Dynamics of Synaptic Competition: Molecular Mechanisms, Circuit Refinement, and Implications for Cognitive Capacity

Abstract

The architecture of the central nervous system is shaped not merely by the generation of neural connections, but by their systematic, competitive elimination. This research thesis investigates the most current models of synaptic competition, evaluating how structural plasticity and synapse elimination dictate cognitive function, memory allocation, and the overarching capacities of the mind. Moving beyond classical Hebbian paradigms, this analysis integrates recent discoveries which indicate that synaptic refinement is governed by resource limitation, heterosynaptic plasticity, and complex molecular "punishment" signals such as RhoA. Furthermore, the analysis uncovers how intrinsic selection mechanisms operate independently of neurotransmission during early neurodevelopment, challenging long-held dogmas regarding activity-dependent circuit wiring.

By triangulating empirical in vivo observations with in silico computational models, this thesis demonstrates that the brain operates as a highly optimized, resource-bounded ecosystem. The stability-plasticity dilemma is resolved through homeostatic structural plasticity and the precise regulation of plasticity-related proteins during memory consolidation, specifically detailed through the Synaptic Tagging and Capture (STC) hypothesis. Extending this framework, the report examines the intersections of computational information theory, engram competition, neuroimmunology, and chronobiology. It elucidates how systemic regulators—including microglia, the complement cascade, sex hormones, and circadian oscillators governing membrane capacitance—modulate the synaptic ecology. The findings synthesize a comprehensive framework demonstrating that the efficiency of synaptic pruning directly correlates with both advanced cognitive capacities and the etiology of neuropsychiatric disorders, ranging from autism spectrum disorder to schizophrenia. Ultimately, this thesis positions synaptic competition not as a passive developmental phase, but as the continuous, active, and fundamental algorithm underlying the adaptive capacities of the human mind.

Introduction

The functional activity of the central nervous system is predicated upon neuroplasticity, a dynamic property that determines the ability of the brain to adapt to internal and external environmental changes.[1] Traditionally, the stabilization of neural circuits was understood

primarily through the lens of Hebbian plasticity—often summarized by the axiom that neurons which "fire together, wire together." However, the sheer metabolic cost, spatial constraints of the cranium, and the limited availability of cellular resources necessitate that neuroplasticity operates as a heavily regulated, bounded ecosystem. Synaptic competition represents the critical homeostatic and developmental mechanism through which the brain resolves the conflict between the necessity for adaptation and the constraints of limited biological resources.

Current theoretical and empirical models emphasize that the addition of new synaptic weights cannot occur indefinitely without initiating runaway excitation or pathological structural hypertrophy.[2] The theoretical limit of neural network capacity demands that structural plasticity must involve competitive interactions between synapses, wherein the stabilization of one connection actively promotes the destabilization or physical elimination of another.[5] This binary choice between stabilization and elimination is the fundamental computational logic underlying circuit remodeling, memory consolidation, and adaptive forgetting.

The significance of this phenomenon extends far beyond early developmental neurobiology; it is the fundamental mechanism dictating adult learning, the precision of memory recall, and the resilience of cognitive architectures over the human lifespan. The brain operates as a strict economy where synapses constantly compete for survival signals, structural proteins, and physical territory on the dendritic arbor.[3] Perturbations in this delicate balance—whether resulting in excessive synapse elimination or aberrant synaptic retention—are now recognized as primary pathophysiological drivers in severe neurodevelopmental disorders, such as autism spectrum disorder (ASD) and schizophrenia, as well as neurodegenerative conditions like Alzheimer's disease.[1]

This thesis rigorously defines the contemporary model of synaptic competition as of the mid-2020s. It identifies the research problem: how neural circuits resolve the stability-plasticity dilemma through competitive resource allocation. The core argument posits that synaptic competition is an active, multi-tiered process governed by initial molecular identity, executed via activity-dependent heterosynaptic depression, and continuously modulated by systemic neuro-immune and endocrine factors. By exploring how individual synapses and distributed memory engrams compete for persistence, this report traces the profound implications of competitive plasticity on the overall cognitive capacities of the human mind, seeking relationships between local dendritic events and systemic physiological regulators.

Literature Review

The historiography of synaptic competition is deeply rooted in the evolutionary paradigms applied to neurobiology in the late 20th century. Prominently, Gerald Edelman introduced the concept of "Neural Darwinism," formally known as the Theory of Neuronal Group Selection (TNGS), in 1987.[10] Edelman posited that the genetic code provides only the broad, general architecture of the brain, while the fine wiring takes place epigenetically via a Darwinian-like

selection process among randomly generated interneuronal connections.[11] During development, growing neurons form massive quantities of temporary connections; those repeatedly engaged by environmental stimuli are stabilized, whereas unused connections are selected against and pruned.[11] Edelman's macroscopic framework was conceptually groundbreaking, directly linking the concepts of evolutionary selection, variability, and somatic adaptation to the physical structure of memory.[10]

However, throughout the 1990s and early 2000s, the molecular and computational verification of these selectionist theories remained in its infancy. The field of cognitive neuroscience became heavily focused on the mechanisms of Long-Term Potentiation (LTP) and Long-Term Depression (LTD), often isolating homosynaptic changes—alterations occurring exclusively at the specific synapse receiving stimulation.[12] While LTP provided the cellular correlate for learning, an isolated focus on homosynaptic potentiation failed to resolve the "stability-plasticity dilemma." This dilemma constitutes the fundamental paradox of neural network theory: how a network can remain sufficiently plastic to learn new information without overwriting existing memory representations or succumbing to unbounded, catastrophic excitatory feedback loops.[13]

