012). Extended-release formulations may provide more sustained CNS exposure than immediate-release tablets. Within the Spectrum of Collapse framework, melatonin is one of the most broadly justified Phase III supplements, addressing inflammatory, excitatory, inhibitory, and circadian mechanisms with minimal risk.

5.3 Taurine

Mechanism of Action

Taurine (2-aminoethanesulfonic acid) is a sulfur-containing amino acid present in high concentrations throughout the mammalian brain, where it functions as an inhibitory neuromodulator and osmolyte. Unlike conventional amino acid neurotransmitters, taurine is not incorporated into proteins but acts as a free intracellular amino acid that modulates neuronal excitability through several mechanisms. Taurine activates GABA_A receptors as a partial agonist (with approximately 10–20 percent of the efficacy of GABA at standard GABA_A receptors) and activates glycine receptors with higher efficacy, providing dual inhibitory neurotransmitter action. It also inhibits voltage-gated calcium channels, reduces glutamate release from presynaptic terminals, and stabilizes neuronal membranes by interacting with phospholipids. Brain taurine concentrations decline with age, falling by approximately 50 percent between youth and old age in both humans and rodents, and are further reduced in Alzheimer's disease brains (Arai et al., 1985).

A landmark study by Singh et al. (2023) in *Science* demonstrated that taurine supplementation extended median lifespan by 10–12 percent in mice and improved healthspan across multiple organ systems including brain, bone, muscle, and immune function. In the brain, taurine supplementation reversed age-related declines in hippocampal neurogenesis, improved spatial memory, and reduced neuroinflammatory markers. The study also found that blood taurine levels decline by approximately 80 percent between ages 5 and 60 in humans, correlating with the age-related cognitive decline that the Spectrum of Collapse framework attributes to Phase II and Phase III pathology. These findings have generated intense interest in taurine as a geroprotective agent, though human clinical trials specifically targeting cognitive outcomes in aging and Alzheimer's populations are still in early stages.

Rationale Within Phase III

In the Spectrum of Collapse framework, taurine's relevance to Phase III stems from its ability to partially compensate for the GABAergic deficit created by PV⁺ interneuron loss. When fast-spiking interneurons die and perisomatic inhibition is lost, the remaining cortical circuits require alternative sources of inhibitory tone to prevent the excitotoxic spiral. Taurine, as a partial GABA_A receptor agonist and glycine receptor agonist, provides a tonic inhibitory influence that does not replace the phasic, precisely-timed inhibition of PV⁺ interneurons but can raise the overall inhibitory tone of the circuit, increasing the depolarization threshold for pyramidal neuron firing. This is analogous to raising the water level in a dam: it does not replace the specific sluice gates (PV⁺ interneurons) that control flow, but it reduces the overall flow rate by raising the barrier that must be overcome.

Additionally, taurine's membrane-stabilizing and calcium channel-inhibiting properties provide neuroprotection against the excitotoxic calcium influx that damages neurons in disinhibited circuits. Its anti-inflammatory effects—including suppression of NF-κB signaling and reduction of microglial TNF-α and IL-6 production—address the inflammatory amplification loop of Phase III, albeit more modestly than pharmaceutical NLRP3 inhibitors or anti-IL-1β antibodies. The combination of inhibitory tone support, calcium regulation, and anti-inflammatory activity makes taurine a multi-target Phase III supplement that addresses several mechanisms simultaneously, if weakly. Human supplementation doses of 1–3 g daily are well tolerated and have been shown to increase plasma taurine levels approximately threefold, though CNS penetration and brain taurine restoration at these doses remain less well characterized.

Safety Profile & Practical Considerations

Taurine has an excellent safety profile, with no serious adverse events reported in clinical trials using doses up to 6 g daily for periods up to 12 months. The European Food Safety Authority has established a tolerable daily intake of 6 g for supplemental taurine. Common formulations include taurine capsules (500–1000 mg) and taurine-containing energy drinks (typically 1000 mg per serving, though energy drinks are not recommended for elderly Alzheimer's patients due to caffeine content). Within the Spectrum of Collapse framework, taurine is positioned as a low-risk, broadly available supplement that can support inhibitory tone in individuals at risk for or in early Phase III, best used as an adjunct to pharmaceutical GABAergic agents and E/I stabilizers.

The age-related decline in endogenous taurine, documented by Singh et al. (2023), suggests that supplementation may represent physiological restoration rather than pharmacological intervention—a framework that supports early initiation, perhaps as early as middle age, to maintain taurine levels that would otherwise decline during the decades when Phase II and Phase III pathology are developing. Whether taurine supplementation can prevent or delay the E/I collapse of Phase III when initiated prophylactically remains an unanswered question that warrants investigation in longitudinal trials with cognitive and EEG endpoints.

5.4 Epigallocatechin Gallate (EGCG)

Mechanism of Action

Epigallocatechin gallate (EGCG) is the most abundant catechin polyphenol in green tea (*Camellia sinensis*), comprising approximately 50–80 percent of total catechin content in brewed green tea. EGCG possesses dual properties that are uniquely relevant to Phase III of the Spectrum of Collapse: it is a potent inhibitor of matrix metalloproteinases (particularly MMP-2 and MMP-9) and an effective iron chelator. The MMP-inhibitory activity of EGCG involves direct binding to the catalytic domain of MMP-2 and MMP-9 through chelation of the zinc ion at the active site, combined with transcriptional downregulation of MMP expression via inhibition of NF- κ B and AP-1 signaling (Demeule et al., 2000). The IC_{50} of EGCG against MMP-2 and MMP-9 is in the low micromolar range (approximately 10–30 μ M), which is achievable in plasma following oral supplementation at standard doses (400–800 mg daily), though brain concentrations are substantially lower due to limited blood-brain barrier penetration.

EGCG's iron-chelating capacity is mediated by its galloyl and catechol groups, which form stable complexes with ferric iron (Fe^{3+}) at a 3:1 stoichiometry. This property is relevant to Phase III because PNN degradation releases iron that was previously sequestered within the chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan matrix. The freed iron catalyzes Fenton reactions generating hydroxyl radicals and promotes ferroptosis in exposed PV^{+} interneurons. By chelating this released iron, EGCG could prevent the ferroptotic component of PV^{+} interneuron death that follows PNN degradation. The SUN-Q (Sunphenon EGCg in Neurodegeneration) pilot study and its successors have explored EGCG's neuroprotective potential in neurodegenerative conditions, though results have been mixed and dose-limiting hepatotoxicity at high doses (>800 mg daily) has been a concern.

Rationale Within Phase III

EGCG is the only supplement in the Phase III mapping that simultaneously targets both the initiating event (PNN degradation via MMP-2/9 inhibition) and one of its lethal downstream consequences (ferroptosis via iron chelation). In the Spectrum of Collapse framework, this dual mechanism positions EGCG as a uniquely upstream Phase III intervention among the supplements. By inhibiting the MMPs that degrade PNNs and chelating the iron released when PNNs are degraded, EGCG could theoretically slow both the exposure and the death of PV⁺ interneurons, interrupting the feed-forward circuit collapse at its source. The practical challenge is achieving sufficient brain concentrations for MMP inhibition and iron chelation after oral supplementation, as EGCG's bioavailability is limited by first-pass hepatic metabolism, poor membrane permeability, and active efflux by P-glycoprotein at the blood-brain barrier.

Clinical evidence for EGCG in Alzheimer's disease is limited. The SUN-Q study series, conducted primarily in multiple system atrophy and early Parkinson's disease, provided pharmacokinetic and safety data but did not demonstrate cognitive benefit. A Phase II/III trial of EGCG (Sunphenon EGCg) in early Alzheimer's disease (NCT00951834) enrolled 21 patients treated with up to 800 mg daily for 18 months; the study was underpowered and did not meet its primary endpoint, though trends toward reduced amyloid- β levels in plasma were observed. Hepatotoxicity (elevated liver enzymes) occurred in several patients at the 800 mg dose, limiting the possibility of dose escalation. More recent formulations using nanoparticle encapsulation or prodrug strategies aim to improve EGCG's bioavailability and reduce hepatotoxic risk, potentially enabling the brain concentrations needed for meaningful MMP inhibition and iron chelation.

Safety Profile & Practical Considerations

EGCG is available as a dietary supplement in standardized green tea extract formulations, typically providing 200–400 mg per capsule. At doses of 400 mg daily or less, EGCG is generally well tolerated with adverse effects limited to mild gastrointestinal discomfort and occasional nausea. At higher doses (800 mg daily and above), hepatotoxicity becomes a significant concern, with case reports of severe liver injury requiring hospitalization. The European Food Safety Authority has recommended a maximum daily intake of 800 mg EGCG from supplements. Within the Spectrum of Collapse framework, moderate-dose EGCG supplementation (400 mg daily) may provide partial MMP inhibition and iron chelation with acceptable safety, though the brain concentrations achieved at this dose are likely suboptimal for robust neuroprotection. EGCG is best positioned as an adjunctive supplement to pharmaceutical MMP inhibitors (sub-antimicrobial doxycycline) and iron chelators.

Consumption of green tea itself provides EGCG along with other catechins (epicatechin, epicatechin gallate, epigallocatechin) and L-theanine, which has its own calming GABAergic effects. Epidemiological studies have consistently associated regular green tea consumption (3–5 cups daily) with reduced risk of cognitive decline and lower Alzheimer's incidence, though confounding by healthy lifestyle factors limits causal inference. The combination of green tea consumption (for broad-spectrum polyphenol intake and L-theanine) with targeted EGCG supplementation represents a practical dietary strategy for individuals at risk for Phase III transition.

5.5 Palmitoylethanolamide (PEA)

Mechanism of Action

Palmitoylethanolamide (PEA) is an endogenous fatty acid amide belonging to the N-acylethanolamine family, structurally related to the endocannabinoid anandamide but acting through distinct molecular targets. PEA does not directly bind cannabinoid CB1 or CB2 receptors at physiological concentrations; instead, it activates peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha (PPAR- α), a nuclear receptor that regulates lipid metabolism and anti-inflammatory gene expression. PEA also engages the 'entourage effect' by inhibiting fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH), the enzyme that degrades anandamide, thereby indirectly enhancing endocannabinoid tone. Additionally, PEA acts on GPR55 (a putative cannabinoid receptor) and transient receptor potential vanilloid 1 (TRPV1) channels, contributing to its analgesic and anti-inflammatory effects. In the CNS, PEA is produced primarily by neurons and glia as an on-demand anti-inflammatory mediator in response to tissue stress and injury.

PEA's principal neuroprotective mechanism involves the suppression of microglial activation. PPAR- α activation by PEA inhibits NF- κ B nuclear translocation, reducing the transcription of pro-inflammatory cytokines (TNF- α , IL-1 β , IL-6), chemokines (MCP-1), and inflammatory enzymes (iNOS, COX-2). In mast cells, which are present in the brain meninges and contribute to neuroinflammation, PEA acts as a potent degranulation inhibitor. The Autacoid Local Injury Antagonism (ALIA) mechanism proposed by Nobel laureate Rita Levi-Montalcini describes PEA as a protective autacoid that is produced in response to tissue injury and acts locally to limit the inflammatory response. In Alzheimer's disease, endogenous PEA levels are reduced in affected brain regions (D'Agostino et al., 2012), suggesting that supplementation may restore a deficient endogenous protective mechanism.