Modern literature has fundamentally shifted toward a holistic, competitive framework, positioning heterosynaptic plasticity and structural resource limitation as the mandatory homeostatic counterparts to Hebbian learning.[4] The contemporary consensus dictates that synaptic competition is not merely a passive decay of unused connections due to neglect, but an active, aggressive, and highly regulated cellular process. In models developed and refined in the 2020s, competition is driven by the limited cellular supply of plasticity-related proteins (PRPs) and structural cytoskeleton resources.[2] Synaptic Tagging and Capture (STC) theories, heavily expanded upon in recent years, have demonstrated that differentially activated inputs cooperate and compete for these limited PRPs, resulting in a strict "winner-take-all" phase of memory stabilization.[2]

Crucially, the most recent literature from 2024 and 2025 forces a dramatic historiographical revision regarding the exact role of neurotransmission in these Darwinian processes. For decades, it was the unquestioned dogma that synaptic activity—specifically the volume of neurotransmitter release—was the sole and primary arbiter selecting the "winner" synapse during developmental refinement.[18] However, groundbreaking work utilizing the cerebellar climbing fiber to Purkinje cell (CF-PC) model demonstrated that "winner" selection can occur completely independently of neurotransmission.[18] Consequently, current theoretical positioning asserts a biphasic model of competition: intrinsic identity establishes the initial competitive hierarchy prior to functional use, while activity-dependent mechanisms (neurotransmission) execute the subsequent physical expansion of the winner and the pruning of the losers.[18] This thesis intervenes in the current historiography by bridging these isolated molecular discoveries with systemic models of neuroimmunology and chronobiology, offering

a unified theory of competitive synaptic refinement.

Methodology

To deconstruct the multidimensional nature of synaptic competition, this research relies upon a highly interdisciplinary analytical framework, synthesizing methodologies and data streams from molecular biology, advanced optical imaging, and computational neuroscience. This disciplinary synthesis is necessary because synaptic competition traverses multiple spatial and temporal scales, from nanosecond molecular binding events to decades-long trajectories of cognitive decline.

At the cellular and molecular level, the mechanisms of circuit refinement are examined through the lens of advanced optogenetic tools combined with patch-clamp electrophysiology. The methodology of Channelrhodopsin-Assisted Circuit Mapping (CRACM), particularly utilizing dual-color optogenetics, allows researchers to stimulate competing presynaptic inputs independently.[19] This enables the precise measurement of synaptic strength, the identification of mono- versus polysynaptic connectivity, and the quantification of AMPA/NMDA receptor ratios during competitive events in acute brain slices.[19] Furthermore, the deployment of biosensors—such as fluorescent glutamate reporters (iGluSnFR) and custom-engineered serotonin sensors—permits the real-time observation of neurotransmitter dynamics and the optimization of signal-to-noise ratios during coordinated multivesicular release.[20]

To bridge the gap between *ex vivo* slice physiology and *in vivo* behavior, longitudinal structural imaging is employed. Two-photon laser scanning microscopy allows for the continuous, non-invasive tracking of dendritic spine formation and pruning in the cortex and hippocampus of living mammals.[22] This optical methodology is vital for capturing the temporal dynamics of structural plasticity over behavioral timescales, such as tracking the ebb and flow of synaptic densities across the multi-day estrous cycle or tracking the long-term integration of climbing fibers.[18]

From a theoretical and computational perspective, the underpinnings of synaptic competition are evaluated using advanced neural network simulations and mathematical modeling. This report leverages data derived from large-scale simulators—such as those utilizing the Arbor library and the NEST framework—which are capable of modeling networks of morphologically detailed neurons.[23] These mathematical models explicitly incorporate spike-timing-dependent plasticity (STDP), homeostatic synaptic scaling equations, and resource-dependent constraints.[4] By systematically analyzing equations like the Gaussian growth rule, computational models provide a rigorous environment to test how local synaptic rules generate global network stability.[23] Furthermore, information-theoretic approaches are utilized to quantify the metabolic efficiency of competitive outcomes, measuring the maximal amount of information transmitted per ATP molecule expended.[26]

By triangulating empirical *in vivo* observations, *ex vivo* optogenetic manipulations, and *in silico*

mathematical models, this methodological approach circumvents the limitations of isolated disciplines. This synthesis yields a robust, comprehensive understanding of how molecular pruning signals manifest as systemic cognitive functions and behavioral phenotypes.

Chapter 1: The Molecular and Cellular Mechanics of Competition

The physical realization of synaptic competition occurs primarily at the dendritic spines and axonal terminals, where presynaptic inputs battle for limited postsynaptic territory. The binary choice between synaptic stabilization and physical elimination is not an accident of proximity, but rather the execution of an intricate, highly regulated balance of local "save-me" signals and lateral "punishment" signals distributed across the dendritic arbor.[28]

The RhoA Punishment Pathway and NMDAR-Mediated Protection

Studies focusing on the developing sensory circuits, specifically the mitral cells of the olfactory bulb, have provided a high-resolution map of how neurons establish discrete receptive fields through competitive pruning. During early postnatal periods, a single mitral cell extends multiple dendrites to various glomeruli. However, to achieve precise odorant parallel processing, ultimately only one primary dendrite is stabilized, while all others are aggressively pruned.[6]

This selection is mediated by early postnatal spontaneous neuronal activity. When glutamate binds to the N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor (NMDAR) on a specific dendritic branch, it generates a highly localized "save-me" signal. This signal protects that specific branch from the cell's internal structural pruning machinery.[28] Concurrently, the robust depolarization caused by this glutamatergic input triggers a "punishment" signal that propagates laterally to the other, non-stimulated dendrites of the exact same cell.[28]

This punishment signal activates RhoA, a critical intracellular signaling molecule involved in the regulation of cell shape, attachment, and the reorganization of the actin cytoskeleton.[29] RhoA physically dismantles the actin structures of the unprotected, non-active dendrites, leading to their rapid structural collapse and elimination. Therefore, RhoA acts as the molecular executioner for "loser" synapses. This paradigm beautifully illustrates the concept of heterosynaptic depression translated into structural reality: the activation of a strong input actively drives the physical dismantling of adjacent weak inputs to ensure the neuron does not become overwhelmed with divergent, noisy connections.[28]

The Independence of Initial Selection from Neurotransmission

A profound shift in the understanding of synaptic competition emerged from studies utilizing the cerebellar climbing fiber (CF) to Purkinje cell (PC) synapse. Postnatal refinement in this region requires the selective strengthening of a single "winner" CF and the subsequent elimination of all other "loser" CF axons innervating the soma.[18] Historically, the foundational assumption of neurodevelopment was that the CF exhibiting the most robust synaptic transmission would inevitably outcompete the others.