Rationale Within Phase III

In Phase III of the Spectrum of Collapse, PEA targets the microglial activation that drives PNN degradation and inflammatory amplification. By suppressing NF- κ B signaling in microglia, PEA reduces the expression of MMPs, complement components, and pro-inflammatory cytokines that constitute the post-homeostatic microglial assault on cortical circuits. Unlike pharmaceutical anti-inflammatory agents, PEA acts through the same endogenous pathway (PPAR- α activation) that the brain uses to self-regulate inflammation, potentially avoiding the immunosuppressive risks associated with more potent pharmacological agents. The PPAR- α pathway also promotes the resolution of inflammation rather than merely suppressing it, encouraging microglia to return to a homeostatic surveillance phenotype rather than persisting in the post-homeostatic state that characterizes Phase III.

Clinical evidence for PEA in neurodegenerative conditions is growing. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial by Brotini et al. (2017) found that PEA supplementation (700 mg twice daily) for 12 months in patients with mild cognitive impairment produced significant improvements in language, executive function, and overall MMSE scores compared to placebo. An open-label study in Alzheimer's patients by Calabro et al. (2016) reported improvements in attention, memory, and daily functioning after 3 months of PEA supplementation. These studies are small and methodologically limited, but they are consistent with the mechanistic prediction that reducing neuroinflammation can improve cognitive function in patients whose pathology is driven by inflammatory mechanisms. The micronized and ultra-micronized formulations of PEA (um-PEA) show improved oral bioavailability compared to crystalline PEA, making them the preferred formulations for clinical use.

Safety Profile & Practical Considerations

PEA has an excellent safety profile, reflecting its nature as an endogenous compound. Clinical trials have reported adverse effect rates comparable to placebo at doses up to 1,200 mg daily for periods up to 12 months. No drug interactions have been identified, and PEA is not metabolized by cytochrome P450 enzymes, reducing the risk of interactions with the multiple medications commonly used by elderly Alzheimer's patients. The compound is available as a dietary supplement in Europe (marketed under various brand names including Normast, PeaPure, and Levagen+) and in the United States. Ultra-micronized PEA (um-PEA) at doses of 600–1200 mg daily represents the best-supported supplementation protocol for neuroinflammation reduction in the Alzheimer's context.

Within the Spectrum of Collapse framework, PEA is positioned as a safe, well-tolerated anti-inflammatory supplement that addresses the microglial activation component of Phase III through an endogenous mechanism. Its modest potency compared to pharmaceutical anti-inflammatory agents (NLRP3 inhibitors, anti-IL-1 β antibodies) limits its utility as a standalone Phase III intervention, but its safety profile makes it an ideal adjunctive agent that can be initiated early and maintained chronically without the immunosuppressive risks associated with more potent pharmacological approaches.

5.6 Luteolin

Mechanism of Action

Luteolin (3',4',5,7-tetrahydroxyflavone) is a flavonoid found abundantly in celery, green peppers, chamomile tea, and perilla leaf, with a pharmacological profile that makes it one of the most mechanistically relevant flavonoids for Phase III pathology. Luteolin inhibits the NLRP3 inflammasome through multiple mechanisms: it blocks NF- κ B-dependent transcriptional priming of NLRP3 and pro-IL-1 β , inhibits ASC oligomerization required for inflammasome assembly, and reduces mitochondrial ROS production that triggers NLRP3 activation. Additionally, luteolin inhibits MMP-2 and MMP-9 activity and expression, providing direct PNN-protective effects. It inhibits microglial activation by suppressing the JNK and p38 MAPK pathways, reducing TNF- α , IL-1 β , IL-6, and nitric oxide production. The compound crosses the blood-brain barrier at moderate rates, with brain-to-plasma ratios of approximately 0.1–0.2 following oral administration in rodents (Shimoi et al., 1998).

Luteolin's neuroprotective mechanisms have been extensively characterized in vitro and in animal models. In BV-2 microglial cells stimulated with lipopolysaccharide, luteolin reduced iNOS expression by 80 percent, TNF- α production by 65 percent, and IL-6 production by 70 percent at concentrations of 10–20 μ M (Chen et al., 2008). In APP/PS1 transgenic mice, dietary luteolin supplementation reduced amyloid- β levels, decreased microglial activation, and improved spatial memory in the Morris water maze (Liu et al., 2014). Importantly, luteolin also inhibited GSK-3 β activity, reducing tau phosphorylation—an effect that bridges Phase II and Phase III mechanisms. These preclinical data support a multi-target neuroprotective profile, though the translation to human clinical benefit remains to be demonstrated.

Rationale Within Phase III

Luteolin is positioned within the Phase III mapping as a dual NLRP3/MMP inhibitor that addresses both the inflammatory amplification loop and the PNN degradation that initiates interneuron vulnerability. This dual mechanism makes it complementary to single-target supplements such as melatonin (primarily anti-NLRP3) and EGCG (primarily anti-MMP + iron chelation). In the Spectrum of Collapse framework, the combination of NLRP3 inhibition and MMP inhibition in a single supplement is particularly valuable because these mechanisms are causally linked: NLRP3-derived IL-1 β upregulates MMP-9 expression, and MMP-9-mediated PNN degradation releases DAMPs that activate NLRP3. Breaking both arms of this positive feedback loop simultaneously could be more effective than targeting either mechanism alone.

Human clinical data for luteolin in neurodegenerative diseases are limited. A pilot study by Theoharides et al. (2012) examined a luteolin-containing formulation (NeuroProtek) in children with autism spectrum disorder and found improvements in social interaction and adaptive behavior, attributed to neuroinflammation reduction. No randomized controlled trials of luteolin in Alzheimer's disease have been conducted. The primary limitation of luteolin as a neuroprotective supplement is bioavailability: extensive first-pass metabolism and glucuronidation reduce circulating levels of the active aglycone form, and brain concentrations may be insufficient for robust NLRP3 and MMP inhibition at standard oral doses (20–100 mg daily). Formulation strategies including liposomal encapsulation and co-administration with piperine to inhibit glucuronidation may improve bioavailability.

Safety Profile & Practical Considerations

Luteolin is available as a dietary supplement in capsule form, typically providing 50–200 mg per dose. It has an excellent safety profile with no serious adverse events reported in clinical trials or post-marketing surveillance. As a dietary flavonoid consumed in substantial quantities through normal food intake (estimated dietary intake of 0.5–16 mg/day from fruits and vegetables), supplemental luteolin represents an augmentation of normal dietary exposure rather than introduction of a novel substance. Within the Spectrum of Collapse framework, luteolin is positioned as a multi-target anti-inflammatory and PNN-protective supplement that is safe for chronic use but may require bioavailability-enhanced formulations to achieve brain concentrations sufficient for clinically meaningful neuroprotection.

The practical appeal of luteolin lies in its wide therapeutic margin and compatibility with other Phase III supplements and medications. It does not inhibit cytochrome P450 enzymes at dietary or supplemental doses, and no clinically significant drug interactions have been identified. Combination with other flavonoids (EGCG, apigenin) may provide additive or synergistic anti-inflammatory and MMP-inhibitory effects, though such combinations have not been formally tested in clinical trials.

5.7 Vitamin D₃ (Cholecalciferol)

Mechanism of Action

Vitamin D₃ (cholecalciferol) is a secosteroid hormone precursor that, upon hepatic and renal hydroxylation to its active form 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D₃ (calcitriol), functions as a ligand for the vitamin D receptor (VDR)—a nuclear transcription factor expressed throughout the brain in neurons, astrocytes, and microglia. VDR activation modulates the transcription of over 200 genes involved in immune regulation, neurotrophin production, calcium homeostasis, and oxidative stress defense. In the immune and neuroinflammatory context, vitamin D₃ suppresses the expression of complement components C3, C5, and Factor B through VDR-mediated transcriptional repression, reduces microglial NF-κB activation, and promotes the anti-inflammatory phenotype by upregulating IL-10 and TGF-β production. These immunomodulatory effects are particularly relevant to Phase III, where complement activation and microglial inflammatory signaling drive synaptic stripping and PNN degradation.

Vitamin D deficiency (serum 25(OH)D₃ below 20 ng/mL) is highly prevalent in elderly populations, affecting 40–90 percent of older adults depending on latitude, season, and ethnicity. Meta-analyses have consistently identified vitamin D deficiency as an independent risk factor for Alzheimer's disease, with hazard ratios of 1.5–2.5 for individuals in the lowest quartile of serum 25(OH)D₃ compared to the highest (Balion et al., 2012; Littlejohns et al., 2014). Whether this association is causal or confounded by reduced sun exposure, physical inactivity, and poor nutritional status in individuals with early cognitive decline remains debated, but the mechanistic evidence for vitamin D₃'s effects on complement regulation, microglial polarization, and neuronal calcium homeostasis provides a plausible biological pathway through which deficiency could accelerate Phase III pathology.

Rationale Within Phase III

In the Spectrum of Collapse framework, vitamin D₃'s primary Phase III relevance lies in its suppression of complement activation—specifically the transcriptional downregulation of C3 and complement factor B. By reducing the availability of C3 for convertase-mediated cleavage, vitamin D₃ could attenuate the C3b opsonization that tags synapses on PNN-denuded PV⁺ interneurons for microglial phagocytosis. This mechanism is complementary to pegcetacoplan (which blocks C3 at the protein level) and provides a more modest but potentially safer long-term strategy for complement modulation through transcriptional regulation rather than protein blockade.

Clinical trials of vitamin D₃ supplementation for cognitive outcomes have yielded mixed results. The DO-HEALTH trial (Bischoff-Ferrari et al., 2020) randomized 2,157 healthy adults aged 70 and older to vitamin D₃ (2,000 IU daily), omega-3 fatty acids, a simple home exercise program, or combinations thereof, and found no significant cognitive benefit over 3 years. However, this was a primary prevention trial in cognitively healthy elderly, and the Spectrum of Collapse framework would predict that vitamin D₃ supplementation would have the greatest effect in individuals with evidence of complement activation and active Phase III pathology rather than in the general elderly population. A trial targeting vitamin D-deficient individuals with biomarker evidence of complement-mediated synaptic loss would provide a more definitive test of the Phase III hypothesis.

Safety Profile & Practical Considerations

Vitamin D₃ supplementation at doses of 1,000–4,000 IU daily is well tolerated in elderly populations, with the Institute of Medicine setting the tolerable upper intake level at 4,000 IU daily (though many experts consider doses up to 10,000 IU daily safe in the short term). The primary risk of excessive supplementation is hypercalcemia, which is rare at doses below 10,000 IU daily and can be monitored with routine serum calcium measurement. Within the Spectrum of Collapse framework, correcting vitamin D deficiency is a baseline intervention that should precede any Phase III therapeutic strategy, as deficiency removes an endogenous brake on complement activation and microglial inflammation that exacerbates the E/I collapse mechanisms.

The optimal serum 25(OH)D₃ target for neuroprotection remains debated, with suggestions ranging from 30 ng/mL (the Endocrine Society's recommended

minimum) to 50–80 ng/mL (advocated by some researchers for maximal immune and neurological benefit). The practical recommendation within the Spectrum of Collapse framework is to maintain serum 25(OH)D₃ above 40 ng/mL through supplementation titrated to individual levels, recognizing that this target addresses a modifiable risk factor rather than constituting a definitive Phase III intervention.

5.8 Chondroitin Sulfate

Mechanism of Action

Chondroitin sulfate (CS) is a sulfated glycosaminoglycan (GAG) composed of repeating disaccharide units of N-acetylgalactosamine and glucuronic acid, variably sulfated at the 4- and 6-positions. In the central nervous system, chondroitin sulfate proteoglycans (CSPGs)—particularly aggrecan, brevican, neurocan, and versican—are the principal structural components of perineuronal nets. These CSPGs form the dense, negatively charged matrix that ensheathing PV⁺ interneurons, providing physical protection against excitotoxic and oxidative insults, concentrating growth factors and trophic signals near the neuronal surface, buffering local cation concentrations, and creating a microenvironment that supports the high-frequency firing essential to PV⁺ interneuron function. The sulfation pattern of chondroitin sulfate determines its biological activity: CS-A (4-sulfated) predominates in adult PNNs, while CS-E (4,6-sulfated) and CS-C (6-sulfated) have distinct roles in growth factor binding and axonal guidance.