However, studies published in 2024 and 2025 fundamentally challenged this assumption. Researchers utilized targeted expression of the tetanus-toxin light chain (TeNT-LC) to ablate VAMP2, thereby completely suppressing neurotransmitter release from specific climbing fibers.[18] Astoundingly, neurotransmitter-deficient CFs were still fully capable of being selected as the "winner" inputs.[18] This indicates that initial winner selection is largely activity-independent. The competitive hierarchy is likely established by intrinsic prepatterning, epigenetic modifications, or specific cell adhesion molecules (such as cadherins, neurexins, or integrins) that dictate a rank advantage before functional neurotransmission even begins.[18]

However, the necessity of neurotransmission is not completely nullified; rather, its role is redefined. While transmission is dispensable for the *selection* of the winner, it remains absolutely critical for the *execution* of the competitive outcome. The winning CF requires robust synaptic transmission to physically expand its territory and translocate along the Purkinje cell dendritic arbor, and, crucially, to drive the heterosynaptic elimination of the loser CFs from the soma.[18] Without neurotransmission acting as the motor for execution, the circuit remains structurally frozen in a state of immature, pathological multiple-innervation.[18]

The Neuro-Immune Axis: Glial Cells and the Complement Cascade

Synaptic pruning is not solely a neuronal endeavor; it relies heavily on the constant surveillance and phagocytic activity of the innate immune system. Microglia, the resident macrophages of the brain, actively patrol the neural landscape. They physically engulf inefficient, weak, or "loser" synaptic connections through a process termed trogocytosis—a "nibbling" mechanism that immune cells utilize to sample their environment and eliminate debris.[8]

This microglial action is not random; it is highly precise and guided by the classical complement cascade.[9] Proteins such as C1q initiate the pathway by tagging specific, underutilized synapses for destruction. Downstream complement proteins, particularly C3, then bind to these tags, facilitating the actual phagocytic engulfment by microglial receptors.[8] Alterations in the genes encoding these immune proteins have profound developmental impacts. For instance, mice with single or double knockouts of the complement component 4 (C4) gene exhibit a severe failure in synaptic refinement, leading to heavily overlapping and jumbled maps of visual space.[8]

Furthermore, the interaction between the immune system and the synapse extends beyond direct phagocytosis. Microglia actively remodel the structural geometry of astrocytes. By pruning astrocytic elements around specific synapses, microglia can regulate neurotransmitter

spillover, thereby indirectly modulating local neuronal excitability and the parameters of synaptic competition.[34] This demonstrates a highly complex, multi-cellular choreography underlying circuit competition, where immune cells act as the final arbiters of structural plasticity.

Chapter 2: Computational Models, Homeostasis, and Information Theory

To fully comprehend how the brain avoids catastrophic runaway excitation while continuously learning over a lifespan, the biological mechanisms observed at the laboratory bench must be formally translated into mathematical and computational models. Current mathematical models of synaptic competition resolve the stability-plasticity dilemma by integrating Spike-Timing-Dependent Plasticity (STDP) with robust homeostatic scaling algorithms.[4]

Resource-Limited STDP and Heterosynaptic Plasticity

In classic Hebbian artificial neural networks, the continuous potentiation of correlated inputs inevitably leads to saturated, biologically implausible network states, unless arbitrary global normalization rules are applied.[4] Modern computational models of recurrent spiking networks solve this by incorporating local resource-dependent potentiation coupled with heterosynaptic depression to stabilize learning.[4]

The biological logic is modeled as follows: if a synapse strengthens (homosynaptic plasticity), it must consume a strictly localized, finite pool of metabolic resources or plasticity-related proteins. This consumption naturally creates a local deficit, forcing a compensatory weakening or structural retraction of adjacent, unstimulated synapses (heterosynaptic plasticity).[12] This process operates on the exact same timescales as Hebbian potentiation, serving as an immediate counterweight. It preserves the total synaptic input drive of the neuron, ensuring that overall firing rates remain within a functional, dynamic target range without requiring biologically unrealistic global feedback loops.[12]

Homeostatic Structural Plasticity: The Gaussian Growth Rule

In advanced computational simulators like NEST and Arbor, which model large-scale networks of morphologically detailed neurons, the interplay between homeostatic synaptic scaling and structural plasticity is rigorously quantified.[23] Neurons are modeled to naturally grow or retract physical synaptic elements to maintain a highly specific target firing rate, often utilizing intracellular calcium concentrations as a proxy for activity.[23]

The *Gaussian growth rule* provides a sophisticated mathematical framework for this homeostatic competition:

$$\frac{d}{dt}z(t) = \nu\left(2e^{-\left(\frac{C(t)-\xi}{\zeta}\right)^2} - 1\right)$$

In this equation, $z(t)$ represents the number of synaptic elements, $C(t)$ is the intracellular calcium trace reflecting recent neural activity, and ξ and ζ are constants derived from two vital homeostatic setpoints: ϵ and η.[23]

When a neuron fires excessively, driving calcium above the critical target ϵ, the derivative becomes negative, and the neuron actively breaks synapses and retracts synaptic elements to reduce incoming excitation. Conversely, when the firing rate drops below ϵ but remains above a lower critical bound η, the neuron undergoes homeostatic outgrowth, aggressively seeking new connections to restore baseline activity.[23] If activity drops completely below η, the neuron begins to retract elements again, a phenomenon mirroring biological apoptosis or severe atrophic pruning. This computational model accurately mirrors the biological reality: structural plasticity (the creation and destruction of spines) and synaptic scaling (the adjustment of existing synaptic weights) dynamically compete and compensate for one another, ensuring the extraordinary robustness of neural networks against environmental perturbations and noise.[35]

Information Theory: Signal-to-Noise Ratio and Metabolic Efficiency

From an information-theoretic perspective, synaptic competition is an ongoing optimization problem. Synapses, particularly specialized structures like ribbon synapses found in early sensory processing, operate as the analog-to-digital converters of the nervous system.[21] Computational neuroscience models suggest that the fundamental goal of a neuron is to allocate its limited range of physiological responses as efficiently as possible to maximize mutual information with the environment while mitigating systemic noise.[38]

Recent analytical approaches demonstrate that the competitive relationship between inhibitory and excitatory inputs does not merely modulate the raw firing rate, but directly optimizes the Signal-to-Noise Ratio (SNR).[27] Counter-intuitively, an increased ratio of inhibition to excitation—often a direct result of the aggressive pruning of weak, noisy excitatory connections—generally leads to a higher SNR. This superior fidelity in signal transmission comes at a specific computational cost: a reduced dynamic coding range for the neuron.[27]

Furthermore, synapses must operate at optimal metabolic efficiency. This is computationally defined as the maximal amount of information transmitted (measured in bits) per single molecule of ATP expended.[26] Synaptic competition ensures that "noisy," low-efficiency connections, which consume baseline ATP simply to maintain their resting membrane potentials, are ruthlessly pruned. This competitive allocation preserves valuable metabolic

energy strictly for synapses that operate at specific signal-to-noise ratios, maximizing the global information efficiency of the cortex.[26]

Chapter 3: Engram Competition and Systems Consolidation

The biophysical principles of synaptic competition scale upwards to govern the formation, retention, and erasure of complex behavioral memories. Memories are not ethereal concepts; they are physically instantiated in the brain as "engrams"—sparse, distributed populations of neurons and their specific, potentiated synaptic connections that are activated during learning and reactivated during subsequent recall.[40]

Synaptic Tagging and Capture (STC) vs. Competition

The specific mechanism by which transient, everyday experiences are selected to become enduring long-term memories is highly dependent on local resource competition. According to the Synaptic Tagging and Capture (STC) hypothesis, transient synaptic activation sets a temporary, localized "tag" at the dendritic spine.[16] If a sufficiently strong stimulus induces the cell soma to transcribe and synthesize plasticity-related proteins (PRPs), these proteins are trafficked throughout the entire dendritic arbor.[2] The PRPs are then "captured" exclusively by the tagged synapses, physically solidifying the connection and converting a short-term memory into a long-term memory.[16]

However, the supply of newly synthesized PRPs is strictly limited. Consequently, when multiple distinct synaptic pathways are activated within a narrow temporal window, the tagged spines must actively compete to capture these shared resources.[3] Experimental electrophysiology reveals that this competition resolves into a strict "winner-take-all" phase. Competition occurs over a critical period of approximately 60 minutes post-stimulation.[17] During this window, the stabilization of one memory pathway—which successfully sequesters the majority of the PRPs—occurs explicitly at the cost of memory persistence in the competing pathway, leading to the latter's decay.[17]

Engram Competition and Adaptive Forgetting

The biological competition between individual synapses naturally scales to a broader competition between entire engram cell assemblies. Modern neuroscience has undergone a paradigm shift, increasingly viewing "forgetting" not as a passive failure of retention or a mechanical breakdown, but as an active, adaptive form of engram cell plasticity.[43]

The retention and continuous maintenance of long-term memories carry an exceptionally high

metabolic and cognitive cost. "Engram competition" serves as a highly flexible mechanism for actively overwriting or forgetting outdated, less relevant information.[34] This active pruning reduces proactive interference during memory retrieval, thereby enhancing cognitive and behavioral flexibility in a changing environment.[43] As memory consolidation progresses, receptor trafficking and cytoskeleton remodeling gradually reverse synaptic weights for less-accessed engrams, transitioning memories from highly detailed representations to generalized "schemas," and eventually erasing the physical engram entirely.[43]

This competition is particularly critical in regions such as the dorsomedial prefrontal cortex (dmPFC), where synaptic plasticity and engram competition play a definitive role in establishing higher-order social behaviors. For instance, the outcome of synaptic competition in the mediodorsal thalamus-dmPFC pathway is crucial for encoding the "winner effect"—a phenomenon that increases an organism's probability of future victories and reinforces its placement within a dominance hierarchy.[44]

Neuromodulation and Neurogenesis

The outcomes of these engram competitions are heavily biased by the presence of global neuromodulators, specifically dopamine (DA) and acetylcholine (ACh).[43] Pairing a stimulus with the release of DA or ACh fundamentally converts standard spike-timing-dependent learning rules into highly sensitive correlation-based rules, triggering profound reorganizations of neural representations.[45] Computational models simulating physiological release schedules reveal that ACh redistributes neural preferences to encode stimuli that are particularly challenging or novel for the network, while DA selectively adapts synaptic weights to match the immediate reinforcement parameters of the task at hand.[46] Furthermore, the D2 dopamine receptor plays a crucial role in regulating the kinetics of phasic dopamine bursts, modulating how subsequent synaptic inputs are weighted during competitive learning.[47]

Adult hippocampal neurogenesis (AHN) introduces a final, macroscopic layer of competition.[40] The continuous integration of newly born neurons into the dentate gyrus actively remodels existing hippocampal circuits. These young, highly excitable neurons directly compete with older engrams for synaptic connections, creating a timescale-specific mechanism that promotes the encoding of new memories while actively driving the clearance and structural forgetting of older, less relevant memories.[40]

Chapter 4: Systemic Regulators: Hormones, Immunity, and Circadian Rhythms

Synaptic competition does not occur in a physiological vacuum. While local glutamate and calcium dynamics dictate immediate spine survival, the brain's overall ecological landscape is continuously sculpted by systemic, body-wide signals. These powerful modulators originate from the endocrine system, the immune system, and intrinsic circadian clocks, demonstrating

that cognitive capacity is inextricably linked to peripheral physiological states.