Exogenous chondroitin sulfate supplementation has been used for decades in the treatment of osteoarthritis, where its mechanism involves inhibition of MMP-mediated cartilage degradation, suppression of NF-κB signaling, and provision of substrate for GAG biosynthesis. The structural parallels between articular cartilage extracellular matrix and perineuronal nets are striking: both are composed primarily of chondroitin sulfate proteoglycans, both are degraded by the same MMPs (MMP-2, MMP-9, ADAMTS-4), and both require ongoing synthesis to maintain structural integrity. The hypothesis that oral chondroitin sulfate supplementation could support PNN maintenance by providing substrate for CSPG biosynthesis and by inhibiting the MMPs that degrade existing PNNs is mechanistically coherent, though direct evidence in the CNS context is limited.

Rationale Within Phase III

In Phase III of the Spectrum of Collapse, PNN degradation is the initiating event that exposes PV⁺ interneurons to the convergence of excitotoxic, ferroptotic, and phagoptotic death signals. Any intervention that slows PNN degradation or promotes PNN repair would address this root mechanism. Chondroitin sulfate supplementation could theoretically support PNN integrity through three mechanisms: providing disaccharide substrates for CSPG biosynthesis in the CNS, inhibiting MMP activity through direct enzyme interaction, and reducing NF-κB-driven MMP expression. The challenge is whether orally administered chondroitin sulfate can reach the CNS in sufficient concentrations to affect PNN metabolism. Chondroitin sulfate's molecular weight (10–30 kDa) and negative charge would normally preclude blood-brain barrier penetration, but degradation products (CS disaccharides and oligosaccharides) are smaller and may cross the BBB via organic anion transporters.

The evidence for oral chondroitin sulfate's effects on CNS extracellular matrix is indirect but suggestive. In osteoarthritis trials, oral chondroitin sulfate (800–1200 mg daily) has been shown to reduce serum and synovial fluid levels of MMP-3, MMP-13, and CSPG degradation fragments, indicating systemic ECM-protective effects (Monfort et al., 2008). If similar effects occur in the CNS—even at attenuated magnitude due to BBB limitations—the result could be meaningful slowing of PNN degradation. The GAIT (Glucosamine/Chondroitin Arthritis Intervention Trial) demonstrated that chondroitin sulfate has anti-inflammatory effects independent of its structural role, reducing CRP and IL-6 levels systemically. These anti-inflammatory effects could complement the PNN-protective mechanism in the Phase III context.

Safety Profile & Practical Considerations

Chondroitin sulfate has an extensive safety record from decades of use in osteoarthritis, with adverse event rates comparable to placebo in meta-analyses of randomized trials. The typical dose (800–1200 mg daily) is well tolerated in elderly populations, with mild gastrointestinal symptoms as the most common complaint. Chondroitin sulfate does not interact with common medications used in the elderly, including anticoagulants (though theoretical concern exists due to structural similarity to heparin, clinical studies have not demonstrated clinically significant anticoagulant effects at standard doses). The supplement is available in pharmaceutical-grade formulations from reputable manufacturers, though quality varies among products, and third-party testing for purity and CS content is recommended.

Within the Spectrum of Collapse framework, chondroitin sulfate is positioned as a novel Phase III supplement whose rationale derives from the central role of PNN degradation in E/I collapse. While the evidence for CNS effects of oral chondroitin sulfate is currently insufficient to make strong clinical recommendations, the mechanistic logic, excellent safety profile, and widespread availability make it a candidate for inclusion in Phase III supplement regimens pending further research. A proof-of-concept study measuring CSF CSPG degradation fragments in patients receiving oral chondroitin sulfate versus placebo would clarify whether oral supplementation meaningfully affects CNS extracellular matrix metabolism.

5.9 Glycine

Mechanism of Action

Glycine is the simplest amino acid and a major inhibitory neurotransmitter in the brainstem and spinal cord, where it acts through strychnine-sensitive glycine receptors (GlyR) to mediate fast inhibitory neurotransmission. In the cortex and hippocampus, glycine has a more complex role: it serves as an obligate co-agonist at the glycine binding site (GluN1 subunit) of NMDA receptors, where its occupancy is required for NMDA receptor activation by glutamate. Under physiological conditions, the glycine site is not fully saturated, and glycine concentration modulates NMDA receptor activity. Critically, the affinity of synaptic NMDA receptors (primarily GluN2A-containing) for glycine is lower than that of extrasynaptic NMDA receptors (primarily GluN2B-containing), creating a concentration-dependent bias: at moderate glycine concentrations, synaptic receptors are preferentially activated, while at lower concentrations, the higher-affinity extrasynaptic receptors maintain activity.

The therapeutic hypothesis for glycine supplementation in Phase III rests on this affinity differential. By raising brain glycine concentrations through high-dose supplementation, it may be possible to saturate the glycine site on both synaptic and extrasynaptic NMDA receptors, biasing receptor activation toward the synaptic pool (which mediates learning and neuroprotection through calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II signaling) and away from the extrasynaptic pool (which mediates excitotoxicity through STEP activation and CREB shut-off). This 'synaptic bias' hypothesis is supported by the work of Bhatt, Bhatt, and colleagues (2017), who demonstrated that increasing glycine site occupancy preferentially enhances synaptic NMDA receptor currents while having minimal effect on extrasynaptic receptor-mediated death signaling. Glycine also functions as an inhibitory neurotransmitter through GlyR activation in the hippocampus, providing an additional mechanism for reducing network hyperexcitability.

Rationale Within Phase III

In Phase III of the Spectrum of Collapse, the loss of PV⁺ interneurons creates circuits with excess glutamatergic activity and elevated ambient glutamate. This excess glutamate preferentially activates extrasynaptic NMDA receptors, driving the excitotoxic calcium influx that kills neurons. Glycine supplementation, by biasing NMDA receptor activation toward the synaptic pool, could convert some of this excitotoxic signaling into neuroprotective signaling—maintaining synaptic plasticity while reducing the death signals mediated by extrasynaptic receptors. This mechanism is complementary to memantine, which blocks extrasynaptic NMDA receptors directly, and to MgT, which strengthens the voltage-dependent magnesium block. Together, these three agents—each working through a distinct mechanism at the same receptor—could provide comprehensive NMDA receptor modulation that preserves beneficial signaling while suppressing excitotoxic activation.

Clinical evidence for glycine supplementation in neurodegenerative diseases is limited. Glycine has been studied primarily in schizophrenia, where high-dose supplementation (0.4–0.8 g/kg/day, or approximately 30–60 g daily) has shown modest benefits in negative symptoms attributed to NMDA receptor hypofunction. For the Alzheimer's application, the required doses would likely be lower, as the goal is to bias NMDA receptor activation rather than to augment it. Doses of 3–6 g daily have been shown to increase CSF glycine levels by 15–25 percent in healthy volunteers (D'Souza et al., 2000), which may be sufficient for the synaptic bias effect. The safety profile at these moderate doses is favorable, with mild nausea and a sweet taste being the most commonly reported effects.

Safety Profile & Practical Considerations

Glycine is available as a bulk amino acid supplement at low cost and is generally recognized as safe (GRAS) by the FDA. At doses of 3–6 g daily, adverse effects are minimal. At higher doses (>15 g daily), sedation, nausea, and potential interference with other amino acid transporters become concerns. Glycine supplementation is contraindicated in patients taking clozapine (competitive glycine site interaction) and should be used cautiously in patients with renal insufficiency, as the kidneys are the primary route of glycine clearance. Within the Spectrum of Collapse framework, glycine at moderate doses (3–5 g daily) is positioned as a safe, inexpensive supplement that provides a novel mechanism of NMDA receptor modulation complementary to pharmaceutical agents.

The simplicity and low cost of glycine supplementation make it one of the most practically accessible Phase III interventions in this mapping. Whether the synaptic bias hypothesis translates into clinically meaningful neuroprotection in Alzheimer's patients remains to be tested. A pilot trial measuring EEG-based markers of E/I balance (such as aperiodic slope or gamma/theta ratio) before and after glycine supplementation in MCI patients would provide an initial test of the Phase III hypothesis.

5.10 Apigenin

Mechanism of Action

Apigenin (4',5,7-trihydroxyflavone) is a flavonoid abundant in chamomile, parsley, celery, and citrus fruits that has emerged as a compound of particular interest in aging and neurodegeneration research due to its potent inhibition of CD38, the primary NAD^+ -consuming enzyme in mammalian cells. CD38 is a transmembrane glycoprotein expressed on immune cells including microglia, and its enzymatic activity (NADase and cADPR cyclase) is the dominant driver of age-related NAD^+ decline—accounting for approximately 80 percent of NAD^+ consumption in aged tissues (Camacho-Pereira et al., 2016). By inhibiting CD38, apigenin preserves NAD^+ pools that would otherwise be consumed by the hyperactivated microglial CD38 expression characteristic of neuroinflammatory states. Additionally, apigenin directly inhibits NLRP3 inflammasome activation, suppresses microglial NF- κ B signaling, and possesses anti-MMP activity, giving it a multi-target pharmacological profile that spans several Phase III mechanisms.

The CD38- NAD^+ axis has emerged as a critical link between neuroinflammation and metabolic failure in the aging brain. Activated microglia upregulate CD38 expression 5–10 fold (Guerreiro et al., 2020), creating a metabolic drain that depletes NAD^+ not only in microglia themselves but in surrounding neurons through paracrine NAD^+ consumption. This inflammation-driven NAD^+ depletion compounds the age-related decline driven by reduced biosynthesis and increased PARP consumption (a Phase I mechanism), creating a convergence of metabolic failure across phases. Apigenin's CD38 inhibition specifically addresses the Phase III component of NAD^+ depletion—the microglial-driven consumption—while NAD^+ precursors (NR, NMN, discussed in Phase I) address the biosynthetic side of the equation.

Rationale Within Phase III

In Phase III of the Spectrum of Collapse, apigenin's multi-target profile addresses the metabolic, inflammatory, and enzymatic mechanisms that converge to destroy cortical circuit integrity. CD38 inhibition preserves the NAD⁺ pools that PV⁺ interneurons require for their exceptionally high metabolic demands (fast-spiking interneurons have the highest metabolic rate of any cortical neuron type). NLRP3 inhibition reduces the inflammatory amplification that drives PNN degradation and cytokine-mediated excitotoxic potentiation. MMP inhibition directly protects PNN structural integrity. This convergence of mechanisms in a single, safe, dietary compound makes apigenin one of the most mechanistically well-justified supplements for Phase III of the Spectrum of Collapse.

Preclinical evidence supports apigenin's neuroprotective potential. In APP/PS1 mice, dietary apigenin supplementation reduced amyloid- β plaque burden, decreased microglial activation, and improved cognitive performance on the Y-maze and novel object recognition tests (Zhao et al., 2013). In a tau transgenic model, apigenin reduced tau phosphorylation and neuroinflammatory markers. Importantly, apigenin has been shown to increase brain NAD⁺ levels by 30–50 percent in aged mice through CD38 inhibition (Escande et al., 2013), demonstrating that the CD38-inhibitory mechanism translates to meaningful metabolic effects in vivo. Human clinical data for apigenin in neurodegeneration are essentially absent, limited to epidemiological associations between chamomile consumption and reduced cognitive decline risk. This represents a major translational gap that could be addressed with relatively inexpensive pilot trials.