Hormonal Regulation of Synaptic Architecture

Sex hormones, particularly the estrogen family, are profound neuromodulators that dictate the structural plasticity of cognitive brain regions, acting far beyond their traditional roles in reproductive biology.[48] All key receptors for estrogen—including ERα, ERβ, and the G protein-coupled estrogen receptor 1 (GPER1)—are densely expressed within the synapses of the hippocampus and prefrontal cortex, areas critical for episodic memory and executive function.[49]

Longitudinal imaging of the mouse hippocampus utilizing two-photon microscopy reveals that natural hormonal fluctuations drive massive waves of structural synaptic competition. During the proestrus phase of the estrous cycle, characterized by peak estrogen levels, neurons experience a surge in spinogenesis, adding thousands of new synaptic connections per neuron.[22] However, as the cycle advances past ovulation and hormone levels drop, these newly formed spines undergo severe competitive pruning.[22] This process results in a staggering 20% to 30% fluctuation in absolute spine density across a mere four-day cycle.[22] This macroscopic structural shift dramatically alters how hippocampal neurons integrate signals, directly influencing spatial learning capabilities and episodic memory consolidation.[22]

Similarly, stress hormones profoundly bias the outcomes of synaptic competition. Cortisol, released by the adrenal glands during acute physiological or psychological stress, crosses the blood-brain barrier to modify dynamic networks associated with the amygdala and hippocampus. High cortisol levels during a competitive encoding window heavily bias synaptic plasticity to favor the potentiation and structural stabilization of emotionally charged memories, often at the direct expense of neutral peripheral details, thereby ensuring the survival value of the stored engram.[51]

Chronobiology and Biophysical Oscillations

Recent breakthroughs published in 2025 have unveiled a new dimension of neuroplasticity: chronobiology at the biophysical level. Historically, neuronal membrane capacitance (C_m) was viewed as a static, unchangeable biophysical property determined solely by the geometric and dielectric characteristics of the lipid bilayer.[52] However, advanced cellular electrophysiology has fundamentally challenged this perspective, revealing that C_m exhibits robust, predictable circadian oscillations.[52]

These rhythmic fluctuations are driven by intrinsic cellular clocks that regulate lipid metabolism, membrane protein transcription, and ion channel trafficking over a 24-hour period.[52] Because the membrane time constant (τ_m) is directly proportional to capacitance ($\tau_m = R_m C_m$),

this dynamic modulation directly impacts how a neuron integrates synaptic inputs. As C_m oscillates, neurons shift their computational modes throughout the day-night cycle. At certain hours, neurons favor "temporal summation" (allowing the integration of broad, dispersed inputs over time), while at other hours they shift into "coincidence detection" modes (requiring highly synchronized, competitive inputs to fire).[52] Consequently, the brain's capacity for memory consolidation, attention, and working memory is not static but peaks and troughs according to temporal homeostasis. The degradation of these biophysical rhythms contributes to "circadian aging," wherein the loss of C_m rhythmicity directly compromises neural circuit stability and efficient information transfer.[53]

Pathogen Interference and Neuro-Immunology

Because synaptic pruning relies heavily on the innate immune system (microglia and the complement cascade), it is highly vulnerable to exogenous interference. Viral pathogens, particularly neurotropic viruses, possess the capability to profoundly dysregulate the precise mechanisms responsible for synapse elimination.[33] Viral infections can over-activate the complement system and trigger massive storms of inflammatory cytokines in the brain.[33] This neuroinflammation drives microglia into a hyper-reactive, indiscriminate phagocytic state.

When the neuro-immune axis is disrupted by infection, the local NMDAR-mediated "save-me" signals are often overwhelmed or ignored by hyper-reactive microglia. This pathological interference results in widespread, excessive synapse loss. Depending on the chronicity of the inflammation, this disruption of synaptic homeostasis manifests in severe neuropathological outcomes, including rapid cognitive decline, memory erasure, and exacerbated neurodevelopmental disorders.[33]

Systemic Regulator	Primary Mediators	Mechanism of Action on Synaptic Competition	Cognitive & Physiological Outcome
Endocrine System	Estrogen (ERα, ERβ, GPER1), Cortisol	Modulates global dendritic spine density (up to 30% cyclic fluctuation); heavily biases memory encoding via amygdala/hippocampus.	Enhances spatial memory and emotional memory consolidation; regulates learning flexibility.[22]

Immune System	Microglia, Complement Cascade (C1q, C3, C4)	Executes phagocytic pruning of "loser" synapses (trogocytosis); remodels astrocyte geometry to control glutamate spillover.	Refines visual maps and neural circuits; immune dysregulation leads to severe, indiscriminate neuropathology.[8]
Circadian Clocks	Intrinsic Cellular Oscillators	Drives robust daily oscillations in neuronal membrane capacitance (C_m) and the membrane time constant (τ_m).	Shifts neurons dynamically between temporal summation and coincidence detection, optimizing daily information processing.[52]

Chapter 5: Implications for Cognitive Capacity and Neuropathology

The efficiency, precision, and robustness with which the brain manages synaptic competition and pruning dictate not only neurotypical cognitive development but also the highest echelons of human intelligence, as well as the descent into psychiatric and neurodegenerative illness.

Pruning Efficiency as a Correlate of Human Intelligence

The human brain is not a static organ; its structure undergoes massive reconfigurations across five major, newly identified lifespan epochs.[56] Following early childhood, the longest and most critical phase of cognitive maturation occurs from adolescence into the early thirties.[56] This epoch is characterized not by the mass generation of new neurons, but by widespread, aggressive cortical synaptic pruning.[56]

Empirical neuroimaging studies investigating human cognitive capacity and IQ present a seemingly counter-intuitive reality: a physically thinner cerebral cortex in specific higher-order brain regions strongly correlates with higher intelligence.[57] Accelerated development and the rapid subsequent pruning of frontal lobe grey matter are statistically associated with higher IQ scores in pediatric and adolescent cohorts.[57] Furthermore, cortical thickness in the parietal lobe is strictly negatively correlated with performance on demanding cognitive tasks involving problem-solving, abstract learning, and working memory.[57]

Individuals with thinner parietal cortices—indicative of highly efficient, aggressive synaptic pruning—perform markedly better across cognitive domains. The biological rationale is rooted in information theory: the elimination of weak, unnecessary, and metabolically expensive synaptic connections drastically decreases systemic noise. This pruning optimizes the Signal-to-Noise Ratio (SNR) of the surviving neural circuits, streamlines the metabolic cost of cognition, and enhances the brain's capacity for high-level cognitive control, enabling the efficient coordination of mental resources to achieve complex goals.[38]

Pathological Extremes: From Autism to Schizophrenia

When the precise molecular parameters governing synaptic competition—such as RhoA signaling thresholds, PRP availability, or microglial activation states—are genetically or environmentally perturbed, the resulting structural anomalies form the absolute biological basis of severe psychiatric and neurological conditions.

The pathophysiology of several mental retardation disorders and Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) is fundamentally linked to a failure of synaptic competition, resulting in severe under-pruning. Cellular events or genetic mutations that misregulate protein translation can artificially relieve the constraints on synaptic competition, allowing too many weak synapses to survive the developmental pruning window.[2] In animal models of ASD, a deficit in microglial pruning activity and complement signaling leads to an overabundance of chaotic, unrefined, and hyper-connected synaptic networks, disrupting precise circuit function and sensory processing.[8]

Conversely, Schizophrenia represents the catastrophic extreme of over-active synaptic competition. Post-mortem analyses of brains from individuals with schizophrenia exhibit significantly fewer dendritic spines and synapses than neurotypical brains.[8] The prevailing neurobiological hypothesis suggests that an overactive complement system, particularly linked to variations in the C4 gene, triggers excessive, pathological synaptic pruning. Crucially, this aggressive over-pruning occurs during adolescence—the exact developmental epoch during which the clinical symptoms of schizophrenia typically first present.[8]

Neurodegeneration and Advanced Therapeutic Interventions

In the aging brain, the failure to protect functional synapses from continuous competitive pruning drives neurodegeneration. In Alzheimer's disease (AD) and Parkinson's disease (PD), the breakdown of homeostatic structural plasticity, combined with chronic, hyper-reactive neuroinflammation, results in the tragic erasure of memory engrams. Functional synapses lose their ability to generate sufficient "save-me" signals and are systematically destroyed by microglial phagocytosis.[9] Furthermore, wakefulness abnormalities and sleep disruptions in AD patients exacerbate the failure of engram stabilization, leading to profound memory encoding and retrieval deficits.[40]

However, the elucidation of these competitive mechanisms has opened remarkable new

therapeutic avenues. Recent clinical developments in 2025 are investigating the targeted delivery of Brain-Derived Neurotrophic Factor (BDNF).[59] Utilizing highly advanced lipid nanoparticle-based mRNA therapies and CRISPR-dCas9 epigenetic editing, researchers aim to bypass the blood-brain barrier to manually deliver neurotrophic support to failing synapses.[59] By artificially restoring the competitive "save-me" signals and upregulating TrkB activation, these precision medicines offer profound neuroprotective possibilities, potentially halting the aberrant pruning cascades that define neurodegeneration.[59]

Additionally, non-invasive neuromodulation techniques, such as high-definition transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) and transcranial alternating current stimulation (tACS), are being utilized to artificially entrain neural oscillations.[60] By applying carefully timed electrical currents during slow-wave sleep or learning tasks, researchers can modulate spike-timing-dependent plasticity and artificially enhance the competitive advantage of specific memory engrams, yielding demonstrated improvements in working memory performance.[60]

Conclusion

The architecture of the human mind is forged in the relentless crucible of synaptic competition. The contemporary, multidisciplinary models explored in this thesis unequivocally demonstrate that learning, memory, and cognitive maturation are not simply additive processes. Rather, they are defined by a rigorous, highly regulated, and resource-bounded ecosystem where the stabilization of a functional synapse strictly necessitates the elimination of a subordinate one.

The integration of molecular biology, *in vivo* imaging, and computational neuroscience reveals a remarkably elegant system designed to preserve metabolic energy and information fidelity. While intrinsic genetic identity factors may establish the initial framework of neuronal connectivity independently of neurotransmission, it is the dynamic, continuous interplay of glutamatergic "save-me" signals, RhoA-mediated "punishment" cascades, and activity-dependent heterosynaptic depression that ultimately carves out functional circuits from nascent neural networks. This homeostatic process, beautifully modeled by Gaussian growth rules and Synaptic Tagging and Capture paradigms, ensures that the brain does not succumb to catastrophic runaway excitation. It optimizes the signal-to-noise ratio and maximizes the metabolic efficiency of every thought and memory.

Furthermore, the findings synthesize a holistic view of neurobiology, highlighting that this microscopic synaptic ecosystem is inextricably linked to macroscopic physiological forces. The structural capacity of the brain is perpetually sculpted by the hormonal tides of estrogen and cortisol, the aggressive surveillance of microglial immune cells, and the subtle, biophysical circadian oscillations in membrane capacitance.

The implications for human cognitive function are profound. The empirical finding that aggressive synaptic pruning—resulting in reduced cortical thickness—correlates directly with

higher intelligence underscores the biological reality that cognitive supremacy relies on structural efficiency and the elimination of noise, not mere synaptic volume. Conversely, the dysregulation of these competitive mechanisms sits at the absolute root of our most devastating neurological and psychiatric disorders, from the over-connectivity of autism to the tragic over-pruning of schizophrenia and Alzheimer's disease.