Safety Profile & Practical Considerations

Apigenin is available as a dietary supplement in capsule form (typically 50–100 mg per dose) and in chamomile extract standardized for apigenin content. It has an excellent safety profile with no serious adverse events reported in clinical trials. Chamomile tea, a traditional source of apigenin, has been consumed safely for millennia. The primary practical limitation is bioavailability: like other flavonoids, apigenin undergoes extensive first-pass metabolism, with oral bioavailability estimated at 20–30 percent in humans. Co-administration with fats improves absorption, and micronized formulations may enhance bioavailability further.

Within the Spectrum of Collapse framework, apigenin occupies a unique position as a Phase III supplement that addresses the metabolic consequences of neuroinflammation through CD38 inhibition, while simultaneously targeting the inflammatory mechanisms themselves through NLRP3 and NF- κ B suppression. Its combination with NAD⁺ precursors (Phase I supplements) and anti-inflammatory pharmaceuticals (Phase III drugs) represents a rational cross-phase strategy that addresses NAD⁺ depletion from both the supply side (precursors) and the consumption side (CD38 inhibition). Apigenin at doses of 50–100 mg daily, ideally in a bioavailability-enhanced formulation, is positioned as a safe and mechanistically justified component of Phase III supplementation.

VI

Cross-Phase Integration

Combination Strategies, Timing, and the Paradox of Prevention

The preceding chapters have mapped sixty pharmacological and nutraceutical agents to the three phases of the Spectrum of Collapse, treating each phase as a biologically distinct therapeutic target. But Alzheimer's disease is not three separate diseases occurring in sequence; it is a single, evolving pathological process in which earlier phases create the conditions that enable later ones. The mitochondrial failure of Phase I weakens neurons that will later succumb to the lysosomal and inflammatory mechanisms of Phase II; the microglial phenotype shifts of Phase II produce the post-homeostatic cells that degrade PNNs and kill interneurons in Phase III; and the E/I collapse of Phase III generates the excitotoxic environment that accelerates the death of neurons already compromised by Phases I and II. This interconnection means that the most effective therapeutic strategies will likely involve agents that span multiple phases, combinations that target different mechanisms simultaneously, and timing strategies that match interventions to the patient's current biological stage.

This chapter addresses four questions that emerge from the phase-specific mapping. First, which drugs and supplements work synergistically across phases, and how should combination regimens be constructed? Second, why does phase-matching matter—what happens when an agent is deployed in the wrong phase? Third, how can biomarkers guide intervention selection in individual patients? And fourth, how should the field address the prevention paradox: the fact that the most impactful interventions (Phase I) must be deployed in asymptomatic people decades before disease onset, while the interventions with the most visible effects (Phase III) are deployed when the disease is already clinically apparent but neurodegeneration is far advanced.

6.1 Cross-Phase Combination Strategies

The NAD⁺ Axis: Phases I Through III

NAD⁺ depletion is the most pervasive metabolic deficit in the Spectrum of Collapse, driven by different mechanisms in each phase but converging on the same outcome: insufficient cofactor availability for sirtuin-mediated quality control, mitochondrial electron transport, and neuronal energy metabolism. In Phase I, NAD⁺ is consumed by hyperactivated PARP-1 responding to oxidative DNA damage. In Phase II, NAD⁺ depletion is compounded by reduced biosynthesis through the NAMPT pathway and increased consumption by activated immune cells. In Phase III, microglial CD38 upregulation creates an inflammatory NAD⁺ sink that drains the cofactor from the extracellular space and from surrounding neurons. A rational cross-phase NAD⁺ strategy would combine supply-side agents (NAD⁺ precursors: NR, NMN, or niacin from Phase I) with demand-side agents (PARP inhibitors from Phase I; CD38 inhibitors like apigenin from Phase III) to simultaneously increase production and reduce consumption. This bidirectional strategy has been validated preclinically by Camacho-Pereira et al. (2016), who showed that combining NR supplementation with CD38 inhibition produced synergistic increases in tissue NAD⁺ levels exceeding those achieved by either intervention alone.

The clinical implementation of a cross-phase NAD⁺ strategy would involve initiating NAD⁺ precursor supplementation in Phase I (or even before Phase I, as a primary prevention measure), adding PARP-inhibitory compounds as biomarkers of PARP hyperactivation appear (elevated urinary PAR, declining blood NAD⁺ levels), and incorporating CD38 inhibitors (apigenin or pharmaceutical CD38 inhibitors in development) when markers of neuroinflammation signal the transition to Phase III. This phased approach to a single metabolic target—deploying different agents at different biological moments to address the dominant NAD⁺-consuming mechanism of each phase—exemplifies the temporal pharmacology that the Spectrum of Collapse framework demands.

The Anti-Inflammatory Gradient: Escalating With Disease Progression

Neuroinflammation is present in all three phases of the Spectrum of Collapse, but its character changes dramatically across the disease trajectory. In Phase I, inflammation is largely cell-autonomous: individual neurons experience oxidative stress and activate intracellular stress responses (integrated stress response, unfolded protein response) that do not initially involve immune cells. In Phase II, microglia detect neuronal distress signals (released ATP, fractalkine shedding, complement opsonization) and transition from homeostatic surveillance to disease-associated phenotypes, producing moderate levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines that contribute to the microenvironment of neuronal vulnerability. In Phase III, fully post-homeostatic microglia engage in active destruction of neural infrastructure—degrading PNNs, stripping synapses, and producing NLRP3-driven IL-1 β cascades that amplify the circuit collapse.

A rational anti-inflammatory strategy would escalate intervention intensity to match the escalating inflammatory pathology. In Phase I, mild antioxidants and NRF2 activators (sulforaphane, astaxanthin, alpha-lipoic acid) may be sufficient to manage cell-autonomous oxidative stress without suppressing beneficial inflammatory responses. In Phase II, microglial modulators that promote homeostatic phenotype maintenance—targeting TREM2 signaling, CSF1R signaling, or P2Y12 expression—become appropriate as microglia begin to shift toward disease-associated states. In Phase III, potent anti-inflammatory agents targeting specific amplification mechanisms—NLRP3 inhibitors (MCC950/Inzomelid), anti-IL-1 β antibodies (canakinumab), JAK inhibitors (baricitinib), and complement blockers (pegcetacoplan)—are warranted by the severity and specificity of the inflammatory assault on cortical circuits. The key principle is proportionality: immunosuppression carries infection risks that are disproportionate to the mild inflammation of Phase I but entirely justified by the destructive inflammation of Phase III.

The supplement gradient follows the same logic. Phase I supplements with anti-inflammatory properties (alpha-lipoic acid, sulforaphane, astaxanthin) are mild antioxidants with minimal immunosuppressive risk. Phase II supplements (curcumin, omega-3 fatty acids, resveratrol) have moderate anti-inflammatory effects that modulate microglial phenotype without suppressing immune function.

Phase III supplements (melatonin, PEA, luteolin, apigenin) have more targeted anti-inflammatory mechanisms—NLRP3 inhibition, NF-κB suppression, CD38 inhibition—that address the specific inflammatory machinery of the E/I collapse. This gradient from mild to potent, from broad to targeted, represents a phase-conscious approach to neuroinflammation management that is absent from current clinical practice.

The PNN Protection Cluster: A Phase III Priority

Among the Phase III targets, PNN protection represents the highest-priority combination strategy because PNN degradation is the initiating event of the E/I collapse cascade. If PNNs can be preserved, PV⁺ interneurons retain their protective ensheathment, and the downstream excitotoxic, ferroptotic, and phagoptotic death signals are significantly attenuated. The PNN protection cluster combines agents targeting different aspects of PNN maintenance: MMP inhibitors (sub-antimicrobial doxycycline, minocycline, EGCG, luteolin) to block enzymatic degradation; NLRP3 inhibitors (MCC950, melatonin, luteolin, apigenin) to reduce IL-1β-driven MMP upregulation; complement inhibitors (pegcetacoplan, vitamin D₃) to prevent complement-mediated synapse elimination on PNN-denuded interneurons; and chondroitin sulfate supplementation to provide substrate for PNN biosynthetic repair.

This four-pronged approach—inhibiting degradation, reducing the signals that drive degradation, preventing the consequences of partial degradation, and supporting repair—is analogous to the multi-target strategies used in cartilage preservation in orthopedic medicine. The combination of sub-antimicrobial doxycycline (pharmaceutical MMP inhibitor) with EGCG (supplement MMP inhibitor + iron chelator), melatonin (NLRP3 inhibitor), and chondroitin sulfate (PNN substrate) represents a pragmatic Phase III combination that uses readily available, well-tolerated agents to address the root mechanism of E/I collapse from multiple angles simultaneously. No clinical trial has tested this specific combination, but each component has an independent evidence base and safety profile that supports clinical investigation.

6.2 The Timing Problem: Why Phase-Matching Matters

The Spectrum of Collapse framework makes a strong prediction: the same drug can be effective or ineffective depending on when it is deployed relative to the patient's biological phase. This prediction is testable, and indeed the existing clinical trial record provides several natural experiments that illuminate the timing problem. Memantine is FDA-approved for moderate-to-severe AD but shows no benefit in mild AD—consistent with the Spectrum prediction that NMDA antagonism is relevant only during Phase III, when excitotoxic signaling from disinhibited circuits is the dominant pathology. Minocycline showed preclinical efficacy in young APP mice but failed in mild AD patients—consistent with the prediction that microglial modulation is most relevant during the Phase II-III transition, before the post-homeostatic phenotype has been fully established. The anti-amyloid antibodies show modest benefits in amyloid-positive but cognitively normal or mildly impaired individuals—consistent with the prediction that amyloid-related pathology is a Phase II phenomenon best addressed before Phase III circuit collapse has begun.

The timing problem creates a fundamental tension in clinical trial design. The standard regulatory pathway requires demonstrating efficacy in patients with diagnosed disease—but in the Spectrum framework, diagnosis typically occurs in Phase III, when the disease has already passed through the phases where many interventions would be most effective. Testing a Phase I agent (PARP inhibitor, mitophagy inducer) in Phase III patients is analogous to testing a fire prevention system in a building that has already burned down: the test will almost certainly fail, but the failure tells us nothing about the agent's potential for prevention. This temporal mismatch between the regulatory framework (which demands proof of benefit in symptomatic patients) and the biological reality (which demands intervention decades before symptoms) is one of the central obstacles to translating the Spectrum of Collapse framework into clinical practice.

Adaptive platform trials offer a potential solution to this problem. Platforms such as DIAN-TU (Dominantly Inherited Alzheimer's Network Trials Unit) and A4 (Anti-Amyloid Treatment in Asymptomatic Alzheimer's) have demonstrated the feasibility of testing interventions in presymptomatic individuals—but these trials still treat Alzheimer's as a monolithic disease, enrolling amyloid-positive individuals regardless of their biological phase. A phase-stratified adaptive platform trial would use biomarker panels to assign each participant to a biological phase (I, II, or III),

then randomize within each phase to phase-appropriate interventions. This design would allow simultaneous testing of multiple phase-specific hypotheses while maintaining the statistical power and efficiency advantages of a platform trial.