As the field of computational neuroscience and molecular biology moves forward, the ability to selectively modulate synaptic competition offers an unprecedented clinical frontier. Advanced optogenetic tools, closed-loop transcranial electrical stimulation, and precision BDNF mRNA therapies represent the next generation of interventions. By fully understanding the molecular cost of remembering and the adaptive necessity of forgetting, science not only illuminates the fundamental, competitive nature of human consciousness but also paves the way for sophisticated therapies capable of preserving the integrity of the mind across the human lifespan.

Works cited

1. Brain Plasticity and Cell Competition: Immediate Early Genes Are the Focus - PMC - NIH, accessed April 1, 2026, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11763428/
2. Synaptic competition in structural plasticity and cognitive function - PMC - NIH, accessed April 1, 2026, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC3843888/
3. Synaptic competition in structural plasticity and cognitive function - PubMed, accessed April 1, 2026, https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24298158/
4. Resource-dependent heterosynaptic spike-timing-dependent plasticity in recurrent networks with and without synaptic degeneration - Frontiers, accessed April 1, 2026, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/computational-neuroscience/articles/10.3389/fncom.2025.1593837/pdf
5. Synapse-type-specific competitive Hebbian learning forms functional recurrent networks | PNAS, accessed April 1, 2026, https://www.pnas.org/doi/10.1073/pnas.2305326121
6. Activity-dependent synaptic competition and dendrite pruning in developing mitral cells - PMC, accessed April 1, 2026, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11873734/
7. Dysfunctional synaptic competition at dendritic spines in Fragile X syndrome | bioRxiv, accessed April 1, 2026, https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.64898/2026.02.25.708113v1.full-text
8. How synaptic pruning shapes neural wiring during development and, possibly, in disease | PNAS, accessed April 1, 2026, https://www.pnas.org/doi/10.1073/pnas.2010281117
9. Synaptic pruning mechanisms and application of emerging imaging techniques in neurological disorders - PMC, accessed April 1, 2026, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12694624/
10. Dynamically remembered present: Virtual memory as a basis for the stories we

live - HTS Theological Studies, accessed April 1, 2026, https://hts.org.za/index.php/HTS/article/view/1937/3589
11. Scientific Insights into the Evolution of the Universe and of Life - The Pontifical Academy of Sciences, accessed April 1, 2026, https://www.pas.va/content/dam/casinapioiv/pas/pdf-volumi/acta/acta20pas.pdf
12. Homeostatic regulation across fast and slow timescales through aggregate synaptic dynamics - bioRxiv.org, accessed April 1, 2026, https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2025.04.10.648137v1.full-text
13. Synaptic density and relative connectivity conservation maintain circuit stability across development - eLife, accessed April 1, 2026, https://elifesciences.org/reviewed-preprints/108643
14. Synaptic weight dynamics underlying memory consolidation: Implications for learning rules, circuit organization, and circuit function | PNAS, accessed April 1, 2026, https://www.pnas.org/doi/10.1073/pnas.2406010121
15. Stable recurrent dynamics in heterogeneous neuromorphic computing systems using excitatory and inhibitory plasticity - PMC, accessed April 1, 2026, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12214931/
16. Experience-Driven Competition in Neural Reorganization after Stroke - PMC, accessed April 1, 2026, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11785499/
17. Competition between recently potentiated synaptic inputs reveals a winner-take-all phase of synaptic tagging and capture | PNAS, accessed April 1, 2026, https://www.pnas.org/doi/10.1073/pnas.1403643111
18. Synaptic transmission is dispensable for selecting the winner input ..., accessed April 1, 2026, https://www.pnas.org/doi/10.1073/pnas.2416797122
19. Studying Synaptic Connectivity and Strength with Optogenetics and Patch-Clamp Electrophysiology - PMC, accessed April 1, 2026, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9570045/
20. Neuroscience impact of synaptic pruning discovery, accessed April 1, 2026, https://pharmaceuticalintelligence.com/2016/05/03/neuroscience-impact-of-synaptic-pruning-discovery/
21. An amplitude code increases the efficiency of information transmission across a visual synapse | bioRxiv, accessed April 1, 2026, https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/328682v3.full
22. Hormone cycles shape the structure and function of key memory regions in the brain | MCDB, accessed April 1, 2026, https://www.mcdb.ucsb.edu/news/all/2025/hormone-cycles-shape-structure-and-function-key-memory-regions-brain
23. The interplay between homeostatic synaptic scaling and homeostatic structural plasticity maintains the robust firing rate of neu - bioRxiv, accessed April 1, 2026, https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2023.03.09.531681v3.full.pdf
24. Plastic Arbor: A modern simulation framework for synaptic plasticity—From single synapses to networks of morphological neurons | PLOS Computational Biology - Research journals, accessed April 1, 2026, https://journals.plos.org/ploscompbiol/article?id=10.1371/journal.pcbi.1013926
25. EITN EBRAINS Fall School in Computational Neuroscience 2025, accessed April 1,