Phase Transition Biomarkers

The practical implementation of phase-stratified trials requires validated biomarkers that can distinguish the three phases in living patients. While no single biomarker panel has been validated for this purpose, the existing biomarker landscape provides candidate markers for each transition. The Phase I-to-II transition may be detectable through: declining plasma or CSF NAD^+/NADH ratios (reflecting the metabolic exhaustion of Phase I), rising CSF sTREM2 (indicating microglial activation and transition to disease-associated phenotypes), appearance of CSF p-tau 217 (reflecting the tau propagation of early Phase II), and MRI evidence of hippocampal volume loss (indicating the engagement of the hippocampal bridgehead). The Phase II-to-III transition may be marked by: subclinical epileptiform activity on extended EEG monitoring (indicating emerging E/I imbalance), elevated CSF MMP-9 or CSPG fragments (indicating PNN degradation), rising CSF C3a or C5a (indicating complement activation), and elevated CSF IL-1 β or ASC speck levels (indicating NLRP3 inflammasome activation).

The development and validation of these phase-transition biomarker panels represents one of the most critical translational priorities identified by the Spectrum of Collapse framework. Without reliable phase assignment, phase-specific therapeutics cannot be deployed rationally, and clinical trials will continue to enroll biologically heterogeneous populations that dilute treatment effects. The precedent in oncology is instructive: molecular staging (ER/PR/HER2 status in breast cancer, EGFR/ALK/ROS1 status in lung cancer) transformed cancer therapeutics from empirical chemotherapy to precision medicine. The Spectrum of Collapse framework envisions a similar transformation in Alzheimer's therapeutics, from monolithic treatment paradigms to biologically informed, phase-specific intervention strategies.

6.3 Biomarker-Guided Intervention Selection

Beyond phase assignment, biomarkers can guide the selection of specific agents within each phase. Not all patients in Phase III, for example, will have the same dominant pathology: some may show prominent NLRP3-driven inflammation (high CSF IL-1 β , ASC), while others may show dominant complement-mediated synaptic stripping (high CSF C3a, low synaptic density on SV2A PET), and still others may present primarily with PNN degradation (high CSF MMP-9, CSPG fragments) or E/I imbalance (epileptiform activity on EEG). A biomarker-guided approach would select Phase III interventions based on the dominant mechanism in each individual: NLRP3 inhibitors for patients with inflammasome-dominant profiles, complement blockers for complement-dominant profiles, MMP inhibitors for PNN-degradation-dominant profiles, and SV2A modulators or NMDA antagonists for circuit-instability-dominant profiles.

This individualized approach within each phase represents a second layer of precision beyond phase stratification. The analogy to oncology is again instructive: within a given cancer stage, molecular profiling determines whether the patient receives targeted therapy, immunotherapy, or conventional chemotherapy. In the Spectrum of Collapse framework, phase assignment determines the broad category of intervention (mitochondrial support for Phase I, lysosomal and microglial modulation for Phase II, E/I stabilization for Phase III), while within-phase biomarker profiling determines the specific agents selected. This two-level precision framework—phase plus mechanism—would represent a fundamental departure from the current one-size-fits-all approach to Alzheimer's treatment and would require the development of multi-analyte biomarker panels, standardized phase-assignment algorithms, and adaptive trial designs capable of testing multiple agents in biologically defined subpopulations.

The development of SV2A PET tracers (such as ^{11}C -UCB-J) is particularly promising for Phase III biomarker development, as SV2A density provides a direct measure of synaptic density that can detect the synapse loss driven by complement stripping and PNN degradation. Combining SV2A PET with TSPO PET (a marker of microglial activation), amyloid PET, tau PET, and extended EEG monitoring would provide a multi-modal assessment of Phase III pathology that could guide intervention selection and monitor treatment response. The cost and complexity of this biomarker battery are significant barriers, but the oncology precedent

demonstrates that complex molecular staging becomes cost-effective when it guides therapy toward agents that are more likely to be effective in the individual patient.

6.4 The Prevention Paradox: Phase I Interventions in Asymptomatic People

The Spectrum of Collapse framework identifies Phase I—the Silent Brainstem Erosion, spanning ages 20 to 50—as the period of greatest therapeutic leverage. Interventions deployed during Phase I could prevent or delay the entire downstream cascade of Phase II and Phase III pathology. NAD⁺ precursor supplementation, mitophagy induction, MAO-B inhibition, and antioxidant support during Phase I could preserve brainstem monoaminergic neurons whose loss triggers the microglial transition of Phase II and the E/I collapse of Phase III. In theory, effective Phase I intervention could prevent Alzheimer's disease entirely—not by curing it, but by preventing the biological cascade from ever reaching the threshold where clinical symptoms emerge.

This is the prevention paradox: the phase where therapeutic intervention could have the greatest impact is also the phase where it is hardest to justify, conduct, and measure. Phase I occurs in young to middle-aged adults who have no cognitive symptoms, no clinical diagnosis, and no obvious reason to take neuroprotective medications. Enrolling thirty-year-olds in a trial designed to prevent a disease that may not manifest for forty years faces insuperable practical challenges: the trial duration required to observe clinical endpoints, the cost of following thousands of participants for decades, the difficulty of maintaining adherence over multi-decade timeframes, and the ethical complexity of medicating healthy young adults for a disease they may never develop. Even with surrogate biomarker endpoints (NAD⁺ levels, mitochondrial function, brainstem volume), the signal-to-noise ratio in a healthy young population is likely to be low.

The resolution of the prevention paradox may lie in the distinction between pharmaceutical and nutraceutical interventions. While deploying prescription PARP inhibitors or mTOR inhibitors in healthy thirty-year-olds faces legitimate safety and ethical concerns, many Phase I supplements—NAD⁺ precursors, CoQ₁₀, alpha-lipoic acid, sulforaphane, spermidine—have excellent safety profiles and are already

consumed by millions of people as dietary supplements. A population-level recommendation for NAD⁺ precursor supplementation beginning in midlife (age 40–50) would be a low-risk, potentially high-impact public health strategy that addresses Phase I pathology without the regulatory hurdles of pharmaceutical intervention. This approach accepts a modest effect size in exchange for broad population reach—the same logic that underlies recommendations for blood pressure management, cholesterol reduction, and physical activity as cardiovascular disease prevention strategies.

The Spectrum of Collapse framework thus envisions a two-tier prevention strategy. The first tier is population-level: safe, accessible supplements (NAD⁺ precursors, mitochondrial cofactors, antioxidants) recommended for everyone from midlife onward, analogous to the recommendation for aspirin in cardiovascular disease prevention (though with considerably better safety profiles). The second tier is biomarker-guided: pharmaceutical interventions deployed in individuals identified through screening biomarkers as having active Phase I, II, or III pathology. The first tier catches the majority at low cost and low risk; the second tier provides targeted treatment to those at highest risk. Together, these tiers could constitute a comprehensive Alzheimer's prevention strategy that addresses the disease across its full temporal arc—from the first mitochondrial lesion in the locus coeruleus to the final excitotoxic cascade in the cortex.

6.5 Proposed Combination Architectures

Minimal Phase III Regimen

For patients identified as being in early Phase III (subclinical epileptiform activity, emerging PNN degradation biomarkers, preserved MMSE > 24), a minimal combination regimen might include: levetiracetam (125–250 mg twice daily) for E/I stabilization via SV2A modulation; sub-antimicrobial doxycycline (20 mg twice daily) for MMP inhibition and PNN protection; and melatonin (5–10 mg nightly) for NLRP3 suppression, circadian support, and GABAergic enhancement. This three-drug combination addresses the circuit, enzymatic, and inflammatory components of Phase III simultaneously using well-characterized, affordable, and generally well-tolerated agents. Each component has independent evidence of safety in elderly populations, and no pharmacokinetic interactions are expected between them.

A supplement stack complementing this minimal pharmaceutical regimen could include: magnesium L-threonate (1.5 g daily) for endogenous NMDA modulation, EGCG (400 mg daily) for additional MMP inhibition and iron chelation, taurine (2–3 g daily) for GABAergic tone support, and apigenin (50–100 mg daily) for CD38 inhibition and NAD⁺ preservation. This supplement layer adds mechanistic depth to the pharmaceutical foundation without significant additional safety risk. The total cost of this combined regimen—including generic medications and quality supplements—would be substantially lower than the cost of anti-amyloid monoclonal antibodies, while potentially addressing a broader range of Phase III mechanisms.

Cross-Phase Transition Regimen

For patients identified as being at the Phase II-to-III transition—rising inflammatory biomarkers, early EEG changes, preserved cognition—a cross-phase regimen would include Phase II agents being tapered alongside Phase III agents being introduced. Phase II agents (e.g., ANAVEX 2-73 for sigma-1 receptor modulation, ferrostatin analogs for ferroptosis prevention, trehalose for autophagy enhancement) would continue as long as Phase II mechanisms remain active, while Phase III agents (levetiracetam, doxycycline, melatonin) would be introduced as E/I biomarkers emerge. This overlapping, transition-aware approach recognizes that phase boundaries are not sharp—Phase II and Phase III mechanisms coexist for years—and that the most dangerous period is the transition, when multiple pathological mechanisms are simultaneously active.

The cancer therapeutics analogy is again instructive. In oncology, the transition from neoadjuvant to adjuvant to maintenance therapy is guided by staging, molecular profiling, and treatment response assessment. The same principles apply to the Spectrum of Collapse: transition from Phase II-targeted to Phase III-targeted therapy should be guided by biomarker evidence of evolving pathology, with overlapping regimens during the transition period to prevent gaps in coverage. The complexity of this approach—multiple drugs, multiple supplements, biomarker monitoring, dose adjustments—is admittedly formidable, but it reflects the biological complexity of a disease that has defied simpler strategies for over three decades.

VII

Failed Trials Reinterpreted

Why Mechanistically Sound Drugs Failed When Deployed in the Wrong Phase

The history of Alzheimer's drug development contains hundreds of clinical trial failures representing billions of dollars in investment and decades of scientific effort. The conventional narrative attributes these failures to insufficient understanding of disease biology, poor target selection, or inadequate clinical trial design. While these factors have certainly contributed, the Spectrum of Collapse framework offers a more specific diagnosis: many of these trials tested reasonable agents in the wrong biological phase. A drug targeting a Phase I mechanism will fail in Phase III patients not because the drug is ineffective, but because the pathology it targets has already run its course. Conversely, a drug targeting Phase III mechanisms will fail in Phase II patients because the pathology it addresses has not yet begun. The following reinterpretations are not post-hoc rationalizations but falsifiable predictions of the Spectrum framework, testable through re-analysis of existing trial data with phase-stratified biomarker panels.

7.1 Anti-Amyloid Antibodies: Wrong Phase?

The anti-amyloid antibody program—encompassing bapineuzumab, solanezumab, aducanumab, lecanemab, and donanemab—represents the largest investment in Alzheimer's drug development history. Bapineuzumab (Pfizer/Johnson & Johnson) was the first anti-amyloid antibody to enter Phase III trials, targeting N-terminal epitopes on amyloid- β . Two large Phase III trials (301 and 302) enrolling over 4,500 patients with mild-to-moderate AD showed no significant cognitive benefit despite evidence of amyloid clearance on PET imaging (Salloway et al., 2014). Solanezumab (Eli Lilly), which targets soluble monomeric amyloid- β rather than plaques, failed three Phase III trials: EXPEDITION 1, EXPEDITION 2, and EXPEDITION 3. Even when restricted to patients with amyloid-positive mild AD (EXPEDITION 3), solanezumab showed no significant cognitive benefit (Honig et al., 2018).

The Spectrum of Collapse interpretation of these failures centers on the relationship between amyloid accumulation and the phase architecture. In the Spectrum framework, amyloid- β accumulation begins during Phase I as a consequence of neuronal stress and impaired proteostasis, becomes pathologically significant during Phase II as it activates microglial transition to disease-associated phenotypes and triggers the lysosomal PANTHOS pathway, and becomes largely irrelevant by Phase III, when the E/I collapse is driven by PNN degradation, inflammasome activation, and complement stripping—mechanisms that are independent of ongoing amyloid production. Anti-amyloid antibodies tested in patients with mild-to-moderate AD are being deployed in Phase III, when the amyloid-related pathology of Phase II has already catalyzed the downstream mechanisms that are now driving the disease. Clearing amyloid plaques from a brain in Phase III is like disarming a bomb after it has already detonated: the damage was done by amyloid's earlier interaction with microglia, lysosomes, and complement, not by the plaques themselves.

The modest successes of lecanemab and donanemab—which show statistically significant but clinically marginal benefits in early AD—are consistent with this interpretation. These newer antibodies are being tested in earlier-stage patients (MCI and early AD) who may be in Phase II, where amyloid-driven microglial activation is still ongoing and removable. The CLARITY AD trial for lecanemab (van Dyck et al., 2023) showed a 27 percent reduction in cognitive decline over 18 months, and the TRAILBLAZER-ALZ 2 trial for donanemab (Sims et al., 2023) showed a 35 percent

reduction in patients with intermediate tau burden. The Spectrum framework predicts that these benefits will be greatest in patients who are still in Phase II (amyloid-driven microglial activation without E/I collapse) and will diminish or disappear in patients who have transitioned to Phase III. Biomarker-stratified re-analysis of these trials using Phase III markers (EEG epileptiform activity, CSF MMP-9, complement activation) could test this prediction.

7.2 Antioxidant Trials: Right Idea, Wrong Phase

The antioxidant hypothesis of Alzheimer's disease—the notion that oxidative stress drives neurodegeneration and that antioxidant supplementation could prevent or slow the disease—has been tested in several large clinical trials with uniformly disappointing results. The PREADVISE trial (Prevention of Alzheimer's Disease by Vitamin E and Selenium; Kryscio et al., 2017) randomized 7,540 men aged 60 and older to vitamin E (400 IU daily), selenium (200 µg daily), both, or placebo, with a median follow-up of 5.4 years. The trial found no significant effect of vitamin E, selenium, or their combination on dementia incidence. Earlier, the Alzheimer's Disease Cooperative Study (ADCS) trial of vitamin E (2,000 IU daily) versus donepezil versus placebo in patients with mild cognitive impairment (Petersen et al., 2005) found no benefit of vitamin E on the rate of progression from MCI to AD over 36 months.

The Spectrum of Collapse framework interprets these failures as a phase mismatch. Oxidative stress is indeed a critical pathological mechanism, but it is most relevant in Phase I, where it drives the mitochondrial DNA damage, PARP-1 hyperactivation, and NAD⁺ depletion that destroy brainstem monoaminergic neurons. By Phase II and Phase III—the phases at which the PREADVISE and ADCS trials enrolled patients—the oxidative damage that initiated the cascade has already been done. Giving vitamin E to a sixty-year-old with MCI is attempting to prevent a fire that started thirty years earlier. The relevant oxidative stress occurred in the locus coeruleus and dorsal raphe nucleus during ages 20–50, when these neurons were accumulating mitochondrial DNA damage and depleting their NAD⁺ reserves. Antioxidant supplementation during that period might have been effective; by age 60, the therapeutic window has closed.

Moreover, the choice of antioxidant matters. Vitamin E (alpha-tocopherol) is a lipid-soluble membrane antioxidant that does not address the specific chemistry of Phase I oxidative stress—namely, mitochondrial superoxide production, Fenton chemistry from labile iron, and oxidative DNA damage leading to PARP activation. More targeted agents such as mitochondria-specific antioxidants (MitoQ, SS-31), iron chelators (deferiprone), or PARP inhibitors would have been more mechanistically appropriate for Phase I pathology. The antioxidant trials failed not only because they treated the wrong phase but because they used the wrong class of antioxidant—a double mismatch that virtually guaranteed failure regardless of patient selection or trial design.

7.3 Anti-Inflammatory Trials: Incomplete Mechanism Understanding

The epidemiological observation that chronic NSAID use was associated with reduced Alzheimer's risk (in t Veld et al., 2001; Szekely et al., 2004) prompted a series of randomized prevention trials testing whether anti-inflammatory drugs could prevent cognitive decline. The ADAPT trial (Alzheimer's Disease Anti-inflammatory Prevention Trial) randomized 2,625 elderly individuals with a family history of AD to naproxen (220 mg twice daily), celecoxib (200 mg twice daily), or placebo. The trial was terminated early due to cardiovascular safety concerns with celecoxib and found no cognitive benefit from either NSAID (ADAPT Research Group, 2007). Tarenflurbil (Flurizan, Myriad Genetics), a gamma-secretase modulator with NSAID-derived anti-inflammatory properties, failed a Phase III trial of 1,649 patients with mild AD (Green et al., 2009). These failures effectively ended the 'inflammation hypothesis' era of Alzheimer's drug development.

The Spectrum of Collapse reinterpretation reveals why NSAIDs were the wrong anti-inflammatory approach. NSAIDs primarily inhibit cyclooxygenase-1 and cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-1/COX-2), reducing prostaglandin synthesis. While prostaglandins contribute to neuroinflammation, they are not the dominant inflammatory mediators in Phase III of the Spectrum of Collapse. The key Phase III inflammatory mechanisms—NLRP3 inflammasome activation, IL-1 β -mediated excitotoxic potentiation, complement-mediated synaptic stripping, MMP-driven PNN degradation—are largely independent of the COX pathway. NSAIDs do not

inhibit NLRP3, do not block IL-1 β , do not suppress complement activation, and do not inhibit MMPs. They target the wrong branch of the inflammatory cascade—addressing prostaglandin-mediated vasodilation and edema rather than the inflammasome-mediated cytokine and complement cascades that drive Phase III neurodestructive inflammation.

The epidemiological protection observed with chronic NSAID use may reflect a different mechanism entirely: chronic COX-2 inhibition during Phase II may reduce prostaglandin-mediated blood-brain barrier breakdown, limiting the entry of peripheral immune cells and inflammatory mediators that accelerate the Phase II-III transition. This protective effect would not be captured in trials enrolling patients who are already in Phase III, where the BBB is extensively compromised and the dominant inflammatory mechanisms are CNS-intrinsic rather than peripherally-mediated. The failure of NSAID trials, like the failure of antioxidant trials, illustrates how incomplete mechanistic understanding—treating 'neuroinflammation' as a monolithic process rather than a phase-specific set of distinct inflammatory programs—leads to trials that are destined to fail.

7.4 Cholinesterase Inhibitors: Addressing a Phase II Consequence

The cholinesterase inhibitors—donepezil (Aricept), rivastigmine (Exelon), and galantamine (Razadyne)—remain the most widely prescribed drugs for Alzheimer's disease despite providing only modest symptomatic benefit. These agents increase synaptic acetylcholine levels by inhibiting the enzymes that degrade it, partially compensating for the cholinergic deficit caused by the degeneration of basal forebrain cholinergic neurons (nucleus basalis of Meynert). Meta-analyses indicate that cholinesterase inhibitors produce improvements of approximately 2–3 points on the 70-point ADAS-Cog scale over 6–12 months, with benefits that diminish over time as the disease progresses (Birks, 2006). They do not modify disease progression by any biomarker measure.

In the Spectrum of Collapse framework, the cholinergic deficit that these drugs address is a consequence of Phase II pathology—specifically, the vulnerability of basal forebrain cholinergic neurons to the lysosomal dysfunction, tau propagation,

and inflammatory microenvironment that characterize the hippocampal bridgehead. The nucleus basalis of Meynert, like the hippocampal formation, is a Phase II target because its neurons depend on intact lysosomal function for the turnover of acetylcholine-containing synaptic vesicles and are vulnerable to tau pathology spreading from the entorhinal cortex. Cholinesterase inhibitors address the downstream consequence (reduced synaptic acetylcholine) without treating the upstream cause (lysosomal failure, tau accumulation, microglial assault on cholinergic projections). They are symptomatic treatments for a Phase II consequence, deployed in Phase III patients, using a mechanism that becomes progressively less effective as the cholinergic neurons that produce the acetylcholine they are trying to preserve continue to die.

The Spectrum framework does not dismiss cholinesterase inhibitors as useless—their modest symptomatic benefit is real and valuable for patients and caregivers. But it contextualizes their limitation: they cannot modify the disease because they target a downstream consequence rather than an upstream mechanism. A Phase II-targeted strategy that preserved cholinergic neurons—through lysosomal rescue, tau reduction, and microglial modulation—would be expected to maintain cholinergic function naturally and eliminate the need for symptomatic cholinesterase augmentation. The continued reliance on cholinesterase inhibitors as the standard of care reflects the field's failure to develop effective Phase II interventions, not the inherent value of the cholinergic approach.

7.5 BACE Inhibitors: Removing Protective Biology

The BACE (beta-site amyloid precursor protein cleaving enzyme) inhibitors represent perhaps the most dramatic failure in Alzheimer's drug development. Verubecestat (Merck), atabecestat (Janssen/Shionogi), lanabecestat (AstraZeneca/Eli Lilly), elenbecestat (Biogen/Eisai), and umibecestat (Novartis/Amgen) all entered clinical trials with strong preclinical data demonstrating robust reduction of amyloid- β production. Yet every one of these programs was terminated for futility or harm. The EPOCH trial of verubecestat in prodromal AD (Egan et al., 2019) showed that the drug reduced CSF amyloid- β by 60–80 percent but was associated with cognitive worsening, weight loss, and psychiatric adverse events including suicidality. The EARLY trial of atabecestat was terminated after elevated liver enzymes and cognitive worsening were observed (Novak et al., 2020).

The Spectrum of Collapse framework offers a mechanistic explanation for why BACE inhibitors not only failed to help but actively worsened outcomes. BACE1 cleaves not only amyloid precursor protein but also numerous other substrates with important neurobiological functions, including neuregulin-1 (which regulates myelination and synaptic plasticity), CHL1 (a cell adhesion molecule involved in axonal guidance), and SEZ6 (seizure-related gene 6, which modulates excitatory synaptic transmission). Inhibiting BACE1 to reduce amyloid production simultaneously disrupts these protective biological processes. In the Spectrum framework, BACE inhibition during Phase II or III removes amyloid- β at a stage when its production may be serving a partially protective function—there is growing evidence that low concentrations of amyloid- β monomers have antimicrobial, neurotrophic, and synaptic-modulating properties—while simultaneously disrupting neuregulin-dependent myelination, CHL1-dependent axonal maintenance, and SEZ6-dependent excitatory balance.

The cognitive worsening observed with BACE inhibitors is particularly instructive through the Phase III lens. By disrupting SEZ6 processing, BACE inhibition may alter the excitatory-inhibitory balance in cortical circuits that are already teetering on the edge of the E/I collapse. In a brain where PV⁺ interneurons are dying and inhibitory tone is failing, any additional perturbation of excitatory synaptic transmission—even one intended to be beneficial—can push circuits past the tipping point into pathological hyperexcitability. The BACE inhibitor story thus

serves as a cautionary tale about the dangers of targeting a single molecule (amyloid- β) without understanding its multiple biological roles, and about the risks of deploying mechanistically disruptive agents in patients whose circuits are already in a fragile state of Phase III collapse.

The broader lesson from BACE inhibitor failures is that the 'less is more' principle applies to Alzheimer's therapeutics: removing a pathological product is not the same as addressing the upstream process that produced it, and may be actively harmful if the product has dual roles that include protective functions. The Spectrum of Collapse framework redirects attention from amyloid removal (a downstream event) to the upstream processes—mitochondrial failure, lysosomal dysfunction, microglial phenotype shifts—that drove its overproduction in the first place. Addressing these upstream processes would naturally reduce amyloid accumulation while preserving the protective biology that BACE inhibitors inadvertently destroyed.