2026, https://ebrains.eu/news-and-events/events/2025/eitn-ebrains-fall-school-in-computational-neuroscience-2025
26. Efficient Coding Predicts Synaptic Conductance - arXiv.org, accessed April 1, 2026, https://arxiv.org/html/2603.03347v2
27. The effect of inhibition on rate code efficiency indicators - PMC, accessed April 1, 2026, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC6907877/
28. How neurons compete to lose their link | Research Results | KYUSHU UNIVERSITY, accessed April 1, 2026, https://www.kyushu-u.ac.jp/en/researches/view/264/
29. How Loser Synapses Get A "Punishment Signal" To Get Pruned Off | IFLScience, accessed April 1, 2026, https://www.iflscience.com/how-loser-synapses-get-a-punishment-signal-to-get-pruned-off-69288
30. Activity-dependent synaptic competition and dendrite pruning in developing mitral cells, accessed April 1, 2026, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/neural-circuits/articles/10.3389/fncir.2025.1541926/full
31. Molecular and cellular mechanisms of developmental synapse elimination in the cerebellum: Involvement of autism spectrum disorder-related genes - PMC, accessed April 1, 2026, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11635086/
32. Molecular Physiology of the Neuronal Synapse - MDPI, accessed April 1, 2026, https://www.mdpi.com/1467-3045/48/1/88
33. Viral modulation of synaptic pruning: implications for neuropathology and brain function, accessed April 1, 2026, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12911866/
34. Ciarán Murphy-Royal (@murphyroyallab.org) - Bluesky, accessed April 1, 2026, https://bsky.app/profile/murphyroyallab.org
35. (PDF) The interplay between homeostatic synaptic scaling and homeostatic structural plasticity maintains the robust firing rate of neural networks - ResearchGate, accessed April 1, 2026, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/393422834_The_interplay_between_homeostatic_synaptic_scaling_and_homeostatic_structural_plasticity_maintains_the_robust_firing_rate_of_neural_networks
36. The interplay between homeostatic synaptic scaling and homeostatic structural plasticity maintains the robust firing rate of neural networks - PMC, accessed April 1, 2026, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12227201/
37. The interplay between homeostatic synaptic scaling and homeostatic structural plasticity maintains the robust firing rate of neural networks | eLife, accessed April 1, 2026, https://elifesciences.org/articles/88376
38. How Do Efficient Coding Strategies Depend on Origins of Noise in Neural Circuits? | PLOS Computational Biology - Research journals, accessed April 1, 2026, https://journals.plos.org/ploscompbiol/article?id=10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005150
39. Measuring the signal-to-noise ratio of a neuron | PNAS, accessed April 1, 2026, https://www.pnas.org/doi/10.1073/pnas.1505545112

40. Competition between engrams influences fear memory formation and recall - ResearchGate, accessed April 1, 2026, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/305690294_Competition_between_engrams_influences_fear_memory_formation_and_recall
41. Immune activation state modulates infant engram expression across development | Request PDF - ResearchGate, accessed April 1, 2026, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/375493627_Immune_activation_state_modulates_infant_engram_expression_across_development
42. (PDF) Synapses tagged, memories kept: synaptic tagging and capture hypothesis in brain health and disease - ResearchGate, accessed April 1, 2026, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/381304462_Synapses_tagged_memories_kept_synaptic_tagging_and_capture_hypothesis_in_brain_health_and_disease
43. Forgetting as a form of adaptive engram cell plasticity | Request PDF - ResearchGate, accessed April 1, 2026, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/357836858_Forgetting_as_a_form_of_adaptive_engram_cell_plasticity
44. Neural Circuit Mechanisms Underlying Dominance Traits and Social Competition - bioRxiv, accessed April 1, 2026, https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2024.12.04.626906v1.full-text
45. Sequential neuromodulation of Hebbian plasticity offers mechanism for effective reward-based navigation | eLife, accessed April 1, 2026, https://elifesciences.org/articles/27756
46. Models of Acetylcholine and Dopamine Signals Differentially Improve Neural Representations - Frontiers, accessed April 1, 2026, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/computational-neuroscience/articles/10.3389/fncom.2017.00054/full
47. Regulation of Dopamine Release by Tonic Activity Patterns in the Striatal Brain Slice, accessed April 1, 2026, https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acschemneuro.4c00323
48. By Rachel E. Gross April 22, 2025 Leer en español Estrogen is the Meryl Streep of hormones, its versatility renowned among scie - University of Maryland School of Medicine, accessed April 1, 2026, https://www.medschool.umaryland.edu/media/som/news/news-logos/Sex-Hormones-Are-Brain-Hormones.-What-Does-This-Mean-for-Treating-Brain-Diseases.docx.pdf
49. Estrogen Effects on Cognitive and Synaptic Health Over the Lifecourse - PMC, accessed April 1, 2026, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4491541/
50. Estrogenic regulation of hippocampal inhibitory system across lifespan - PMC - NIH, accessed April 1, 2026, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12213013/
51. Stress hormones can alter brain networks — and strengthen emotional memories | Yale News, accessed April 1, 2026, https://news.yale.edu/2025/12/10/stress-hormones-can-alter-brain-networks-and-strengthen-emotional-memories
52. Circadian Regulation of Neuronal Membrane Capacitance-Mechanisms and Implications for Neural Computation and Behavior - PubMed, accessed April 1,

2026, https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/41226802/
53. Circadian Regulation of Neuronal Membrane Capacitance—Mechanisms and Implications for Neural Computation and Behavior - MDPI, accessed April 1, 2026, https://www.mdpi.com/1422-0067/26/21/10766
54. Circadian system and aging: where both times interact - Frontiers, accessed April 1, 2026, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/aging/articles/10.3389/fragi.2025.1646794/full
55. Dysregulation of Immune Mediators and Synaptic Plasticity in Central Nervous System Disorders - MDPI, accessed April 1, 2026, https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4409/15/2/201
56. Scientists identify five ages of the human brain over a lifetime - University of Cambridge, accessed April 1, 2026, https://www.cam.ac.uk/stories/five-ages-human-brain
57. Synaptic Pruning and Neural Networks | The IB Psychology Blog - Themantic Education, accessed April 1, 2026, https://www.themantic-education.com/ibpsych/2019/09/27/synaptic-pruning-and-neural-networks/
58. Cognitive Control and Neural Activity during Human Development: Evidence for Synaptic Pruning - PMC, accessed April 1, 2026, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11211714/
59. From Synaptic Plasticity to Neurodegeneration: BDNF as a Transformative Target in Medicine - MDPI, accessed April 1, 2026, https://www.mdpi.com/1422-0067/26/9/4271
60. Neuroplastic effects of transcranial alternating current stimulation (tACS): from mechanisms to clinical trials - Frontiers, accessed April 1, 2026, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/human-neuroscience/articles/10.3389/fnhum.2025.1548478/full
61. Reviewing 2025's Most Promising Cognitive Enhancement Research | Elizabeth Ricker, accessed April 1, 2026, https://www.ericker.com/blog/cognitive-enhancement-research