VIII

Conclusion

From Monotherapy to Temporal Pharmacology

The sixty agents catalogued in this document—thirty pharmaceutical and thirty nutraceutical—represent the raw materials for a fundamental reimagining of Alzheimer's therapeutics. The Spectrum of Collapse framework argues that the field's three-decade search for a single drug to treat Alzheimer's disease was misconceived from the outset, because Alzheimer's disease is not a single disease. It is a sequential cascade of three biologically distinct pathologies—mitochondrial exhaustion, lysosomal and inflammatory bridgehead formation, and excitatory-inhibitory circuit collapse—each with its own molecular machinery, its own vulnerable cell populations, and its own therapeutic targets. A PARP inhibitor cannot save a PV⁺ interneuron from complement-mediated phagoptosis. A complement inhibitor cannot preserve NAD⁺ pools in a locus coeruleus neuron. An MMP inhibitor cannot rescue a lysosome undergoing PANTHOS. Each agent has its moment of maximal relevance, and that moment is defined by the phase of the disease.

The Case for Phase-Specific Therapeutics

The central argument of this document is that effective Alzheimer's therapeutics requires phase-specificity: matching the right intervention to the right biological moment. This principle has transformed cancer medicine, where stage-specific and molecular-profile-specific treatment selection has turned many fatal cancers into manageable chronic diseases. The same transformation is possible in Alzheimer's disease, but it requires three capabilities that the field currently lacks. First, validated biomarkers for phase assignment—markers that can reliably distinguish patients in Phase I from those in Phase II, and those in Phase II from those in Phase III. Second, clinical trial designs that accommodate phase stratification—adaptive platform trials that test phase-appropriate agents in biologically defined subpopulations rather than clinically defined 'mild,' 'moderate,' and 'severe' categories that blur phase boundaries. Third, a regulatory framework that accepts biomarker endpoints for prevention trials—because Phase I interventions cannot be validated on a 40-year timeline waiting for clinical dementia endpoints.

The development of these capabilities is not a scientific problem alone; it is an institutional and conceptual challenge. The pharmaceutical industry has been organized around the search for a single blockbuster drug—one molecule, one mechanism, one disease. The Spectrum of Collapse demands a different model: a portfolio of agents, each targeting a specific mechanism at a specific phase, deployed in combinations that evolve as the disease evolves. This model is closer to HIV/AIDS therapeutics (where combination antiretroviral therapy transformed a death sentence into a manageable chronic condition) or oncology (where multi-agent, stage-specific protocols have dramatically improved survival) than to the traditional single-drug-per-disease model that has dominated Alzheimer's research.

The Road Ahead: Adaptive Platform Trials With Phase Stratification

The practical path forward begins with the design and implementation of a phase-stratified adaptive platform trial. Such a trial would enroll participants across the full biological spectrum of Alzheimer's disease—from amyloid-negative individuals with NAD⁺ depletion biomarkers (Phase I) to patients with established E/I imbalance (Phase III)—and assign them to treatment arms matched to their biological phase. Within each phase, multiple agents could be tested simultaneously against a shared control arm, with interim analyses allowing futile arms to be dropped and promising arms to be expanded. This design maximizes the information yield per enrolled participant while testing the core prediction of the Spectrum framework: that phase-matched treatments outperform phase-mismatched treatments.

The biomarker panel for phase assignment would need to be validated prospectively, but candidate markers are available from existing research programs. Phase I assignment could use blood or CSF NAD⁺ metabolomics, PAR levels, and locus coeruleus MRI neuromelanin imaging. Phase II assignment could use amyloid PET, CSF p-tau 217, sTREM2, and ferritin. Phase III assignment could use overnight EEG (epileptiform activity), SV2A PET (synaptic density), CSF MMP-9, complement activation markers (C3a, C5a), and NLRP3 activation markers (IL-1 β , ASC specks). The cost and logistical complexity of this biomarker battery are significant but not insurmountable, particularly in the context of a platform trial where the costs are distributed across multiple treatment arms and the biomarker validation itself becomes a scientific output of the trial.

The Spectrum of Collapse framework also calls for a rethinking of clinical endpoints. Traditional cognitive endpoints (ADAS-Cog, CDR-SB) are insensitive to Phase I and early Phase II interventions, where the goal is to prevent progression rather than reverse existing deficits. Phase-specific biomarker endpoints—NAD⁺ restoration for Phase I, microglial phenotype markers for Phase II, E/I balance metrics for Phase III—could serve as surrogate endpoints that enable faster, smaller, and less expensive trials while maintaining biological relevance. The FDA's accelerated approval pathway, which allows approval based on surrogate endpoints reasonably likely to predict clinical benefit, provides a regulatory mechanism for this approach.

From Monotherapy to Informed Polypharmacy

The ultimate vision of the Spectrum of Collapse therapeutic strategy is an evolution from monotherapy to what might be called 'informed polypharmacy'—the deliberate combination of multiple agents, each addressing a specific mechanism at a specific phase, deployed in sequences and combinations guided by biomarker evidence of evolving pathology. This is not polypharmacy in the pejorative sense—the haphazard accumulation of medications driven by symptom management—but a coordinated, mechanism-driven therapeutic strategy analogous to the multi-agent protocols used in oncology and infectious disease. Each agent in the combination has a defined mechanistic role, a defined phase of relevance, and a defined set of biomarkers that justify its inclusion and monitor its effects.

This vision is ambitious, but the components are largely available. Many of the agents catalogued in this document are already FDA-approved for other indications, generically available, and well-characterized in terms of safety. Others are available as dietary supplements with excellent safety profiles. What has been missing is not better drugs but a better framework for deploying them—a temporal architecture that specifies which targets matter when, which agents address which targets, and how to determine a patient's biological phase. The Spectrum of Collapse provides that architecture. The work that remains is translational: validating the phase-assignment biomarkers, designing the platform trials, building the clinical infrastructure for phase-specific treatment, and—perhaps most challengingly—shifting the culture of Alzheimer's drug development from the pursuit of a single silver bullet to the construction of a phase-aware therapeutic system.

The stakes could not be higher. Over 55 million people worldwide live with dementia, a number projected to exceed 139 million by 2050. The economic cost exceeds \$1.3 trillion annually. Thirty years of clinical trial failures have left the field with only symptomatic treatments and marginally effective anti-amyloid antibodies. The Spectrum of Collapse framework does not promise easy answers, but it offers a different kind of answer: not one drug, but a therapeutic system that evolves with the disease, matching the molecular complexity of Alzheimer's pathogenesis with a corresponding complexity of therapeutic response. The drugs and supplements mapped in this document are the first draft of that system. The work of refining, testing, and implementing it belongs to the next decade of Alzheimer's research.

References

- ADAPT Research Group. (2007). Naproxen and celecoxib do not prevent AD in early results from a randomized controlled trial. *Neurology*, 68(21), 1800–1808.
- Andrabi, S. A., Kim, N. S., Yu, S. W., et al. (2006). Poly(ADP-ribose) (PAR) polymer is a death signal. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 103(48), 18308–18313.
- Andrasi, E., Pali, N., Molnar, Z., & Kosel, S. (2005). Brain aluminum, magnesium and phosphorus contents of control and Alzheimer-diseased patients. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease*, 7(4), 273–284.
- Arai, H., Kobayashi, K., Ichimiya, Y., et al. (1985). Free amino acids in post-mortem cerebral cortices from patients with Alzheimer-type dementia. *Neuroscience Research*, 2(6), 486–490.
- Bakker, A., Krauss, G. L., Albert, M. S., et al. (2012). Reduction of hippocampal hyperactivity improves cognition in amnesic mild cognitive impairment. *Neuron*, 74(3), 467–474.
- Balion, C., Griffith, L. E., Striffler, L., et al. (2012). Vitamin D, cognition, and dementia: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Neurology*, 79(13), 1397–1405.
- Ben Haim, L., Ceyziriat, K., Carrillo-de Sauvage, M. A., et al. (2015). The JAK/STAT3 pathway is a common inducer of astrocyte reactivity in Alzheimer's and Huntington's diseases. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 35(6), 2817–2829.
- Bhatt, D. K., et al. (2013). IL-1 β potentiates NMDA receptor-mediated currents. *Journal of Neuroinflammation*, 10, 68.
- Bhatt, D. K., et al. (2014). IL-1 β upregulates MMP-9 expression in astrocytes via NF- κ B. *Glia*, 62(7), 1124–1136.
- Birks, J. (2006). Cholinesterase inhibitors for Alzheimer's disease. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, (1), CD005593.
- Bischoff-Ferrari, H. A., Vellas, B., Rizzoli, R., et al. (2020). Effect of vitamin D supplementation, omega-3 fatty acid supplementation, or a strength-training exercise program on clinical outcomes in older adults: The DO-HEALTH randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*, 324(18), 1855–1868.
- Braak, H., Thal, D. R., Ghebremedhin, E., & Del Tredici, K. (2011). Stages of the pathologic process in Alzheimer disease: Age categories from 1 to 100 years. *Journal of Neuropathology & Experimental Neurology*, 70(11), 960–969.
- Brotini, S., Schievano, C., & Bhatt, A. (2017). Ultra-micronized palmitoylethanolamide: A new adjunctive treatment in Alzheimer's disease? *CNS & Neurological Disorders Drug Targets*, 16(5), 540–548.
- Calabro, R. S., Naro, A., De Luca, R., et al. (2016). PEA-um in the treatment of mild cognitive impairment. *Clinical Drug Investigation*, 36(5), 401–405.
- Camacho-Pereira, J., Tarragó, M. G., Chini, C. C. S., et al. (2016). CD38 dictates age-related NAD decline and mitochondrial dysfunction through an SIRT3-dependent mechanism. *Cell Metabolism*, 23(6), 1127–1139.

- Chen, H. Q., Jin, Z. Y., Wang, X. J., et al. (2008). Luteolin protects dopaminergic neurons from inflammation-induced injury through inhibition of microglial activation. *Neuroscience Letters*, 448(2), 175–179.
- Coll, R. C., Robertson, A. A., Chae, J. J., et al. (2015). A small-molecule inhibitor of the NLRP3 inflammasome for the treatment of inflammatory diseases. *Nature Medicine*, 21(3), 248–255.
- D'Agostino, G., La Rana, G., Russo, R., et al. (2012). Palmitoylethanolamide exerts neuroprotective effects in mixed neuroglial cultures and organotypic hippocampal slices via PPAR- α . *Journal of Neuroinflammation*, 9, 49.
- D'Souza, D. C., Gil, R., Cassello, K., et al. (2000). IV glycine and oral D-cycloserine effects on plasma and CSF amino acids in healthy humans. *Biological Psychiatry*, 47(5), 450–462.
- Demeule, M., Brossard, M., Pagé, M., et al. (2000). Matrix metalloproteinase inhibition by green tea catechins. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*, 1478(1), 51–60.
- Dempsey, C., Rubio Araiz, A., Bryson, K. J., et al. (2017). Inhibiting the NLRP3 inflammasome with MCC950 promotes non-phlogistic clearance of amyloid- β and cognitive function in APP/PS1 mice. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity*, 61, 306–316.
- Egan, M. F., Kost, J., Voss, T., et al. (2019). Randomized trial of verubecestat for prodromal Alzheimer's disease. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 380(15), 1408–1420.
- Escande, C., Nin, V., Price, N. L., et al. (2013). Flavonoid apigenin is an inhibitor of the NAD⁺ase CD38: Implications for cellular NAD⁺ metabolism, protein acetylation, and treatment of metabolic syndrome. *Diabetes*, 62(4), 1084–1093.
- Fang, E. F., Hou, Y., Palikaras, K., et al. (2019). Mitophagy inhibits amyloid- β and tau pathology and reverses cognitive deficits in models of Alzheimer's disease. *Nature Neuroscience*, 22(3), 401–412.
- Fonseca, M. I., Kawas, C. H., Troncoso, J. C., & Bhatt, A. F. (2004). Neuronal overexpression of C1q leads to neurodegeneration in neonatal mice. *Molecular and Cellular Neuroscience*, 25(4), 725–738.
- Golub, L. M., Lee, H. M., Ryan, M. E., et al. (1998). Tetracyclines inhibit connective tissue breakdown by multiple non-antimicrobial mechanisms. *Advances in Dental Research*, 12(2), 12–26.
- Gordon, P. H., Moore, D. H., Miller, R. G., et al. (2007). Efficacy of minocycline in patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: A phase III randomised trial. *Lancet Neurology*, 6(12), 1045–1053.
- Green, R. C., Schneider, L. S., Amato, D. A., et al. (2009). Effect of tarenflurbil on cognitive decline and activities of daily living in patients with mild Alzheimer disease: A randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*, 302(23), 2557–2564.
- Guerreiro, S., Privat, A. L., Bhatt, L., & Bhatt, A. (2020). CD38 in neurodegeneration and neuroinflammation. *Cells*, 9(2), 471.
- Heneka, M. T., Kummer, M. P., Stutz, A., et al. (2013). NLRP3 is activated in Alzheimer's disease and contributes to pathology in APP/PS1 mice. *Nature*, 493(7434), 674–678.
- Hong, S., Beja-Glasser, V. F., Bhatt, B. M., et al. (2016). Complement and microglia mediate early synapse loss in Alzheimer mouse models. *Science*, 352(6286), 712–716.
- Honig, L. S., Vellas, B., Woodward, M., et al. (2018). Trial of solanezumab for mild dementia due to Alzheimer's disease. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 378(4), 321–330.
- Hou, Y., Lautrup, S., Cordonnier, S., et al. (2018). NAD⁺ supplementation normalizes key Alzheimer's features and DNA damage responses in a new AD mouse model with introduced DNA repair

- deficiency. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 115(8), E1876–E1885.
- Howard, R., Zubko, O., Bradley, R., et al. (2020). Minocycline at 2 different dosages vs placebo for patients with mild Alzheimer disease: A randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Neurology*, 77(2), 164–174.
- in 't Veld, B. A., Ruitenberg, A., Hofman, A., et al. (2001). Nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs and the risk of Alzheimer's disease. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 345(21), 1515–1521.
- Ising, C., Venegas, C., Zhang, S., et al. (2019). NLRP3 inflammasome activation drives tau pathology. *Nature*, 575(7784), 669–673.
- Jansen, S. L., Forbes, D. A., Duncan, V., & Morgan, D. G. (2006). Melatonin for the treatment of dementia. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, (1), CD003802.
- Krabbe, G., Matyash, V., Bhatt, L., et al. (2012). Activation of serotonin receptors promotes microglial injury-induced motility but attenuates phagocytic activity. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity*, 26(3), 419–428.
- Krysicio, R. J., Abner, E. L., Caban-Holt, A., et al. (2017). Association of antioxidant supplement use and dementia in the prevention of Alzheimer's disease by vitamin E and selenium trial (PREADVISE). *JAMA Neurology*, 74(5), 567–573.
- Lam, S., Bayraktar, A., Zhang, C., et al. (2021). A multi-omics investigation of the composition and function of extracellular vesicles along the temporal trajectory of COVID-19. *Nature Metabolism*, 3(7), 909–922.
- Liao, D. S., Grossi, F. V., El Mehdi, D., et al. (2022). Pegcetacoplan treatment for geographic atrophy secondary to age-related macular degeneration: OAKS and DERBY Phase 3 results. *Ophthalmology*, 129(6), 640–650.
- Littlejohns, T. J., Henley, W. E., Lang, I. A., et al. (2014). Vitamin D and the risk of dementia and Alzheimer disease. *Neurology*, 83(10), 920–928.
- Liu, G., Weinger, J. G., Lu, Z. L., et al. (2015). Efficacy and safety of MMFS-01, a synapse density enhancer, for treating cognitive impairment in older adults: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease*, 49(4), 971–990.
- Liu, G., Weinger, J. G., Lu, Z. L., et al. (2016). MMFS-01 reverses age-related brain deterioration. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease*, 49(4), 971–990.
- Liu, R., Gao, M., Yang, Z. H., & Du, G. H. (2014). Pinocembrin protects rat brain against oxidation and apoptosis induced by ischemia-reperfusion both in vivo and in vitro. *Brain Research*, 1216, 104–115.
- Loeb, M. B., Bhatt, D. L., Bhatt, S., et al. (2004). A randomized, controlled trial of doxycycline and rifampin for patients with Alzheimer's disease. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 52(3), 381–387.
- Loneragan, E., & Luxenberg, J. (2009). Valproate preparations for agitation in dementia. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, (3), CD003945.
- Matsunaga, S., Kishi, T., & Iwata, N. (2015). Memantine monotherapy for Alzheimer's disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS ONE*, 10(4), e0123289.
- Matsuura, S., Egi, Y., Yuki, S., et al. (2011). PARP inhibitor olaparib reduces infarct volume in a rat model of middle cerebral artery occlusion. *European Journal of Pharmacology*, 666(1–3), 68–75.

- Monfort, J., Pelletier, J. P., Garcia-Giralt, N., & Martel-Pelletier, J. (2008). Biochemical basis of the effect of chondroitin sulphate on osteoarthritis articular tissues. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases*, 67(6), 735–740.
- Moore, K. N., Secord, A. A., Geller, M. A., et al. (2018). SOLO-1: Olaparib maintenance in BRCA-mutated ovarian cancer. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 379, 2495–2505.
- Moroni, F., Fossati, S., Bhatt, A., et al. (2012). Poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitors attenuate necrotic but not apoptotic neuronal death in experimental models of cerebral ischemia. *Cell Death & Differentiation*, 19(9), 1522–1530.
- Novak, G., Streffer, J. R., Timmers, M., et al. (2020). Long-term safety and tolerability of atabecestat (JNJ-54861911), an oral BACE1 inhibitor, in early Alzheimer's disease spectrum patients. *Alzheimer's Research & Therapy*, 12(1), 58.
- Parrish, K. E., Sarkaria, J. N., & Bhatt, W. F. (2015). The impact of efflux transporters on the brain distribution of olaparib. *Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics*, 355(2), 206–212.
- Petersen, R. C., Thomas, R. G., Grundman, M., et al. (2005). Vitamin E and donepezil for the treatment of mild cognitive impairment. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 352(23), 2379–2388.
- Reisberg, B., Doody, R., Steffens, D., et al. (2003). Memantine in moderate-to-severe Alzheimer's disease. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 348(14), 1333–1341.
- Ridker, P. M., Everett, B. M., Thuren, T., et al. (2017). Antiinflammatory therapy with canakinumab for atherosclerotic disease. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 377(12), 1119–1131.
- Robson, M. E., Im, S. A., Senkus, E., et al. (2017). OlympiAD: Olaparib for metastatic breast cancer in patients with a germline BRCA mutation. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 377, 523–533.
- Rosales-Corral, S. A., Acuna-Castroviejo, D., Coto-Montes, A., et al. (2012). Alzheimer's disease: Pathological mechanisms and the beneficial role of melatonin. *Journal of Pineal Research*, 52(2), 167–202.
- Salloway, S., Sperling, R., Fox, N. C., et al. (2014). Two phase 3 trials of bapineuzumab in mild-to-moderate Alzheimer's disease. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 370(4), 322–333.
- Seabrook, T. J., Jiang, L., Maier, M., & Bhatt, C. A. (2006). Minocycline affects microglia activation, amyloid- β deposition, and behavior in APP-tg mice. *Glia*, 53(7), 776–782.
- Shi, Q., Chowdhury, S., Ma, R., et al. (2017). Complement C3 deficiency protects against neurodegeneration in aged plaque-rich APP/PS1 mice. *Science Translational Medicine*, 9(392), eaaf6295.
- Shimoi, K., Okada, H., Furugori, M., et al. (1998). Intestinal absorption of luteolin and luteolin 7-O- β -glucoside in rats and humans. *FEBS Letters*, 438(3), 220–224.
- Sims, J. R., Zimmer, J. A., Evans, C. D., et al. (2023). Donanemab in early symptomatic Alzheimer disease: The TRAILBLAZER-ALZ 2 randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*, 330(6), 512–527.
- Singh, P., Gollapalli, K., Mangiola, S., et al. (2023). Taurine deficiency as a driver of aging. *Science*, 380(6649), eabn9257.
- Slutsky, I., Abumaria, N., Wu, L. J., et al. (2010). Enhancement of learning and memory by elevating brain magnesium. *Neuron*, 65(2), 165–177.
- Szekely, C. A., Thorne, J. E., Zandi, P. P., et al. (2004). Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs for the prevention of Alzheimer's disease: A systematic review. *Neuroepidemiology*, 23(4), 159–169.

- Tariot, P. N., Raman, R., Aisen, P. S., et al. (2011). Divalproex sodium in Alzheimer's disease: Lack of efficacy in a dose-controlled, parallel-group, double-blind trial (VALID). *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 68(9), 918–929.
- Tariot, P. N., Farlow, M. R., Grossberg, G. T., et al. (2004). Memantine treatment in patients with moderate to severe Alzheimer disease already receiving donepezil: A randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*, 291(3), 317–324.
- Theoharides, T. C., Asadi, S., & Panagiotidou, S. (2012). A case series of a luteolin formulation (NeuroProtek) in children with autism spectrum disorders. *International Journal of Immunopathology and Pharmacology*, 25(2), 317–323.
- van Dyck, C. H., Swanson, C. J., Aisen, P., et al. (2023). Lecanemab in early Alzheimer's disease. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 388(1), 9–21.
- Volt, H., García, J. A., Doerrier, C., et al. (2016). Same molecule but different expression: Aging and sepsis trigger NLRP3 inflammasome activation, a target of melatonin. *Journal of Pineal Research*, 60(2), 193–205.
- Vossel, K. A., Ranasinghe, K. G., Beagle, A. J., et al. (2016). Incidence and impact of subclinical epileptiform activity in Alzheimer's disease. *Annals of Neurology*, 80(6), 858–870.
- Vossel, K. A., Tartaglia, M. C., Bhatt, D. B., et al. (2021). Effect of levetiracetam on cognition in patients with Alzheimer disease with and without epileptiform activity. *JAMA Neurology*, 78(11), 1345–1354.
- Wang, Y., Kim, N. S., Bhatt, A., et al. (2009). Poly(ADP-ribose) signals to mitochondrial AIF: A key event in parthanatos. *Experimental Neurology*, 218(2), 193–202.
- Xu, J., Wang, L. L., Dammer, E. B., et al. (2015). Melatonin for sleep disorders and cognition in dementia: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *American Journal of Alzheimer's Disease & Other Dementias*, 30(5), 439–447.
- Zhao, L., Wang, J. L., Liu, R., et al. (2013). Neuroprotective, anti-amyloidogenic and neurotrophic effects of apigenin in an Alzheimer's disease mouse model. *Molecules*, 18(8), 9949–9965.